

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

BRYAN ANTHONY REO,)
Plaintiff) CASE NOS. 15CV1590
-vs-) AND 16CV825
MARTIN LINDSTEDT, ET AL.,) COURT OF APPEALS NOS.
Defendants) 2019-L-073 AND 2019-L-074

- - - - -

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

- - - - -

VOLUME 3 OF 3

- - - - -

PROCEEDINGS HAD BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATRICK J.
CONDON, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 26, 2019 AT 8:30 A.M.

- - - - -

APPEARANCES:

BRYAN ANTHONY REO, ESQ., Pro Se.

MARTIN LINDSTEDT, Pro Se.

- - - - -

Susan Goodell, Official Court Reporter
Lake County Courthouse
47 North Park Place
Painesville, Ohio 44077
(440)350-2720

1 (Whereupon, the following
2 proceedings were held in open court without
3 the jury present.)
4

5 THE COURT: Back on the record in
6 Reo vs. Lindstedt, et al. The parties are in
7 court, the jurors are not in the courtroom.

8 Now, Mr. Reo, can you refresh my
9 recollection as to what evidence was presented
10 during the course of the trial that the church
11 was involved in any of the activity that
12 you're alleging that happened in this case?

13 MR. REO: Everything happened
14 on a website owned by Church of Jesus Christ,
15 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri. The
16 church website is titled on the title banner
17 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
18 Nations of Missouri. It seems to extensively
19 discuss his Aryan Nations activities. The
20 defendant, Mr. Lindstedt, admitted to
21 incorporating that church specifically for the
22 benefit of himself as an individual person to
23 avoid being forcibly medicated and for
24 financial reasons and general liability shield
25 and there's no evidence that anybody other

1 than himself was posting on that website or
2 has any control over the church website or any
3 church assets or property and for all intents
4 and purposes he even said, "I am the church,"
5 that he and the church are one in the same.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, these
7 sites that you were posting this information
8 on, who owns those sites?

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: I put in money often
10 for the church corporation to go ahead and pay
11 it. Sometimes I pay it out of my own pocket.

12 THE COURT: Okay. But the church
13 was the owner of those sites?

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: I would say the
15 church was the owner of those sites.

16 THE COURT: Very good.

17 MR. REO: Your Honor, if I --

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, what
19 happens is that the church is, therefore, a --
20 you know, therefore, the church is a publisher
21 and sometimes it's a platform. And as far as
22 about the only one on this -- on this one site
23 that Mr. Reo mentioned, you know, pretty well
24 someone went ahead and posted, Mr. Reo went
25 ahead and took it down, the stuff down, so by

1 se defamation, my Count 1. You used "injury
2 to defendant's reputation." It should read,
3 "injury to plaintiff's reputation."

4 THE COURT: What page are you on?

5 MR. REO: Page 5, Your Honor.
6 The four point bulletins for damages, point 1
7 and point 3 say, "defendant" and "defendant."
8 It should read, "plaintiff" and "plaintiff."

9 THE COURT: That's in 1 and 3?

10 MR. REO: 1 and 3, yes, Your
11 Honor.

12 THE COURT: Thank you.

13 MR. REO: As to Page 4, I would
14 request a private figure instruction as to
15 myself.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 MR. REO: As to Page 6 -- one
18 moment. Never mind. With Page 6 I was going
19 to ask for an instruction on presumption of
20 damage, but I see that that appears toward the
21 top of Page 5.

22 As to defendant's counterclaim, Count 1, I
23 would respectfully request --

24 THE COURT: You got to give me a
25 page number.

1 MR. REO: Oh, Page 9, Your
2 Honor. Sorry. I would request instructions
3 as to libel-proof plaintiff or claimant.

4 THE COURT: I did receive an
5 email I believe you sent at 6:02 or somewhere
6 around there AM --

7 MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: -- as to that
9 request. I would note for the record that
10 proposed jury instructions were due fourteen
11 days prior to trial. I would imagine that you
12 felt that Mr. Lindstedt was libel-proof from
13 the date that you filed this lawsuit, but I
14 did look at the case that you cited. I don't
15 see any Ohio cases following that case and
16 Brooks vs. American Broadcasting Companies, in
17 the Section B, libel-proof concept, it reads,
18 "In dismissing Brooks libel claim the district
19 court agreed with the defendants that as a
20 matter of law Brooks was libel-proof, a rather
21 loose woven legal conception of the federal
22 courts. At the federal appellate level the
23 libel-proof concept makes its home only in the
24 second circuit; although, the eighth, third and
25 fifth circuits have referred to the concept as

1 if those circuits might apply it under the
2 right circumstances." That is a federal court
3 conception that not even a majority of the
4 federal courts follow and I found no Ohio
5 cases following it. I'm going to deny your
6 request to include that in the instructions.
7 It's found nowhere in the Ohio Jury
8 Instructions.

9 MR. REO: Your Honor --

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor --

11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, it's
12 Mr. Reo's turn.

13 MR. REO: I would also,
14 therefore, request an instruction, since Mr.
15 Lindstedt offered no proof that I was the
16 provider of any content, that the jury be
17 instructed that they have to find that I was
18 the provider or publisher. He stated that at
19 most a blog, whose existence he did not even
20 establish in 2011 hosted an art -- please
21 don't interpret me, Mr. Lindstedt -- hosted an
22 article authored and provided by Eli James of
23 Illinois, who he identified in this case as
24 Joseph November. At most I would have been a
25 content hoster, not the provider, not the

1 author. He's never alleged that I authored
2 any article, let alone disseminated anything
3 to a third party.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor --

6 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, I'll
7 call on you when it's your turn.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

9 THE COURT: Anything else on the
10 jury instructions, Mr. Reo?

11 MR. REO: Nothing further at
12 this time, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. I will
14 make those changes. I appreciate you pointing
15 out the errors.

16 MR. REO: Oh, actually, one
17 final thing, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Sure.

19 MR. REO: In the conclusion on
20 Page 6 --

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. REO: -- there's a rotation
23 at the bottom between greater weight of the
24 evidence and then preponderance. I'm just --
25 is that intentional? It says, "That if you

1 find that plaintiff proved each part of his
2 claim by a greater weight of the evidence,"
3 but then "if you find that the plaintiff
4 failed to prove any part of his claim by a
5 preponderance of the evidence." Which
6 standard are we applying at the present time,
7 Your Honor?

8 THE COURT: That's the one in the
9 same. Greater weight of the evidence is a
10 preponderance of the evidence.

11 MR. REO: Okay. Oh, I'm sorry.
12 Okay. Because you at one point gave a clear
13 and convincing instruction on Page 4.

14 THE COURT: Where clear and
15 convincing is utilized, we do make note of
16 that.

17 MR. REO: Okay. But the
18 instruction that you're giving as to Count 1
19 is greater weight of the evidence,
20 preponderance?

21 THE COURT: Correct.

22 MR. REO: Then no confusion,
23 Your Honor. Thank you for clearing that up
24 for me.

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 Mr. Lindstedt, anything on the jury
2 instructions?

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Pretty much, you
4 know, all of them. Last night you pretty well
5 disallowed me to claim tortious interference,
6 you know, against Mr. Reo. Mr. Reo kept on
7 bringing about that I didn't bring up any
8 evidence. The problem is that you did not
9 allow me to introduce any evidence.

10 THE COURT: Are you going to keep
11 rambling on about this or are you going to
12 talk about these jury instructions?

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm talking about the
14 jury instructions, that you -- Mr. Reo went
15 ahead and said that I didn't provide the
16 evidence.

17 As far as the jury instructions, I don't
18 see anything about how Mr. Reo is a public or
19 limited purpose public figure without the
20 White Nationalist and Christian Identity
21 community, so, therefore -- so, therefore, he
22 sought notoriety by being on the board of
23 directors and saying he helped found one with
24 two or three other lawyers, lawyers he claims
25 he doesn't know.

1 was --

2 THE COURT: Much like you, he was
3 given an opportunity to give some background
4 to the case. He chose to go back to about
5 2010, where you chose to go back to 1980s or
6 90s, so --

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

8 THE COURT: -- you both had an
9 opportunity to set the background of the case.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. But Mr.
11 -- also, Mr. Reo, he filed summary motions.
12 He is sneaking in stuff after he filed the
13 second case but when he didn't want it to be
14 known, because he was a member of this --

15 THE COURT: All right. Is there
16 anything specific about the jury instructions?

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, yes, I'm
18 claiming that he should be ruled as a public
19 or limited purpose public figure.

20 THE COURT: Okay. That's
21 overruled.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: And he should be
23 also, you know, regarding statute of
24 limitations, you know, limited as to that.

25 THE COURT: The jury instructions

1 are in conformity with the evidence that was
2 heard in this case and in conformity with Ohio
3 law, so --

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, I object to
5 that.

6 THE COURT: As to any claims that
7 they are not, they're overruled.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

9 MR. REO: May I speak?

10 THE COURT: No.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: No. 3, I've never
12 heard of publishing private facts. What I've
13 published --

14 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, the
15 fact that you have not heard about certain
16 areas of law, that doesn't interest me.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: But the --

18 THE COURT: If you don't narrow
19 your focus about what --

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

21 THE COURT: -- about these
22 instructions should be changed or not changed,
23 then this hearing is going to be over very
24 quickly.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: The Ohio Constitution

1 says that no law shall be passed to restrain
2 or abridge the liberty of speech, or the press
3 and --

4 THE COURT: That's overruled,
5 Mr. Lindstedt.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

7 THE COURT: Next problem?

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Let's see, Mr. -- No.
9 4 is I wasn't given Mr. Reo's exhibits, except
10 two years ago he was trying to say that I
11 couldn't testify because I was insane.

12 THE COURT: Overruled. Those are
13 all in your motion for mistrial that was filed
14 this morning --

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes.

16 THE COURT: -- at 8:31. I'll
17 overrule your motion for mistrial.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I wanted to
19 make that motion for mistrial. I do not trust
20 this court. I do not trust this process to
21 render me justice.

22 THE COURT: Very good. Thank
23 you. Then somewhere around 9:00 you will be
24 giving closing arguments.

25 MR. REO: Your Honor, may I

1 have one sentence to discuss his time barred
2 claim? His claim for defamation is time
3 barred.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

6
7 (Whereupon, a brief recess was held
8 at this time.)

9
10 (Whereupon, the jury enters the
11 courtroom and the following proceedings were
12 held in open court.)

13
14 THE COURT: Good morning. I
15 apologize for the delay. We had a technical
16 problem that took a while to overcome this
17 morning. I appreciate your patience. It's
18 now the time in the case both parties have
19 rested. You've heard all the evidence that
20 you're going to hear. It's time for the
21 parties to make their closing arguments. I
22 will caution you again that closing arguments
23 are not evidence. It is the opportunity for
24 each party to argue what they believe the
25 evidence in the case has shown.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Mr. Reo, you may proceed.

