

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

LAKE COUNTY, OHIO

BRYAN ANTHONY REO, )  
Plaintiff ) CASE NOS. 15CV1590  
-vs- ) AND 16CV825  
MARTIN LINDSTEDT, ET AL., ) COURT OF APPEALS NOS.  
Defendants ) 2019-L-073 AND 2019-L-074

- - - - -

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

- - - - -

VOLUME 2 OF 3

- - - - -

PROCEEDINGS HAD BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATRICK J.  
CONDON, TUESDAY, JUNE 25, 2019 AT 8:30 A.M.

- - - - -

APPEARANCES:

BRYAN ANTHONY REO, ESQ., Pro Se.

MARTIN LINDSTEDT, Pro Se.

- - - - -

Susan Goodell, Official Court Reporter  
Lake County Courthouse  
47 North Park Place  
Painesville, Ohio 44077  
(440)350-2720

1                   THE COURT:        Back on the record in  
2                   15CV1590.  The jury is not in the courtroom.  
3                   I ordered the parties to be here at 8 A.M.  
4                   and Mr. Reo was here as ordered.  Mr.  
5                   Lindstedt came into the courtroom at 8:20.  He  
6                   took the next nine minutes to unpack his  
7                   materials and get himself ready, so all the  
8                   time that I was going to allow him to explain  
9                   to me why he should be able to use some of the  
10                  videos or audios from the internet has been  
11                  squandered.  I warned you yesterday.

12                  MR. LINDSTEDT:  I'm sorry, I didn't  
13                  know it was 8:00.

14                  THE COURT:        I made it very clear  
15                  to you the parties were to be here at 8:00.  
16                  I'm not going to keep this jury waiting.  It's  
17                  now 8:34.  We are going to start.  All of  
18                  yesterday's nonsense is over.  You are going  
19                  to streamline your presentations and you are  
20                  going to get through this case.

21                  Can you bring in the jury?

22                  MR. REO:            Your Honor, am I to  
23                  understand the audio is being excluded?

24                  THE COURT:        Yes.

25                  MR. REO:            Thank you, Your

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Honor.

MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, can it be said that I'm accusing him of destroying evidence in the perjury and that that audio would tend to impeach him?

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom at this time and the following proceedings were held in open court.)

THE COURT: Good morning. I appreciate you all coming back and coming back on time. I thought parking would be easier today. Unbeknownst to me another judge is starting a jury, so three juries are here in the courthouse, so hopefully parking wasn't that difficult for you. We are going to start back with the evidence. Mr. Reo, if you would please retake the stand. Please raise your right hand.

BRYAN REO, of lawful age, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1 THE COURT: Please be seated.

2 Mr. Lindstedt, you can continue with your  
3 cross-examination.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm trying to figure  
5 out how to start.

6

7 CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYAN REO

8 BY MR. LINDSTEDT:

9 Q. Yesterday you claimed that you only had a few shows  
10 with William Finck?

11 A. That would be correct.

12 Q. Okay. When would be those few shows since you --  
13 since you link only two as opposed to several  
14 hundred?

15 A. You mean you want a date range, a year, a month?

16 Q. A date range, I guess.

17 A. It would be 2012, 2011.

18 Q. None in -- none in 2013 where you --

19 A. I don't believe so. None in 2013 to my  
20 recollection.

21 Q. Okay. Where you complained that Mr. -- or Pastor  
22 Eli James had not gone along with your Digital  
23 Millennium Copyright Act violations?

24 A. I said none in 2013 that I recollect, so I would say  
25 no or I don't remember anything in 2013.

1 Q. You don't remember it. So is that saying there were  
2 none or that you don't recollect?

3 A. Either no or I don't remember.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, can I  
5 have a side bar?

6 THE COURT: No. Ask your next  
7 question.

8 Q. In 2012 -- in 2012 did you start working at the  
9 North Perry Nuclear Power Plant?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. And at the time you worked at the North Perry  
12 Nuclear Power Plant did you take down or disable  
13 your Talk Shoe show?

14 A. I didn't have any Talk Shoe show, so what are you  
15 referring to?

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, I think  
17 there might be a need for a side bar.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19  
20 (Whereupon, the following  
21 proceedings were held at side bar out of the  
22 hearing of the jury.)

23

24 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt?

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: I have -- I have a

1 copy of him talking to Finck complaining about  
2 Eli James because Eli James was his real name.

3 THE COURT: Did you provide that  
4 in discovery?

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yesterday when --

6 THE COURT: Did you provide it in  
7 discovery?

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: He didn't ask for it  
9 in discovery.

10 THE COURT: All right. You are  
11 not getting into that. You had the  
12 opportunity for a half hour this morning to  
13 discuss these issues and you chose not to take  
14 advantage of that time. It was not provided  
15 in discovery. It will not be admissible.

16 MR. REO: Your Honor --

17 THE COURT: I don't want to hear  
18 from you.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Can I show -- you  
20 say audio or video. Can I show a picture of  
21 his --

22 THE COURT: No.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I would like  
24 to make an objection that it might be done  
25 around -- I mean, I don't think I'm going to

1                   lose, but if I do, it would be raised upon  
2                   appeal, Your Honor.

3                   THE COURT:        Sounds good.

4  
5                   (Whereupon, the following  
6                   proceedings were held in open court.)

7  
8                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, ask  
9                   your next question.

10            Q.    When -- you know, you complained -- I think you  
11                complained that -- okay, about the -- I'm accusing  
12                you of destroying evidence.

13            A.    Is that a question?

14            Q.    Have you destroyed -- have you destroyed -- have you  
15                destroyed by complaining to Talk Shoe that a certain  
16                Youtube video brought in when you took -- when you  
17                went and said pray for my success?