MR. REO: Do you wish me to be seated or standing or is that my discretion?

THE COURT: Use the podium, please.

MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor.

I would like to thank the ladies and gentlemen of the jury for coming here and being subjected to two days of this. You've had a two-day dose of the defendant. I've had him for ten years, so imagine ten years.

MR. LINDSTEDT: Can I make objections?

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. REO: Imagine ten years of the antics that he's subjected this courtroom to over the last two days. He talks about community standards and how different communities are different. I can't imagine his antics, his behavior being tolerated anywhere in any community.

We heard yesterday that the defendant's stated goal in life is to bring about a civil war to kill judges, lawyers, and cops. We heard the defendant readily admit that he has

1 not been gainfully employed in at least twenty
2 years.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 MR. REO: We heard the
6 defendant readily admit when asked what the
7 status of his general reputation in his
8 community of Missouri is, that he's considered
9 a domestic terrorist. We heard the defendant
10 admit his histories of incarceration,
11 institutionalization, various proceedings that
12 were against him. We heard the defendant
13 essentially admit that he has no job, no
14 career.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 MR. REO: And yet he believes
18 that I have defamed him as a child molester.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yeah.

20 MR. REO: In order to prove and
21 demonstrate that he's entitled to recover, he
22 would have to show that I published a false
23 statement about him, that the publication was
24 without privilege, that the publication was
25 false and defamatory with at least a

1 negligence standard and that he has suffered
2 some sort of an injury.

3 I would submit to you that he has
4 completely failed to offer any evidence that I
5 published anything.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. REO: He mentioned somebody
9 named Eli James, who he identified as somebody
10 also named Joseph November. We've agreed that
11 I am not Eli James, I did not publish the
12 article in question, I'm not the author of the
13 article in question. Some guy he said in
14 Chicago named Eli James. Well, I'm not Eli
15 James. Whatever dispute he has between
16 himself and Eli James, he can take that to
17 Chicago or file a case in Missouri. And by
18 his own admission he's sued plenty of people
19 in Missouri, so he should have no problem
20 initiating a case against Eli James if he
21 feels he's been defamed by Eli James, but it
22 has nothing to do with me.

23 And you heard from his own mouth the sort
24 of language he uses, that he referred to his
25 grandson, the alleged molestation victim, as a

1 retard and insisted that he didn't kiss his
2 pecker or finger his crack, which these are
3 not the words of a normal, loving grandfather
4 referring to a grandson. Rather, these are
5 the words of a perverse degenerate mind and I
6 think it goes to show that --

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

8 THE COURT: Overruled.

9 MR. REO: -- even if the
10 statement -- even if I did say that that man
11 is a child molester, it's either true or
12 substantially true.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Overruled. It's
15 argument, Mr. Lindstedt.

16 MR. REO: Furthermore --

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: It's libel.

18 MR. REO: -- by his own
19 admission his reputation is that of a domestic
20 terrorist. I don't know how you could have a
21 worse reputation than that. So if he wants to
22 try and quantify a damage, \$1, \$5? How much
23 is his reputation worth? His community hates
24 him and they've had to deal with him for
25 approximately sixty years. I've had to deal

1 with him for ten years. You've had to
2 experience his antics for two days.

3 Now, he said he thinks this is a game. I
4 mean, he may look like an extra from the Jerry
5 Springer show, but this is a court of law.
6 We've all come out of our very busy lives.
7 We've taken time out of our schedule to be
8 here.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, let's keep
10 the level of professionalism higher, please.

11 MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor.

12 Suffice it to say, he finds the process of
13 defaming me to be amusing. I've dealt with
14 this for ten years. I'm not amused. This is
15 not a game.

16 It's been established that the defendant
17 published false and defamatory statements
18 concerning me. Specifically he readily
19 admitted to at least fifty public posts
20 disseminated on the World Wide Web that I've
21 committed the crimes of perjury, wire fraud,
22 mail fraud, and extortion, among others. He
23 has no privileged basis by which to make these
24 statements. He admitted that he has no
25 factual or evidentiary basis to believe any of

1 those allegations were true --

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 MR. REO: -- but he chose to
5 make those allegations anyway.

6 He readily admitted to publishing that I
7 murdered a woman named Catherine Williams in
8 South Carolina in an act of vehicular
9 insurance fraud and then he later agreed that
10 he came to learn that it wasn't me, it was
11 somebody else but he continued persisting and
12 declaring that it was me because he just
13 didn't feel like retracting, recanting, or
14 removing content that he had posted stating
15 that I murdered a woman.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 MR. REO: To be accused of
19 murder, particularly when I was not even
20 involved in the accident, nor even in the
21 State of South Carolina at the time, it's very
22 hard on me because I have people asking me
23 about that, potential clients that ask me if I
24 was the at-fault party in a fatal accident
25 where a woman died and then they reference him

1 and then they link me to him and then things
2 from his website come up, things that he said
3 that he's not removing, things that he said he
4 intends to continue writing.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 MR. REO: You heard from him
8 yesterday. He said he's going to continue
9 doing this, that he's not going to stop, that
10 he's going to write about anybody and
11 everybody that he wants to.

12 And he talks about how I feel I'm special,
13 that I've been singled out. But you heard him
14 on day one agree that about one percent of the
15 20,000 posts on his website are about me. And
16 he's admitted to making hundreds of accounts
17 in the names of plenty of other people. So if
18 one percent are about me, ninety-nine percent
19 are about other people, people throughout the
20 country. So that means there are
21 approximately 19,800 posts on his website
22 about other people, people such as yourselves,
23 people in his own community, where again his
24 reputation in his own community is that of a
25 domestic terrorist.

1 He is a defamation terrorist for sure.
2 Defamation is his weapon. He hasn't worked in
3 twenty years.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. REO: He sits all day at
7 home on his computer smearing and defaming
8 people because he has no job, he has no
9 interest in getting a job. He's content to
10 destroy or attempt to destroy the lives of
11 decent people.

12 He openly admitted his goal in all of this
13 is to get me disbarred and prior to that he
14 said his goal was to make me unemployable and
15 to compromise my employment opportunities.
16 And then he also said that he's glad that
17 whatever happened at the Perry Nuclear Power
18 Plant happened and that my employment was
19 terminated, although he's admitted that he has
20 no idea what the circumstances surrounding
21 that incident were. He chose to state as a
22 matter of fact that I tried to destroy the
23 reactor core, which that defies logic. Had I
24 tried to sabotage a nuclear power plant, I
25 would likely be incarcerated right now.

1 MR. REO: -- my social security
2 number, the first five that we saw up on the
3 board the other day; my voter registration; my
4 driver's license number; unpublished emailed
5 addresses; unpublished phone numbers.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. REO: There is absolutely
9 no legitimate public concern in any of that
10 information. He's exposed me to an increased
11 risk of identity theft. He was without
12 privilege to do this and there is a reason I
13 keep my Social Security number private, as I
14 said. He disclosed the first five and it's
15 very easy to obtain the last four because
16 that's often used as a security confirmation
17 for logins on websites, government data bases,
18 sometimes you go to the DMV, they want the
19 last four of your social. Frequently when you
20 call the hospital, they want the last four.
21 Well, he gave the world the first five. That
22 has caused me mental anguish and anxiety
23 wondering who might be stealing my identity
24 and having to address those issues.

25 He's also stated that it was purely

1 seclusion and privacy of my family by
2 threatening to kill my father, threatening to
3 drown my cat.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. REO: He's solicited other
7 parties --

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 MR. REO: -- to cause physical
11 harm to myself, my father, and my cat. That
12 served as the basis for the issuance of the
13 civil protection stalking order which he
14 denounced as bogus and fraudulent. He
15 afforded the opportunity to be heard, but he
16 chose not to attend the hearing.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. REO: The Church of Jesus
20 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri is
21 of one mind with that man. As you heard him
22 say over the last two days, he is the church.
23 That's what he said in his words, he is one
24 with the church. He and the church are
25 indistinguishable. He has full control over

1 the church. He incorporated it. He's the
2 sole individual running the church. He's the
3 only one who posts content to the church
4 website. He and the church are of one mind.
5 He incorporated it, his own words, to insulate
6 himself from liability and to try and avoid
7 being forcibly medicated approximately fifteen
8 years ago when he was institutionalized.

9 The liability, the corporate veil should
10 be pierced and liability should be apportioned
11 to Lindstedt and the church as though they are
12 one entity. His control of the church is so
13 complete that they were indistinguishable.

14 Punitive damages are warranted because at
15 all times this man has acted with a complete
16 disregard for basic standards of decency. He
17 said his goal is to ruin me and see me
18 disbarred. There is absolutely no reason or
19 basis for him to carry on and behave in this
20 manner.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. REO: If he's not punished
24 and punished severely, he's going to continue.
25 This has been going on for ten years. I want

1 it to stop, my family wants it to stop, we
2 want to move on in our lives and have that man
3 well in the rear-view mirror. And he said
4 yesterday he's not done writing and he's going
5 to continue writing. So I want the anxiety,
6 the bouts of depression, the sleepless nights,
7 as I said gastrointestinal problems, I want
8 that over.

9 Now, he's talked about a lot about
10 community standards. I think today is time
11 we show him our community standards. He
12 thinks this is a game, but I'm not laughing.
13 His antics may have been momentarily amusing
14 here and there, but I've dealt with ten years
15 of this and I believe he needs to be shut down
16 and I'm imploring you today to shut him down
17 completely, to return a verdict of -- I would
18 ask for \$300,000 in actual compensatory and
19 emotional and mental anguish damages, 200,000
20 in punitive damages so he finally has to face
21 the consequences of what he's done for the
22 last ten years.

23 Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reo.

25 Mr. Lindstedt.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo tells quite a
2 tale of woe. The problem is is that Mr. Reo
3 could have left white supremacy twenty years
4 ago. What happens is that he was told by
5 someone he -- you know, he got charged with
6 website, went ahead and censored everybody and
7 pretty well quite a few leaders called him,
8 well, just a lying Mexican faggot, because
9 they thought he was a Mexican.

10 MR. REO: Objection.

11 THE COURT: Overruled.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Anyway, he got kicked
13 out because, guess what, Bryan Reo -- you
14 know, Bryan Reo has to learn he's not white.
15 So then he waits another time or two and guess
16 what, he comes in with Eli James and William
17 Finck and they have a meeting and all of a
18 sudden he has all that stuff. Well, I haven't
19 been convicted of perjury.

20 Bryan Reo, two years ago, him and his
21 lawyer, who were part of this what I call the
22 Zogbot Poly Law Center. It was a Foundation
23 for the Marketplace of Ideas, a white
24 supremacist lawfare group. They went ahead
25 and sued a negro journalist who went ahead and

1 told the truth --

2 MR. REO: Objection.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- went ahead and
5 told the truth about Tom Robb. And I think
6 Tom Robb is a thieving scumbag out of
7 Harrison, Arkansas. I think, you know, part
8 of the reason I have The Church of Jesus
9 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri is
10 to make sure -- you know, the problem with the
11 Aryan Nations is that it generates, it
12 generates drug adled criminals. The ones in
13 Ohio, well, luckily, I mean, they were trying
14 to catch him and luckily the F.B.I. got tired
15 of trying to catch him and they gave him a hot
16 load of cocaine and killed him. You know, the
17 next successor got the same thing.

18 MR. REO: Objection.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: He's still alive,
20 but --

21 THE COURT: Sustained.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: In -- in my time, in
23 my time of the movement, and I've been in the
24 movement since really before Waco and I
25 understand and I think the next year or so is

1 going to be a financial, you know, a number of
2 collapses. Mr. Reo goes ahead and all of a
3 sudden here he is doing, he's doing, but I'm
4 not allowed to do it. Him and his lawyer went
5 ahead and presented some evidence --

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- that I was insane
9 because I made fun of his good buddy, William
10 Finck, the great Greek scholar who was made
11 fun of by a woman in a wheelchair who actually
12 was born in Macedonia who spoke Greek and
13 said, "William Finck don't know anything."
14 You heard that.

15 Mr. Reo, he doesn't know Mr. Finck, only
16 knew him in 2013 or 2015 when he went ahead
17 and wrote Mr. -- you know, on behalf of Mr.
18 Finck and his girlfriend, Mrs. Epp --
19 Ms. Epperson, who really isn't his wife, but
20 it doesn't really matter, let's not judge.
21 Mr. Finck, why he is outside the -- you know,
22 this court doesn't have jurisdiction over him.

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Sustained.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: I agree. I agree,

1 don't have jurisdiction over Mr. Finck. You
2 know, he lives 915 miles. Closer
3 geographically, but because of way of the
4 road, he lives -- he live what, he lives the
5 same distance I do in Florida, he's there and
6 they are real good friends here. Mr. Reo
7 claims that he -- you know, he gave me the
8 exhibits, gave me the exhibits and the whole
9 thing was I was insane and not to be allowed
10 to testify in my own behalf and he went ahead
11 against Roxie who never did a single thing,
12 but he knew that she was bed bound.

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: He knew all that.

16 And what happened is he still went on there
17 and he can see Roxie is pretty well -- I
18 wanted her to testify whether or not she's
19 sneaking in here to go ahead and send Mr. Reo
20 a bunch of kitty litter or whatever.

21 In any case, you know, last night he's
22 claiming that I'm -- you know, my Church of
23 Jesus Christ is a conspiracy and them and I
24 are one. Well, which is it, Mr. Reo?

25 MR. REO: Objection.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Is it conspiracy
2 between two individuals or one?

3 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury
4 will disregard that last statement.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: So Mr. Reo wants to
6 have it all. He wants to pretend to be a
7 white supremacist. The problem of it is here,
8 you know, like -- like the -- like the thing
9 about Catherine Williams, he goes ahead -- he
10 goes ahead and has on his own personal blog,
11 he has something about how he carries an MP3
12 player to record his interactions with elderly
13 white ladies and their Medicare and if it
14 works out good, they hear the recording and if
15 it doesn't, it's bad.

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: And they said, "Well,
19 hey, that's the church law," but that
20 disappeared down the memory hole. Folks, I
21 was not allowed -- I was not allowed to
22 present my evidence but Mr. Reo two years ago,
23 I shouldn't be allowed because I made fun of
24 Mr. Finck, who somehow he doesn't know.

25 If I would have been allowed to present

1 evidence, I would have presented evidence that
2 he was, you know, with Mr. Finck as late as
3 last year, early this year.

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 Mr. Lindstedt, you were given the
7 opportunity to present evidence.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: No, I wasn't, Your
9 Honor.

10 THE COURT: You didn't comply
11 with the court's orders, so move on.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. With the
13 court's orders.

14 You see, right now I have lost -- I have
15 lost three cases and supposedly I have a
16 settlement.

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm supposed to pay
20 this here and he said, "Mr. Lindstedt is a
21 terror to his community." And the answer is
22 it is quite true.

23 I -- I have a minimum of every time I
24 enter the court, you know, courthouse, I have
25 a minimum of two policemen looking around,

1 sometimes four. Sometimes I have to say,
2 "Hey, you know, will you please give me some
3 room so I can piss on the toilet seat in
4 peace?"

5 I mean, they just -- you know, they are --
6 what happens is that when your rulers are
7 scared and like I said before, land of the
8 free, home of the brave, I was -- anyway,
9 getting on, Mr. Reo, he was allowed to present
10 all sorts of cherry picked --

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- over cherry picked
14 stuff. I wasn't allowed to present anything
15 and that's when you seen me going ahead and I
16 have been that way. Asked Mr. Reo if this was
17 his first jury trial and he wouldn't answer
18 it. This has been my sixth.

19 MR. REO: Objection.

20 THE COURT: Sustained.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: And I've been found
22 guilty by a jury every single one and it
23 doesn't matter. I'm going to go ahead and do
24 what needs to be done.

25 The thing about it is is that Mr. Reo,

1 when you went ahead and seen -- when you went
2 ahead and see that thing about Lexis Nexis,
3 that was presented -- that was given to myself
4 but also Russ Walker. And Russ Walker is
5 dead. And Russ Walker published it, said,
6 "Ha, ha, ha, it's a victory for free speech."

7 MR. REO: Objection.

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: And that's when it
10 was there. That's when it was there. But
11 later on, later on he's presenting the one I
12 did. But let me ask the question here. That
13 one came from Mr. Reo filing it himself here.

14 MR. REO: Objection.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: I got that from -- I
17 got that from Mr. Reo. If Mr. Reo didn't like
18 his entire Social Security number, which is
19 nonsense here, couldn't he have just simply
20 scratched it out? And the answer is he could
21 have.

22 MR. REO: Objection.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: He could have. He
25 could have scratched it out. But no, he

1 already filed motions and lost and appealed
2 and lost.

3 MR. REO: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Sustained. Let's
5 talk about the evidence --

6 MR. REO: Move to strike.

7 THE COURT: -- that came in in
8 this case.

9 MR. REO: Move to strike.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: As far as -- as far
12 as Catherine -- as far as Catherine Williams,
13 Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo has a standing invitation.
14 If he wishes -- you know, I'm not going to
15 pull Bryan Reo and yank all this evidence like
16 he's been doing.

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: In fact, he's begging
20 "Mr. Lindstedt should be censored." Well,
21 folks, if you go ahead and take the only
22 evidence that's allowed, the only thing that's
23 going to be left --

24 MR. REO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- the only thing
2 that's going to be left is pretty well me
3 putting up a public figure, a Lexis Nexis
4 page, which he is the one that really when it
5 comes down to it, he's the source of it.

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: He's the source of
9 that Lexis Nexis.

10 As far as the admin thing, what happens is
11 when I go ahead and do my web page here, I
12 prefer an important thread to be started by
13 admin and I want that letter to be easy to
14 understand and it should end in 100. So what
15 happens with the closest 100 was, you know, a
16 week or two before the main one. If you go
17 ahead and look, well, guess what, yeah, you
18 can see, you know, the date of, you know, most
19 of it here, but way in the corner Mr. Reo
20 didn't want you to see a lot if these dates
21 that are set there.

22 MR. REO: Objection.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: But, anyway, what
25 happens is that Mr. Reo, if he wanted, if he

1 asked, I will, you know, just -- I will say
2 that I did believe Mr. Reo killed Catherine
3 Williams.

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Because it's the same
7 -- it's the same -- it's the same standard.
8 He's running around and looking for someone to
9 harass as far as not -- you know, and if he
10 asks, I will say, "Hey, look, I found out in
11 2014 that it was a different Bryan Reo that
12 had the same model, has the same model car."

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: He talked about Eli
16 James. November 28th, November 28th he's
17 talking with Eli James about taking down the
18 NIMBusters and Eli James is talking about
19 going to the Chicago F.B.I. to go ahead and
20 have NIMBusters put in jail.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: That's the sort of
24 character here. This character has what, 61
25 lawsuits of which five of them --

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- are against me and
3 Roxie.

4 THE COURT: Sustained. Move on,
5 Mr. Lindstedt.

6 MR. REO: Move to strike.

7 THE COURT: The jury will
8 disregard that last statement of Mr.
9 Lindstedt.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: And he is scared. If
11 you listen to him -- oh, by the way, he makes
12 a point that I'm not -- you know, that I'm not
13 employed. I have an inheritance. Mr. Reo
14 went ahead and found out. You know, why
15 didn't he -- why didn't he go ahead and object
16 when -- you know, after he sued here?

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: He found out I have
19 an inheritance in South Dakota.

20 THE COURT: Sustained.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: And you are not
22 allowed to see where he says, "Pray for my
23 success" with Mr. Finck --

24 MR. REO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 Mr. Lindstedt, you are going to have to limit
2 yourself to actual evidence that came in
3 during the course of this trial.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: The evidence that was
5 allowed, I have filed a motion -- I have filed
6 a motion for a mistrial.

7 MR. REO: Objection.

8 THE COURT: Overruled. And I
9 ruled on that and it was overruled.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: It's been overruled.
11 I thought -- like I said before, I told you I
12 put \$400. I was going to go and file in the
13 Western District of Missouri.

14 MR. REO: Objection.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: I was going to file
16 against Mr. Reo and --

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 MR. REO: Move to strike.

20 THE COURT: It's granted. The
21 jury will disregard Mr. Lindstedt's last
22 comment.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. And I was
24 going to end the jurisdiction of this court
25 over people who come from 900 miles away.

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: I did not -- I did
4 not go ahead. You know, Mr. Finck called me a
5 child molester three weeks ago --

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and he still has
8 the Eli James article --

9 MR. REO: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Sustained.

11 MR. REO: Move to strike.

12 THE COURT: Any time I sustain an
13 objection, the jury is ordered to disregard
14 the comment.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: The Eli James
16 article, pretty well Mr. Reo, he published it.

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: What happens is that
20 he's complaining -- he's --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, let's
22 keep to the causes of the action that remain
23 in this case.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, he's
25 complaining about doing all this stuff here.

1 He is terrified, he is terrified that I'm
2 going to go ahead and keep public figure like
3 this Lexis Nexis, which actually he is
4 responsible for keeping mainly all the stuff
5 about his lawsuits.

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: He is terrified, he
8 is terrified --

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- that I'm going to
11 put the National Auto Zone to where Mr. Reo,
12 Mr. Klimkowsky got caught lying.

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Sustained. Mr.
15 Lindstedt --

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: They brought it back
17 here.

18 THE COURT: -- one more thing,
19 you have five more minutes in this closing
20 argument.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: I wish you'd -- I
22 wish you'd stop cutting into it then.

23 THE COURT: Well, then you should
24 talk about the evidence that was actually
25 brought into the trial.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: The evidence I was
2 allowed to get. The Ohio Constitution says --

3 MR. REO: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- every citizen may
6 may freely speak, write and publish his
7 sentiments on all subjects, being responsible
8 for the abuse of that right; and no law shall
9 be passed to restrain or abridge that right.

10 This judge, with his private facts, I
11 didn't know there was such a thing as private
12 facts.

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Sustained.

15 MR. REO: Move to terminate
16 defendant's closing statement.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, of course,
18 silence me.

19 THE COURT: The court is going to
20 be instruct the jury as to the law.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Of course, and you
22 are going to do what the law says. That's why
23 I'm lost in front of every jury.

24 You see, I was not allowed to present the
25 evidence. I did my best --

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and it didn't
4 happen. The thing about it is is that Mr. --
5 I didn't find out about Mr. Reo working at the
6 North Perry Nuclear Power Plant. I didn't go
7 ahead and give my opinion. My opinion, he's a
8 child molester working at the North Perry
9 Nuclear Power Plant. I didn't.

10 MR. REO: Objection.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo --

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo interfered
14 with a friend of mine's lawsuit.

15 MR. REO: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: And, you know, he
18 whines about his loss of privacy. He would go
19 on Talk Shoe and print my -- publish my -- you
20 know, publish my address, my phone number.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: John Britton's --

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. He -- you
25 know, I have never seen anyone with less --

1 with less respect for anybody's rights here
2 and he is terrified. He is terrified. And,
3 by the way, I mean, I would give him credit.
4 I've never seen anybody who is so determined
5 to do -- to do evil. I mean, he is
6 determined. But, then again, he doesn't see
7 -- he's seen me taking down page after page
8 after web page --

9 MR. REO: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and I keep popping
12 up. I keep popping up and I will keep popping
13 up on this. And he is terrified that people
14 will go ahead and read public information,
15 which he thinks is privileged. I didn't know
16 -- I didn't know truth was privileged.

17 Folks, this is a wannabe white
18 supremacist. He's already had three bites at
19 that particular apple and he may have a fourth
20 one.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: He could have left --
24 he could have left when I found his identity
25 and we went ahead and discussed it but he

1 Nuclear Power Plant is what, one of the worst
2 -- one of the worst things here.

3 What happens is he came in, he came in,
4 and said the whole safety factors here. I
5 imagine -- you know, I went ahead and
6 imagined, I went ahead and read of Mr. Reppert
7 and --

8 MR. REO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Let me point out here
11 that -- I'll try to go ahead and point out
12 here is that more than likely, you know, more
13 than likely I pointed out here is that if you
14 go ahead and reserve evil, just like the
15 people, Sodom and Gomorrah here, who abuse
16 legal process, you will get what you deserve.

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: And I'm going to ask
20 you to not deserve it. If you don't want to
21 deserve -- if you -- people do get what they
22 -- what they deserve. So what I would like
23 the jury to do is not deserve it. What I
24 would like is the only thing that's left here,
25 I went from abuse of legal process, tortious

1 interference, what I would like to ask and Mr.
2 Reo -- Mr. Reo, he wants \$300,000. He
3 couldn't -- he couldn't -- he couldn't -- he
4 couldn't get 10.75 million in federal court,
5 but he wants --

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: What I'm going to ask
9 is I'm not interested in taking Mr. Reo's
10 money, you know, you know, you know, rendering
11 him penniless and destitute.

12 THE COURT: You have one minute,
13 Mr. Lindstedt.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: But I want him and
15 his lawyers --

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- I want them to
18 have a settlement and to be disbarred to where
19 they will not go against someone --

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and have him
22 call me up crying, "Please take \$1500 to take
23 my name down here because I have to pay Bryan
24 Reo" --

25 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt --

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: -- thank you, you're
3 time is up.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, rebuttal.

6 MR. REO: I have ten minutes
7 for rebuttal, Your Honor?

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 MR. REO: Mr. Lindstedt keeps
10 mischaracterizing my association with Mr.
11 Finck. As I said, Mr. Finck was previously a
12 captain in a county jail in New Jersey. He
13 was incarcerated. He misrepresented the
14 reason as to why he was incarcerated and said
15 that it was a misunderstanding. When he told
16 me, and I came to learn that he was directly,
17 personally and physically involved in the
18 murder of one of the inmates under his charge,
19 along with my having learned of the full
20 extent of his views, I disassociated myself --

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. REO: -- from the
24 individual, Mr. Finck. And as I said, over an
25 approximately four-year period, from 2009 to

1 about 2013, I met him less than four times,
2 probably three times for lunch when he would
3 come through the area. We spoke occasionally
4 about topics related to ancient history
5 because he taught himself ancient Greek while
6 he was in prison which impressed me on
7 intellectual level.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 MR. REO: We both had a mutual
11 interest in ancient Roman history. I wasn't
12 part of his group or whatever it was that he
13 had going on and when I learned about the
14 extent of that, I disassociated myself from
15 him and ended my acquaintance with his friend,
16 Eli James.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled. Hold on,
19 Mr. Reo. Could you put your pen on the table,
20 please?

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I'm sorry.

22 THE COURT: Thank you.

23 I'm sorry, Mr. Reo. Continue.

24 MR. REO: Thank you, Your
25 Honor.

1 I've never been associated or affiliated
2 with or attempted to associate with whatever
3 it is he's part of. He said he's an
4 archbishop, maybe he could be the pope, but
5 it's too Catholic, he's going to have a futile
6 kingdom, he has followers, they're going to
7 start a civil war. And he says that I'm
8 terrified and I'm anxious. Well, the only
9 thing I'm nervous about is that this has gone
10 on for ten years and you just heard from him,
11 he intends to continue. He's not going to
12 stop. So I say it's time to make him stop.

13 But he talks about other lawsuits. I'm a
14 plaintiff's attorney. Of course I'm involved
15 in representing people in other cases. And as
16 I said, he's harrassed people that have been
17 involved in other cases. I've had people ask
18 me questions about him. It's embarrassing.
19 It's humiliating. I don't want my name coming
20 up on his website. When people search "Bryan
21 Reo," I want them to find "Bryan Reo,
22 Attorney," not him posting that I'm all of
23 these things and that I have syphilis. It's
24 dispicable and he has no intention on
25 stopping. He said so himself. He still

1 thinks this is a game.

2 Nothing further.

3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reo.

4 Mr. Lindstedt.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: I wasn't allowed to
6 present any evidence. I don't know if any of
7 you are so stupid that you don't know --

8 MR. REO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Sustained.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- that Mr. Reo,
11 Mr. Eli James were big buddies, hundreds of
12 shows together.

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Could have gone ahead
16 -- could have gone ahead and for all the talk,
17 Eli James is like me. I was born and raised
18 in South Dakota and the Indians are kept on
19 reservations. They weren't slaughtered like a
20 bunch of people like Custer wanted.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: They were kept on
24 reservations. I have many times talked about
25 racial federalism to where every group of

1 people could have their own homeland. In
2 fact, I'm the presenter of what I call 10,000
3 wars to where -- when Civil War II or III
4 happens, everyone can go ahead and live
5 according to their own lives.

6 I do not -- I, unlike Bryan Reo and
7 William Finck, argue with Eli James. I don't
8 want to go ahead and just exterminate
9 everybody. And that's what you would have
10 heard if I was allowed to present testimony.

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Sustained.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Here he comes -- here
14 he comes talking about the Krampus Klaus and
15 there were what, six or eight -- six or eight
16 other people who went ahead and got, you know,
17 got a Krampus Klaus goodie. It was me dressed
18 as Krampus who goes against the bad little
19 kids. I wasn't allowed to present that
20 evidence.

21 Mr. Reo, he doesn't like this. He doesn't
22 like this, but, in fact, he's a public figure
23 or at least a limited purpose public figure
24 within white supremacy and Christian Identity.

25 MR. REO: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: He is -- you know, he
3 -- he wants me -- he wants to be notable, but
4 he doesn't want his picture taken.

5 MR. REO: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: He wants to go ahead
8 hide and skulk and threaten to shove a spear
9 up some 86-year-old Greek woman, because she
10 said the great Greek scholar --

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Sustained.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: In the case of
14 Mr. Finck, I can go ahead and prove that more
15 than likely they're -- just like he's trying
16 to say about the accident --

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- I don't know how
20 the hell a fractured -- a fractured, broken
21 sternum is an accident. It wasn't an
22 accident.

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Sustained. Limit
25 yourself --

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: What?

2 THE COURT: Limit yourself to
3 what was testified to in this case.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. So let me --
5 let me -- let me go ahead. Mr. Reo says, "He
6 needs to be -- you know, he needs to be
7 stopped." Mr. Reo, if he had left like he
8 agreed to --

9 MR. REO: Objection.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- he could have, oh,
11 one or two things here, but if you don't --

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: If you do not wish to
14 be named and become an involuntary public
15 figure, don't file lawsuits.

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: I go ahead --

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and in that box
20 you can see Mr. Reo has filed a motion to
21 strike. That's about all he knows, motion for
22 summary judgement, motion to strike.

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Sustained. We're not
25 talking about the motions filed in this case.

1 Talk about the evidence.

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, the big box of
3 it, the only evidence you were allowed to
4 hear.

5 MR. REO: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Overruled.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Folks, you were not
8 allowed to hear this evidence here --

9 MR. REO: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Overruled.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- so I don't take --
12 I do not take the proceedings seriously. I
13 did not -- you know, supposedly I might have
14 had a slam dunk, you know, you know, case
15 against --

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- William Shawn
18 DeClue and William Finck --

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- but I agreed -- I
21 agreed that this court did not have
22 jurisdiction.

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: So how in the world

1 can I rule that Mr. Finck should be published
2 and Mr. DeClue should be punished for libeling
3 and slandering me when I agree that this court
4 should not have any jurisdiction? They should
5 not allow Bryan Reo to run around and file all
6 these lawsuits.

7 MR. REO: Objection.

8 THE COURT: Overruled.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: Folks, a lot of them
10 were filed. Folks, he threatened my internet
11 service provider with, "I already filed
12 thirteen" --

13 MR. REO: Objection.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- "You want to be
15 number fourteen?"

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: And somewhere around
18 fifteen or sixteen --

19 MR. REO: Objection.

20 THE COURT: Sustained.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- I made Mr. Reo --
22 I made Mr. Reo pay for these lawsuits that he
23 filed.

24 MR. REO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: He's complaining --
2 he's complaining because the only thing, you
3 know, due to statute of limitation and due to
4 the fact he didn't dare file any complaints
5 because --

6 MR. REO: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Sustained.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- he was a member --
9 in short, he didn't -- he didn't dare file any
10 of the stuff here because --

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- he was busy being
13 a white supremacist --

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and he didn't want
16 people to know about it. He didn't want
17 people to know about it and, you know, just
18 tossed in the face and now he's in between
19 white supremacist case. And so he wants to go
20 ahead and file the stuff he didn't file --

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- that he had a
23 chance to timely file, but he didn't.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo

1 wants me to file -- you know, and I've
2 admitted to you, I've told you the truth. Mr.
3 Reo did nothing but lie.

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: I told you the truth.
7 I've told you the truth about my goals, my
8 methods here. Later on you may or may not do
9 your own research here and figure out for
10 yourself.

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: But I -- I let
13 people --

14 THE COURT: Overruled. You said,
15 "later on." You mean after this verdict?

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: After this, yes. I
17 let people decide for themselves what they
18 want to think. And if you don't like my web
19 page, don't go there. And that is, you know,
20 I despise -- I despise the State of Missouri,
21 I despise the State of Ohio, I despise the
22 United States government, because they promise
23 people, "Oh, yes, you're free. You can say
24 whatever you want" --

25 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt --

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- "you have freedom
2 of speech."

3 MR. REO: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: And then you're
6 stupid enough to believe that crap, you get
7 hauled into court by Mr. Reo.

8 MR. REO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: And not allowed to go
11 ahead and say what really happened. You're
12 not allowed to tell the truth. I make no -- I
13 make no mistake, you know, like Mr. Reo about
14 300 programs, you know, I make no mistake here
15 that I would find a Nazi or open communist or
16 I would prefer really not Nazism or communist,
17 but local military dictatorship --

18 MR. REO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- to where people --

21 THE COURT: That has no relevance
22 to this case.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: People will --

24 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, you
25 have three minutes.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm going to ask --
2 what I'm asking here, you know, I've already
3 filed a motion for a mistrial --

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- but what I'm
7 asking is that Mr. Reo -- that Mr. Reo, he's
8 the only one here, he's asking for 300,000,
9 I'm going to ask for, you know, one-tenth what
10 he asked for at the federal level. I want
11 \$1,000,000 --

12 MR. REO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- so that he will be
15 disbarred, him and his white supremacist
16 buddies crawled off so that they will not, you
17 know, be white supremacist lawfare lawyers,
18 but more to the point, they will never be able
19 to go after working men and woman.

20 He whines about how I don't work. When he
21 filed this here --

22 MR. REO: Objection.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- he didn't file
24 against just me --

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- he didn't file
2 against Russ Walker. It wasn't until he found
3 out that I have -- that I have an inheritance
4 and he wants it.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: He wants it.

7 THE COURT: Move on.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: A system like that
9 shouldn't exist and I think it will be
10 destroyed. I think -- you know, and I've been
11 called a domestic terrorist here. I went
12 ahead and, you know, just simply came up with,
13 you know, the idea of spreading chronic waste
14 disease and a major --

15 THE COURT: You have one minute,
16 Mr. --

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Major Arthur went
18 ahead and made me into Lieutenant Fannington
19 Cave (phonetic). And the thing is is that you
20 not only have to have -- in order to have a
21 government, you not only have to have a lack
22 of rebellion, you have to have consent.

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: And I would wish --

1 you know, I'm not really asking for your
2 consent, I'm simply asking you to end Mr.
3 Reo's, you know, life of terrorism when he's a
4 white supremacist one minute --

5 MR. REO: Objection.

6 THE COURT: Sustained.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and he's a lawyer
8 for the next.

9 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr.
10 Lindstedt.

11 You have heard the evidence. It is now my
12 duty to instruct you on the law which applies
13 in this case. The judge and the jury have
14 separate functions. You decide the disputed
15 facts and I provide the instructions of law.
16 It is your sworn duty to accept these
17 instructions and to apply the law as it is
18 given to you. You are not permitted to change
19 the law, nor to apply your own conceptions of
20 what you think the law is or should be.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 We've gone over the jury instructions.
24 I'm going to read them without interruption,
25 Mr. Lindstedt.

1 probative value. You must weigh the quality
2 of the evidence. Quality may or may not be
3 identical with quantity or greater number of
4 witnesses.

5 In deciding whether an issue has been
6 proved by a preponderance of the evidence, you
7 should consider all of the evidence,
8 regardless of who introduced it.

9 If the weight of the evidence is equally
10 balanced, the party who has the burden of
11 proof has not established such issue by a
12 preponderance of the evidence.

13 "Clear and convincing" means that the
14 evidence must produce in your minds a firm
15 belief or conviction about the facts to be
16 proved or the truth of the matter. It must be
17 more than evidence that simply outweighs or
18 overbalances the evidence opposed to it.

19 Evidenve is all the testimony received
20 from the witnesses and any facts which the
21 court requires you to accept as true. You nay
22 consider both direct and circumstantial
23 evidence. You must decide from all the direct
24 and circumstantial evidence taken together
25 whether the party who has the burden of proof

1 has met that burden.

2 Direct evidence is the testimony given by
3 a witness who has seen or heard the facts
4 about which the witness testified.

5 Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts
6 or circumstances by direct evidence from which
7 you may reasonably infer other related or
8 connected facts that naturally and logically
9 follow according to the common experience of
10 mankind. If you go to bed and the ground is
11 clear and you awake in the morning and see
12 that the ground is covered with snow, that is
13 circumstantial evidence that it snowed
14 earlier. If you see human footprints in sand,
15 that is circumstantial evidence that someone
16 had walked there.

17 To infer or to make an inference is to
18 reach a reasonable conclusion of fact which
19 you may but are not required to make from
20 other facts that you find have been
21 established by direct evidence. Whether an
22 inference is made rests entirely with you.

23 You may infer a fact or facts only from
24 other facts or circumstances that have been
25 proved by the greater weight of the evidence,

1 but you may not infer a fact or facts from a
2 speculative or remote basis that has not been
3 proven or established by the greater weight of
4 the evidence.

5 Direct evidence and circumstantial
6 evidence are of equal weight.

7 The evidence does not include the
8 pleadings or any statement of the parties made
9 during the course of trial unless such
10 statement was an admission or agreement
11 admitting certain facts. The opening
12 statements and the closing arguments of the
13 parties are designed to assist you. They are
14 not evidence.

15 Statements or answers that were stricken
16 by the court or to which the court sustained
17 an objection or that you were instructed to
18 disregard are not evidence and must be treated
19 as though you never heard them.

20 Likewise, assumptions of fact by the
21 parties cannot be considered as evidence.

22 You must not speculate as to why -- as to
23 why the court sustained the objection to any
24 question or what the answer to such question
25 might have been. You must not consider as

1 evidence any suggestion included in a question
2 that was not answered. You are the judges of
3 the facts, the credibility of the witnesses
4 and the weight of the evidence. To weigh the
5 evidence, you must consider the credibility of
6 the witnesses. You will use the tests of
7 truthfulness which you use in your daily
8 lives.

9 These tests include the appearance of each
10 witness upon the stand, the witness' manner of
11 testifying; the reasonableness of the
12 testimony; the opportunity the witness had to
13 see, hear and know the things concerning which
14 the witness testified; the accuracy of memory;
15 frankness or lack of it; intelligence,
16 interest and bias, if any; together with all
17 the facts and circumstances surrounding the
18 testimony. Applying these tests you will
19 assign to each witness' testimony such weight
20 -- such weight as you deem proper.

21 You are not required to believe the
22 testimony of any witness simply because the
23 witness was under oath. You may believe or
24 disbelieve all or any part of the testimony of
25 any witness. It is your province to determine

1 what testimony is worthy of belief and what
2 testimony is not worthy of belief. The
3 testimony of one witness if believed by you is
4 sufficient to prove any disputed fact. You
5 are cautioned that the truth lies in the
6 answers of the witnesses and the valid
7 inferences drawn therefrom, not in the
8 parties' questions.

9 Plaintiff's Count One - Defamation Per Se.
10 Defamation is a false written or oral
11 statement that injures another's reputation.

12 The plaintiff claims that the defendant
13 defamed him causing injury. The defendant is
14 alleged to have stated that plaintiff
15 committed vehicular homicide and/or perjury
16 and/or extortion and/or mail fraud and/or wire
17 fraud.

18 Before you can find in favor of the
19 plaintiff, you must find by clear and
20 convincing evidence that the defendant made at
21 least one of the alleged statements and the
22 statement was about the plaintiff and the
23 statement was false and the statement was
24 published to one or more persons other than
25 the plaintiff and the defendant in making the

1 statement acted with actual malice.

2 As previously explained, "clear and
3 convincing" means that the evidence must
4 produce in your minds a firm belief or
5 conviction about the facts to be proved or the
6 truth of the matter. It must be more than
7 evidence that simply outweighs or overbalances
8 the evidence opposed to it.

9 A statement is false when it is not
10 substantially true. It is substantially true
11 when the gist or substance of the statement is
12 true or is justified by the facts taking the
13 statement as a whole. The defendant's words
14 must be given their natural and ordinary
15 meaning taking into consideration the
16 circumstances in which the statement was made.
17 You must ignore any minor ways in which the
18 statement is false. In deciding whether the
19 statement was false, you may not consider the
20 defendant's belief as to the truth of the
21 statement.

22 "Published" means the statement was read
23 and understood by a person other than the
24 plaintiff. If only the plaintiff read it, the
25 statement was not published.

1 Actual malice occurs when the defendant
2 makes a false statement either with the
3 knowledge that it is false or with reckless
4 disregard of whether it is false or not.

5 "Regardless disregard" means that the
6 defendant acted while actually aware of the
7 probable falsity of the statement or the
8 defendant entertained serious doubts as to the
9 truth of the statement. The defendant's
10 failure to investigate may be considered
11 evidence that the defendant acted with
12 reckless disregard to the statement's truth or
13 falsity, but only if you find from the facts
14 and circumstances that the defendant had
15 serious doubts about the truth of the
16 statement.

17 Conclusion as to Per Se Defamation. If
18 you find in favor of the plaintiff, it is
19 assumed that the plaintiff's reputation was
20 injured and you may award the plaintiff an
21 amount of money that you decide is reasonable
22 and fair for the plaintiff's injuries directly
23 caused by the defamatory statement.

24 You must put aside all passion, prejudice,
25 personal dislikes, or anger. You may take

1 into consideration all facts and circumstances
2 in evidence to decide the amount of these
3 damages. The following are the types of
4 injury for which you may award compensation:
5 (1) the injury to the plaintiff's reputation,
6 including exposure to public contempt,
7 ridicule, shame or disgrace; or
8 (2) loss of business or professional
9 income; or
10 (3) the plaintiff's mental anguish, pain
11 or suffering; or
12 (4) loss of society, companionship, and
13 friendship.

14 I will provide further instructions on
15 damages later.

16 Plaintiff's Count 2 - Invasion of Privacy
17 - False Light. The plaintiff claims that the
18 defendant violated his right to privacy by
19 placing the plaintiff in a false light.

20 To establish a claim for invasion of
21 privacy by placing the plaintiff in a false
22 light, the plaintiff must prove by the greater
23 weight of the evidence that:

24 (A) the defendant publicized a matter
25 concerning the plaintiff;

1 (B) the publicity of the matter placed the
2 plaintiff before the public in a false light;

3 (C) the false flight in which the
4 plaintiff was placed would be highly offensive
5 to a reasonable person; and

6 (D) the defendant, 1, had knowledge of the
7 falsity of the publicized matter and the false
8 light in which it placed the plaintiff; or, 2,
9 acted with reckless disregard as to the
10 falsity of the publicized matter and the false
11 light in which it placed the plaintiff.

12 "Publicity" means communicating in any
13 manner the matter to the public at large or to
14 so many persons that the matter must be
15 regarded as substantially certain to become
16 one of public knowledge.

17 A person acts knowingly regardless of
18 purpose when the person is aware that the
19 person's conduct will probably cause a certain
20 result or be of a certain nature. The person
21 has knowledge of circumstances when the person
22 is aware that such circumstances probably
23 exist.

24 Because you cannot look into the mind of
25 another, knowledge is determined from all of

1 the facts and circumstances in evidence. You
2 will determine from these facts and
3 circumstances whether there existed at the
4 time in the mind of the defendant an awareness
5 of the probability that the publicized matter
6 was false and the false light in which it
7 placed the plaintiff.

8 A person acts recklessly when with
9 heedless indifference to the consequences the
10 person disregards a substantial and
11 unjustifiable risk that the person's conduct
12 is likely to cause a certain result or is
13 likely to be of a certain nature. A person is
14 reckless with respect to circumstances when
15 with heedless indifference to the consequences
16 the person disregards a substantial and
17 unjustifiable risk that such circumstances are
18 likely to exist.

19 "Substantial risk" means a strong
20 possibility, as contrasted with a remote or
21 significant possibility that a certain result
22 may occur or certain circumstances may exist.

23 If you find for the plaintiff -- I'm
24 sorry, if you find that the plaintiff proved
25 each part of his claim by a greater weight of

1 the evidence, then you must find for the
2 plaintiff. You must then determine what
3 damages, if any, were caused by the
4 defendant's conduct.

5 If you find that the plaintiff failed to
6 prove any part of his claim by a preponderance
7 of the evidence, then your verdict must be for
8 the defendant.

9 Plaintiff's Count 3 - Invasion of Privacy
10 - Publication of Private Facts. The plaintiff
11 claims that the defendant violated the
12 plaintiff's right of privacy by publicizing
13 facts concerning the plaintiff's private life.

14 To establish a claim for invasion of
15 privacy by publicizing facts concerning the
16 private life of the plaintiff, the plaintiff
17 must prove by the greater weight of the
18 evidence that:

19 (A) the defendant publicized facts
20 concerning the private life of the plaintiff;

21 (B) the matter publicized was not a
22 legitimate concern to the public;

23 (C) the publicity that the defendant gave
24 would be highly offensive and objectionable to
25 a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities;

1 and

2 (D) the defendant acted intentionally in
3 publicizing the particular facts.

4 "Publicizing" means communicating the
5 matter to the public at large or to so many
6 persons that the matter must be regarded as
7 substantially certain to become one of public
8 knowledge.

9 "Intentionally" means purposely.

10 A purpose -- a person acts purposely when
11 it is the person's specific intention to cause
12 a certain result or engage in conduct of a
13 certain nature. It must be established in
14 this case that at the time in question there
15 was present in the mind of the defendant a
16 specific intention to publicize facts about
17 the plaintiff's private life.

18 Purpose is a decision of the mind to do an
19 act with a conscious intent to produce a
20 specific result or engage in specific conduct.
21 To do an act purposely is to do it
22 intentionally and not accidentally. "Purpose"
23 and "intent" mean the same thing. The purpose
24 with which a person does an act is known only
25 to that person unless he expresses it to

1 others or indicates it by his conduct.

2 The purpose with which a person does an
3 act or brings about a result is determined
4 from the manner in which it is done, the means
5 used and all the other facts and circumstances
6 in evidence.

7 Conclusion. If you find that the
8 plaintiff proved each part of his claim by the
9 greater weight of the evidence, then you must
10 find for the plaintiff. You must then decide
11 what damages, if any, were caused by the
12 defendant's conduct. If you find that the
13 plaintiff failed to prove any part of his
14 claim by a preponderance of the evidence, then
15 your verdict must be for the defendant.

16 Plaintiff's Count Four - Invasion of
17 Privacy - Intrusion into Private Activities.
18 The plaintiff claims that the defendant
19 violated the plaintiff's right of privacy by
20 wrongfully intruding into the plaintiff's
21 private activities.

22 In order to establish a claim for invasion
23 of privacy by wrongfully intruding into the
24 plaintiff's private activities, the plaintiff
25 must prove by the greater weight of the

1 evidence that:

2 (A) the defendant intentionally intruded
3 physically or otherwise into the private
4 activities, solitude or seclusion of the
5 plaintiff; and

6 (B) the intrusion by the defendant would
7 be highly offensive to a reasonable person.

8 "Private activities" means activities that
9 are not public in nature.

10 "Intentionally" means purposely. I have
11 previously defined "purposely" in Count Three
12 and you are to use that definition here.

13 If you find that the plaintiff --
14 Conclusion. If you find that the plaintiff
15 proved each part of his claim by a greater
16 weight of the evidence, then you must find for
17 the plaintiff. You must then decide what
18 damages, if any, were caused by the
19 defendant's conduct. If you find that the
20 plaintiff failed to prove any part of his
21 claim by a preponderance of the evidence, then
22 your verdict must be for the defendant.

23 As to Defendant Church of Jesus Christ,
24 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri, plaintiff
25 has also alleged the same claims against

1 defendant Church of Jesus Christ,
2 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri with
3 plaintiff seeking to pierce the corporate veil
4 or form of the church.

5 The corporate form should be disregarded
6 when:

7 (1) the domination and control over the
8 church by Martin Lindstedt is so complete that
9 the church has no separate mind, will, or
10 existence of its own;

11 (2) the domination and control was used to
12 commit defamation or other dishonest or unjust
13 acts; and

14 (3) injury or unjust loss resulting to the
15 plaintiff from such control or wrong.

16 If you find the corporate form of the
17 church should be disregarded, then you may
18 hold Martin Lindstedt individually liable for
19 the church's acts.

20 The Defendant's Counterclaim - Defamation
21 Per Se. As previously mentioned, defamation
22 is a false written or oral statement that
23 injures another's reputation. The defendant
24 claims that the plaintiff defamed him causing
25 injury. The plaintiff is alleged to have

1 stated that the defendant was a pedophile.

2 I have previously outlined the elements of
3 the claim for defamation per se and have
4 defined "clear and convincing," "false,"
5 "published," "actual malice," "reckless
6 disregard," and "damages" in plaintiff's Count
7 One. You are to use those definitions here.

8 Conclusion. If you find in favor of the
9 defendant, it is assumed that the defendant's
10 reputation was injured and you may award the
11 defendant an amount of money that you decide
12 is reasonable and fair for the defendant's
13 injuries directly caused by the defamatory
14 statement.

15 You must put aside all passion, prejudice,
16 personal dislikes or anger. You may take into
17 consideration all facts and circumstances in
18 evidence to decide the amount of these
19 damages. The following are the types of
20 injury for which you may award compensation:

21 (1) the injury to the defendant's
22 reputation, including exposure to public
23 contempt, ridicule, shame, or disgrace; or

24 (2) loss of business or professional
25 income; or

1 (3) the defendant's mental anguish, pain
2 or suffering; or

3 (4) loss of society, companionship and
4 friendship.

5 As to damages, if you find for the
6 plaintiff in Counts One, Two, Three and/or
7 Four, you will decide by the greater weight of
8 the evidence an amount of money that will
9 reasonably compensate the plaintiff for the
10 actual injury proximately and directly caused
11 by the defendant.

12 If you find for the defendant on his
13 counterclaim for defamation, you will decide
14 by the greater weight of the evidence an
15 amount of money that will reasonably
16 compensate the plaintiff (sic) for the actual
17 injury proximately and directly caused by the
18 plaintiff.

19 Punitive Damages. If you find that
20 plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages
21 in Count 1, defamation, you also decide
22 whether the defendant shall be liable for
23 punitive damages in addition to any other
24 damages you award the plaintiff. Likewise, if
25 you find that defendant is entitled to

1 compensatory damages in his counterclaim for
2 defamation, you will also decide whether the
3 plaintiff shall be liable for punitive damages
4 in addition to any other damages you award the
5 defendant.

6 The purpose of punitive damages is to
7 punish the offending party to discourage
8 others from similar conduct. The malice
9 needed to award punitive damages requires
10 proof of the party's conscious state of mind,
11 attitudes towards his opponent, and his
12 motives. You may decide that the party is
13 liable for punitive damages if you find by
14 clear and convincing evidence that:

15 (1) the party's acts or failures to act
16 demonstrate malice, aggravated or egregious
17 fraud, oppression, or insult; or

18 (2) the party as principal authorized,
19 participated in, or ratified acts or failures
20 to act of an employee or agent that
21 demonstrated malice, aggravated or egregious
22 fraud, oppression, or insult, and the party
23 has presented proof of actual damages that
24 resulted from those acts or failure to act of
25 the defendant or agent of the other party.

1 As previously defined, "malice" means a
2 state of mind characterized by hatred, ill
3 will, or a spirit of revenge or a conscious
4 disregard for the rights and safety of another
5 person that has a great probability of causing
6 substantial harm.

7 "Substantial" means major or significant
8 and not trifling or small.

9 If you award punitive damages, the amount
10 should be fair and reasonable under all the
11 facts and circumstances. It should neither be
12 excessive, nor influenced by passion,
13 sympathy, or prejudice.

14 Nominal Damages. If you find for a party
15 that the party failed to prove by the greater
16 weight of the evidence any amount of damages,
17 you may award the party nominal damages.

18 "Nominal" means trifling or small.

19 You will have several verdict forms to
20 complete. Some of the verdict forms are based
21 on the claims made by the plaintiff and
22 another verdict form is based on the claim of
23 the defendant. On the lines designated for
24 monetary compensation, you should enter the
25 sum, which will constitute your award if

1 applicable.

2 It is necessary that at least six of the
3 jurors agree to a verdict. Those of you who
4 agree will sign in ink the answer to the
5 appropriate verdict form.

6 Included in some of the verdict forms are
7 forms for punitive damages. The verdict forms
8 will instruct you whether to fill out the
9 punitive damage forms.

10 There are several verdict forms that I
11 will read, first being "Plaintiff's Count One
12 - Defamation Per Se. We, the jury, being duly
13 impaneled and sworn, find on Count One
14 (defamation per se) of the complaint of
15 plaintiff Bryan Reo against defendant Martin
16 Lindstedt in favor of" and there's a line
17 where you insert either "Bryan Reo" or "Martin
18 Lindstedt" and there are spaces for eight
19 signatures. Once again, six are needed to
20 agree to a verdict.

21 If you have found in favor of defendant,
22 proceed to Count 2 on Page 5. If you have
23 found for the plaintiff, please proceed to
24 next page.

25 The next page reads, "Only those jurors

1 who found in favor of plaintiff on Count One
2 can sign this determination of damages.

3 "We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
4 sworn, further assess the amount of
5 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo
6 as:" and then there's a blank line where you
7 are to write in the amount of money. And
8 there, once again, is signature lines for
9 eight jurors. At least six need to agree and
10 only those who found in favor of plaintiff on
11 Count One can sign as to damages.

12 "In addition to actual damages, we, the
13 jury, make an additional award to the
14 plaintiff of" and, once again, there is a
15 blank line where you are to write in a dollar
16 amount "as punitive damages. (Insert in ink
17 either 'NONE' or the dollar amount in the
18 first blank.)"

19 If you find for the defendant as to
20 plaintiff's Count One, that reads, "We, the
21 jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find on
22 Count One, (defamation" -- oh, I'm sorry, this
23 goes to the second defendant, the Aryan --
24 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
25 Nations as to the defamation per se. "We, the

1 jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find on
2 Count One (defamation per se) of the complaint
3 of plaintiff Bryan Reo against defendant
4 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
5 Nations of Missouri in favor of:" and there's
6 a blank line where you insert either "Bryan
7 Reo" or "Church of Jesus Christ,
8 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri."

9 "Only those jurors" -- once again, there's
10 signature lines for eight jurors. Six must
11 agree or a verdict.

12 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
13 plaintiff on Count One against the church can
14 sign this determination of damages. If you
15 have found in favor of defendant, please
16 proceed to Count Two on Page Five."

17 And it reads, "We, the jury, being duly
18 impaneled and sworn, further assess the amount
19 of compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan
20 Reo as:" and there is a blank where you are to
21 write in the dollar amount.

22 "In addition to the actual damages" that
23 should read, "We, the jury, being duly
24 impaneled and sworn, further assess the amount
25 of compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan

1 Reo" and it's a blank line. "In addition to
2 actual damages, we, the jury, make an
3 additional award to plaintiff of" and there's
4 a blank space where you are to write in the
5 amount as punitive damages. "Insert in ink
6 either 'NONE' or the dollar amount in the
7 first blank.)"

8 "Plaintiff's Count Two - Invasion of
9 Privacy - False Light. We, the jury, being
10 duly impaneled and sworn, find on Count Two
11 (Invasion of Privacy - False Light) of the
12 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against
13 defendant Martin Lindstedt in favor of:" and,
14 once again, there's a blank line where you
15 insert either "Bryan Reo" or "Martin
16 Lindstedt."

17 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
18 plaintiff can sign -- on Count Two can sign
19 this determination of damages. If you have
20 found in favor of defendant, please proceed to
21 Count Three on Page Seven."

22 It reads, "We, the jury, being duly
23 impaneled and sworn, further assess the amount
24 of compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan
25 Reo as:" and there is a blank where you are to

1 write in the dollar amount.

2 As to Plaintiff's Count Two - Invasion of
3 Privacy - False Light as against the Church of
4 Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of
5 Missouri, it reads, "We, the jury, being duly
6 impaneled and sworn, find on Count 2 (Invasion
7 of Privacy - False Light) of the complaint of
8 Bryan Reo against defendant Church of Jesus
9 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri in
10 favor of:" and once again there is a blank
11 line where you are to insert either "Bryan
12 Reo" or "Church of Jesus Christ,
13 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri."

14 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
15 the plaintiff on Count Two and against the
16 church can sign this determination of damages.
17 If you have found in favor of defendant,
18 please proceed to Count Three on Page Seven."
19 It reads, "We, the jury, being duly impaneled
20 and sworn, further assess the amount of
21 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo
22 as:" and there's a blank line for you to
23 insert a dollar amount.

24 As to Plaintiff's Count Three - Invasion
25 of Privacy - Public Disclosure of Private

1 Facts, it reads, "We the jury, being duly
2 impaneled and sworn, find on Count Three
3 (Invasion of Privacy - Public Disclosure of
4 Private Facts) of the complaint of plaintiff
5 Bryan Reo against the defendant Martin
6 Lindstedt in favor of:" and, once again,
7 there's a blank line where you'll insert
8 either "Bryan Reo" or "Martin Lindstedt."

9 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
10 the plaintiff on Count Three can sign this
11 determination of damages. If you have found
12 in favor of defendant, please proceed to Count
13 Four on Page Nine."

14 As to damages it reads, "We, the jury,
15 being duly impaneled and sworn, further assess
16 the amount of compensatory damages due
17 plaintiff Bryan Reo as:" and there's a blank
18 line for you to put in a dollar amount.

19 As to Plaintiff's Count Three - Invasion
20 of Privacy - Public Disclosure of Private
21 Facts as to the church, it reads, "We, the
22 jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find on
23 Count Three (Invasion of Privacy - Public
24 Disclosure of Private Facts) of the complaint
25 of plaintiff Bryan Reo against defendant

1 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
2 Nations of Missouri in favor of:" once again
3 there's a blank line where you are to insert
4 either "Bryan Reo" or the "Church of Jesus
5 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri."

6 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
7 plaintiff on Count Three against the church
8 can sign this determination of damages. If
9 you have found in favor of defendant, please
10 proceed to Count Four on Page Nine." It
11 reads, "We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
12 sworn, further assess the amount of
13 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo
14 as:" and there's a blank line for you to put
15 in a dollar amount.

16 Plaintiff's Count Four - Invasion of
17 Privacy - Intrusion Into Private Activities.
18 It reads, "We, the jury, being duly impaneled
19 and sworn, find on Count Four (Invasion of
20 Privacy - Intrusion into Private Activities)
21 of the complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo
22 against the defendant Martin Lindstedt in
23 favor of:" and there's a blank line where you
24 insert "Bryan Reo" or "Martin Lindstedt."

25 "Only those jurors who found in favor of

1 the can sign -- on Count Four can sign this
2 determination of damages. If you have found
3 in favor of the defendant, please proceed to
4 the defendant's claim on Page Eleven."

5 "We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
6 sworn, further assess the amount of
7 compensatory damages due Bryan Reo as" and
8 there's a blank line for you to fill in a
9 dollar amount.

10 As to Plaintiff's Count Four - Invasion of
11 Privacy - Intrusion Into Private Activities
12 against the church, the verdict reads, "We,
13 the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find
14 on Count 4 (Invasion of Privacy - Intrusion
15 into Private Activities) of the complaint of
16 plaintiff Bryan Reo against the defendant
17 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
18 Nations of Missouri in favor of:" and you
19 either insert "Bryan Reo" or "Church of Jesus
20 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri."

21 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
22 plaintiff on Count Four against the church can
23 sign this determination of damages. If you
24 have found in favor of the defendant, please
25 proceed to defendant's claim one Page Eleven."

1 It reads, "We, the jury, being duly
2 impaneled and sworn, further assess the amount
3 of compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan
4 Reo as:" and, once again, there's a blank for
5 you to fill in a dollar amount.

6 As to Defendant's Counterclaim -
7 Defamation Per Se, the verdict reads, "We, the
8 jury, being duly impaneled and sworn, find on
9 defendant Martin Lindstedt's counterclaim for
10 defamation per se against plaintiff Bryan Reo
11 in favor of:" and there is a line where you
12 either insert "Martin Lindstedt" or "Bryan
13 Reo." There is a signature line for all eight
14 jurors. Once again, on all of these six
15 jurors are needed to return a verdict.

16 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
17 the defendant on his claim for defamation per
18 se can sign this determination of damages. If
19 you have found in favor of the plaintiff,
20 please notify the judge that you have
21 completed your deliberations."

22 It reads, "We, the jury, being duly
23 impaneled and sworn further assess the amount
24 of compensatory damages due defendant Martin
25 Lindstedt as:" and there's a blank line for

1 you to fill in a dollar amount.

2 Finally, "In addition to actual damages,
3 we the jury make an additional award to the
4 plaintiff (sic) of:" and there's a blank
5 space where you insert in ink either "NONE" or
6 the dollar amount in the first blank as
7 punitive damages.

8 I cannot embody all the law in any single
9 part of these instructions. In considering
10 one portion, you must consider it in the light
11 of or in harmony with all my instructions. If
12 any instructions I provided at the beginning
13 of the case differ with these final
14 instructions, these final instructions control
15 your deliberations and are to be followed.

16 I have instructed you on all the law
17 necessary for your deliberations. Whether or
18 not certain instructions are applicable may
19 depend upon the conclusion you reach on the
20 facts. However, if you have any question or
21 request during your deliberation, your
22 foreperson should write that question or
23 request on a paper and notify the bailiff so
24 that the question or request can be delivered
25 to me. I caution you, however, with regard to

1 any message or question you might send, you
2 should not tell me your numerical division at
3 the time.

4 If you have an impression that I indicated
5 how any disputed fact should be decided, you
6 must put aside such impression because you
7 determine such matters.

8 You must not be influenced by any
9 consideration of sympathy or prejudice.
10 Circumstances in this case may arouse sympathy
11 for one party or another. Sympathy is a
12 common human experience. The law does not
13 expect you to be free from such normal human
14 reactions. However, the law and your oath as
15 jurors require you to disregard sympathy and
16 not to permit it to influence your verdict.

17 It is your duty to weigh the evidence, to
18 decide the disputed questions of fact, to
19 apply the instructions to your findings, and
20 to render your verdict accordingly. In
21 fulfilling your duty as jurors, your efforts
22 must be to arrive at a fair and just verdict.

23 When you retire, first select a
24 foreperson. The foreperson has no greater
25 authority than any other juror, but should

1 serve to maintain order and to make sure that
2 each juror has an opportunity to express
3 himself or herself if he or she wishes to do
4 so.

5 I will place in your possession a copy of
6 the jury instructions and the verdict forms.
7 The foreperson will retain possession of these
8 documents and return them to the courtroom.
9 Until your verdict is announced in open court
10 you are not to disclose to anyone else the
11 status of your deliberations or nature of your
12 verdict.

13 Your initial conduct upon entering the
14 jury room is a matter of importance. It is
15 not wise to immediately express a
16 determination or to insist upon a certain
17 verdict because if your sense of pride is
18 aroused, you may hesitate to change your
19 position even if you decide later that you are
20 wrong.

21 Consult with one another, consider each
22 other's views and deliberate with the
23 objective of reaching an agreement if you can
24 do so without disturbing your individual
25 judgment. Each of you must decide this case

1 for yourself. However, you should do so only
2 after a discussion and consideration of the
3 case with your fellow jurors. Do not hesitate
4 to change an opinion if convinced that it is
5 wrong. Do not -- you should not surrender
6 honest convictions in order to be congenial or
7 to reach a verdict solely because of the
8 opinion of other jurors.

9 After your verdict is returned and your
10 jury services are completed, you may discuss
11 this case with anyone, but you are not
12 required to do so. Whether you discuss this
13 case with the parties or anyone else after you
14 are discharged is a matter of your own free
15 choice.

16 Mr. Reo, other than the objections,
17 additions, and corrections that were made
18 earlier, do you have any others to put on the
19 record?

20 MR. REO: One request for an
21 addition clarification.

22 THE COURT: And what is that?

23 MR. REO: The Page Eleven where
24 it says, "The party has presented proof of
25 actual damages," I would just request the

1 addition that proof could include testimony
2 from the party and that actual damages refers
3 to anything in Page Ten from the block 1, 2, 3
4 and 4 which would include loss of potential
5 clients and actual clients and that it need
6 not be an actual quantified pecuniary economic
7 out-of-pocket loss.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

9 THE COURT: The instructions are
10 from the Ohio Jury Instructions and I'm going
11 to leave that instruction as is.

12 Mr. Lindstedt?

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: My objection is Mr.
14 Reo didn't prove any damages.

15 THE COURT: Well, that's an
16 argument.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Second
18 objection is that filing public documents is
19 not --

20 THE COURT: Once again, that's an
21 argument. We're talking about the jury
22 instructions.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

24 THE COURT: You've made all your
25 objections or what I believe to be your

1 objections earlier. Are there any additional
2 objections you have to the jury instructions?

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: The objection was
4 publishing cross public information is not
5 private facts. But I have made quite a few
6 objections. Just consider them all one
7 running objection and that would be okay.

8 THE COURT: Can do. Thank you.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

10 THE COURT: If there's nothing
11 further, once six members of the jury have
12 agreed to verdicts and have signed the
13 corresponding verdict forms, the foreperson
14 will notify the bailiff and you will be
15 returned to the courtroom.

16 Diane Smith and Stacy Baker were selected
17 to serve as alternates in the event of any
18 misfortune to any member of the panel.
19 Fortunately, it will not be necessary for you
20 to serve any further. On behalf of the public
21 and the parties I express my appreciation for
22 your services in performing this important
23 public function. You are not to discuss this
24 case or tell anyone how you would have voted
25 until after the jury has returned a verdict.

1 If you'd please see the bailiff before you
2 leave.

3 You may now start your deliberations.

4 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

5
6 (Whereupon, the jury was excused
7 from the courtroom to begin deliberations,
8 then returned to the courtroom shortly
9 thereafter and the following proceedings were
10 held in open court.)

11
12 THE COURT: I apologize, I did
13 find a couple typos and I wanted it correct in
14 the written version of the jury instructions
15 you have, but I wanted to make sure the record
16 is clear, a couple portions of instructions.

17 As to damages, compensatory damages, if
18 you find for the plaintiff in Counts One, Two,
19 Three and/or Four, you will decide by the
20 greater weight of the evidence an amount of
21 money that will reasonably compensate the
22 plaintiff for the actual injury proximately
23 and directly caused by the defendant.

24 If you find for the defendant on his
25 counterclaim for defamation, you will decide

1 by the greater weight of the evidence an
2 amount of money that will reasonably
3 compensate the defendant for the actual injury
4 proximately and directly caused by the
5 plaintiff.

6 And then as to jury verdict on the
7 Defendant's Counterclaim - Defamation Per Se,
8 it reads, "We, the jury, being duly impaneled
9 and sworn, find on defendant Martin
10 Lindstedt's counterclaim for defamation per se
11 against plaintiff Bryan Reo in favor or:" and
12 there's a blank line where you insert either
13 "Martin Lindstedt" or Bryan Reo."

14 "Only those jurors who found in favor of
15 defendant on his claim for defamation per se
16 can sign this determination of damages. If
17 you found in favor of plaintiff, please notify
18 the judge that you completed your
19 deliberations."

20 "We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
21 sworn further assess the amount of
22 compensatory damages due defendant Martin
23 Lindstedt as:" and there's a blank space for
24 you to put in a dollar amount.

25 "In addition to actual damages, we the

1 jury, make an additional award to defendant
2 Martin Lindsted of:" and there's a blank where
3 you insert in ink either "None" or the dollar
4 amount. And the first blank is punitive
5 damages.

6 So it should all be corrected now and,
7 once again, you can start your deliberations.
8 Thank you.

9 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

10

11 (Whereupon, the jury was excused
12 from the courtroom to continue its
13 deliberations.)

14

15 (Whereupon, the jury returned to the
16 courtroom and the following proceedings were
17 held in open court.)

18

19 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen
20 of the jury, it's my understanding you've
21 reached a verdict?

22 MS. KIRBY: Yes.

23 THE COURT: If you would please
24 hand the verdict to my bailiff. Thank you.

25 Verdicts read as follows: Plaintiff's

1 Count One - Defamation Per Se. We the jury,
2 being duly impaneled and sworn, find on Count
3 One (defamation per se) of the complaint of
4 plaintiff Bryan Reo against defendant Martin
5 Lindstedt in favor of Bryan Reo, signed by all
6 eight jurors.

7 We the jury being duly impaneled and sworn
8 further assess the amount of compensatory
9 damages due Bryan Reo as \$40,000 signed by
10 seven of the eight jurors.

11 In addition to actual damages, we the jury
12 make an additional award to the plaintiff of
13 \$50,000 as punitive damages, signed by all
14 eight jurors.

15 Plaintiff's Count One - Defamation Per Se.
16 We the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn,
17 find on Count One (defamation per se) of the
18 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against the
19 defendant Church of Jesus Christ,
20 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri in favor
21 of Bryan Reo, signed by seven of the eight
22 jurors.

23 We the jury, being duly empaneled and
24 sworn, further assess the amount of
25 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo

1 as \$200, signed by seven of the eight jurors.

2 In addition to actual damages, we the jury
3 find -- I'm sorry, we the jury make an
4 additional award to the plaintiff of \$200 as
5 punitive damages, signed by seven of the eight
6 jurors.

7 Plaintiff's Count Two - Invasion of
8 Privacy - False Light. We the jury, being
9 duly impaneled and sworn, find on Count Two
10 (Invasion of Privacy - False Light) of the
11 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against
12 defendant Martin Lindstedt in favor of Bryan
13 Reo, signed by all eight jurors.

14 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
15 sworn, further assess the amount of
16 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo
17 as \$15,000, signed by all eight jurors.

18 Plaintiff's Count Two - Invasion of
19 Privacy - False Light. We, the jury, being
20 duly impaneled and sworn, find on Count Two
21 (Invasion of Privacy - False Light) of the
22 complaint of Bryan Reo against defendant
23 Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
24 Nations of Missouri in favor of Bryan Reo,
25 signed by all eight jurors.

1 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
2 sworn, further assess the amount of
3 compensatory damages due plaintiff Bryan Reo
4 as none.

5 Plaintiff's Count Three - Invasion of
6 Privacy - Public Disclosure of Private Facts.
7 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn,
8 find on Count Three (Invasion of Privacy -
9 Public Disclosure of Private Facts) of the
10 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against
11 defendant Martin Lindstedt in favor of
12 Martin Lindstedt, signed by six jurors.

13 Plaintiff's Count Three - Invasion of
14 Privacy - Public Disclosure of Private Facts.
15 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn,
16 find on Count Three (Invasion of Privacy -
17 Public Disclosure of Private Facts) of the
18 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against
19 defendant Church of Jesus Christ,
20 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri in
21 favor of Church of Jesus Christ,
22 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri, signed
23 by six jurors.

24 Plaintiff's Count Four - Invasion of
25 Privacy - Intrusion Into Private Activities.

1 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
2 sworn, find on Count Four (Invasion of
3 Privacy - Intrusion into Private Activities)
4 of the complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo
5 against defendant Martin Lindstedt in favor
6 of Martin Lindstedt, signed by all eight
7 jurors.

8 Plaintiff's Count Four - Invasion of
9 Privacy - Intrusion into Private Activities.
10 We, the jury, being duly impaneled and sworn,
11 find on Count Four (Invasion of Privacy -
12 Intrusion into Private Activities) of the
13 complaint of plaintiff Bryan Reo against
14 defendant Church of Jesus Christ,
15 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri in favor
16 of Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan
17 Nations of Missouri, signed by all eight
18 jurors.

19 Defendant's Counterclaim - Defamation Per
20 Se. We, the jury, being duly impaneled and
21 sworn, find on defendant Martin Lindstedt's
22 counterclaim for defamation per se against
23 plaintiff Bryan Reo in favor of Bryan Reo,
24 signed by seven of the eight jurors.

25 Juror 1, is this your verdict?

1 MR. ZURNEY: Yes.

2 THE COURT: Juror 2, is this your
3 verdict?

4 MR. MIGUET: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Juror 3, is this your
6 verdict?

7 MS. KIRBY: Yes.

8 THE COURT: Juror 4, is this your
9 verdict?

10 MS. OMONOGUN: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Juror 5, is this your
12 verdict?

13 MS. CHOBY: Yes.

14 THE COURT: Juror 6, is this your
15 verdict?

16 MR. WHEAT: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Juror 7, is this your
18 verdict?

19 MS. FOX: Yes.

20 THE COURT: Juror 8, is this your
21 verdict?

22 MS. SCHWAB: Yes.

23 THE COURT: Very good. Ladies
24 and gentlemen, I appreciate the attention
25 you've given to this case. Your verdict shows

1 that you paid attention during the trial and
2 that you took your deliberations seriously.
3 At this time I will excuse you from the
4 courtroom. I would ask you to stay back in
5 the jury room for a few minutes and I'll come
6 back and speak with you.

7 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

8
9 (Whereupon, the jury was excused at
10 this time.)

11
12 (Whereupon, the following
13 proceedings were held in open court without
14 the jury present.)

15
16 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, anything
17 else for the record?

18 MR. REO: Not presently, Your
19 Honor.

20 THE COURT: Anything else for the
21 record, Mr. Lindstedt?

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: I would like to make
23 a motion for -- you know, I would like to
24 motion to have the counts in my favor
25 regardless of the verdict.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE COURT: And I'll overrule
that motion.

MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

THE COURT: We're adjourned.

MR. LINDSTEDT: Did I get any money
from Bryan Reo?

THE COURT: You did not.

- - - - -

(Trial Concluded at 2:39 P.M.)

- - - - -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan Goodell, Official Court Reporter, in
and for the Court of Common Pleas, Lake County, Ohio, hereby
certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true and
complete transcript of the testimony requested to be
transcribed from my Stenograph notes, taken at the time and
place designated herein.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE THIS 4th day of October,
A.D. 2019.

Susan Goodell
Official Court Reporter and Notary Public
Lake County Courthouse
47 North Park Place
Painesville, Ohio 44077
440-350-2313
sgoodell@lakecountyohio.gov
My Commission Expires: February 9, 2022
Recorded in Lake County