18            A.    What are you referring to, "a certain Youtube  
19                video"? There are tens of millions of videos on  
20                Youtube. I've never made or created or uploaded a  
21                Youtube video.

22            Q.    I did.

23            A.    Well, then how would I be able to destroy something  
24                you created?

25            Q.    By making a complaint to Youtube.

1 A. Well, then Youtube would have been the one that took  
2 that down. So you're asking me if I destroyed  
3 something that I had no control over deleting.

4 Q. I'm also accusing you, you know, of tortious  
5 interference of contract, something like that.

6 A. Is that a question?

7 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, just wait  
8 for the question.

9 MR. REO: Sorry, Your Honor.

10 Q. Did you complain to Talk Shoe -- did you complain to  
11 Talk Shoe about a video I made in August in  
12 relationship to a post that you made on William  
13 Finck's forum in August 2014 called Pray for My  
14 Success?

15 A. August of what year?

16 Q. 2014 when you filed the federal lawsuit.

17 A. No, I believe that. I believe you're off on the  
18 year. First of all, I don't recall making that post  
19 on his forum. I don't recall the year 2014 August  
20 and, frankly, I've made a number of terms of service  
21 complaints about you and your content to hosters,  
22 Youtube, and various ISPs at varying times  
23 throughout, I'd say, 2010, 2011, 2012 and possibly  
24 into 2013. I don't recall any in 2014, so you'd  
25 have to be more specific as to which terms of



1 service complaint.

2 Q. The complaint would have been in November of last  
3 year.

4 A. No, I definitely did not make a term of service  
5 complaint in November of 2018.

6 Q. Did you make a number of complaints that -- that  
7 discussion -- that discussion of your -- of this  
8 case as was ongoing, it didn't -- it didn't rise to  
9 a hate complaint, but it did rise to a legal  
10 complaint and, therefore, it was banned in the  
11 United Kingdom and about twenty-six other nations?

12 A. I don't understand that. You want me to talk about  
13 British law?

14 Q. No, no. Did you make -- did you make in addition to  
15 the -- what you I guess don't remember, in addition  
16 to the hate complaint of the August 14, 2014 video,  
17 you made a number of complaints for videos made in  
18 2015, '16, '17 and '18, I think about three or four.  
19 Do you remember -- do you remember making those  
20 complaints?

21 A. I do not and I'm not sure what you mean by a hate  
22 complaint.

23 Q. Content, content which violates Youtube's -- you  
24 know, Youtube's standards.

25 A. That would be between you and Youtube.

1 Q. It would -- under -- under tortious interfering with  
2 contracts --

3 THE COURT: Ask a question.

4 Don't make a statement.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

6 Q. How would Youtube -- you know, I admit that Youtube  
7 on December 5th or 6th during the great, you know,  
8 Youtube-caust went ahead and deleted my channel of  
9 104 videos, but I'm simply talking about you making  
10 complaints of a legal action. Did you make those  
11 complaints?

12 A. I said I don't recall making any complaints beyond  
13 2013 and 2013 is questionable. I remember 2010,  
14 2011, 2012. I distinctly recall not making  
15 complaints in 2018 and 2017. I was busy then. I  
16 was in law school and I have become a lawyer. I  
17 don't follow every video you do on Youtube.

18 Q. Okay. Let's see, do you have any -- would you have  
19 any reason -- would you have any idea about who else  
20 would make a complaint about Bryan Reo Youtube video  
21 regarding this --

22 THE COURT: He wouldn't have  
23 knowledge of that. Ask your next question.

24 MR. REO: I mean, I could  
25 speculate as to that, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: You're not allowed to  
2 speculate when you testify.

3 MR. REO: Okay.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Can I have a side  
5 bar?

6 THE COURT: No. Ask your next  
7 question.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Would I be allowed to  
9 present nonvideo or audio but a web page  
10 posting?

11 THE COURT: Did you provide it in  
12 discovery?

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yesterday -- no,  
14 it's --

15 THE COURT: Then you will not be  
16 able to do that.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, yesterday  
18 Mr. Reo put up quite a bit of stuff from my  
19 web page which he didn't provide in discovery  
20 and I didn't make a complaint about that.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Reo provided with  
22 the discovery cutoffs in this case. Ask your  
23 next question.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Can I have a side bar  
25 on that?

1 THE COURT: No. Ask a question  
2 or this witness will be done with his  
3 testimony.

4 Q. Did you get on William Finck's forum in 2014 and  
5 make a -- make a post called Pray for My Success in  
6 which you stated that you wished to -- you had a  
7 number of enemies, but you wanted to make one in  
8 Missouri especially destitute and penniless?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Okay. You say, "No."

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, can I  
12 have a side bar? I'm accusing him of perjury  
13 and essentially if I could show this evidence.

14 THE COURT: If you did not  
15 provide that evidence in discovery, you are  
16 not going to use it at trial.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: But the problem is I  
18 didn't expect him to deny knowing all these  
19 people and doing what he did. I mean, it  
20 pretty well came as a shock to me.

21 THE COURT: Ask your next  
22 question.

23 MR. REO: Can I move to strike  
24 that, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: No.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Would I be able to  
2 provide evidence --

3 THE COURT: Ask questions or  
4 you're done with this cross-examination.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I can ask  
6 questions.

7 Q. Let's see, you mentioned -- you mentioned that you  
8 got a stalking case against me, let's see, No.  
9 16CV00526, which you showed portions of yesterday.

10 A. I don't recall being allowed to show portions of  
11 that. I believe that was excluded.

12 THE COURT: That was excluded,  
13 but if you want to open the door and ask  
14 questions about that, that's up to you,  
15 Mr. Lindstedt.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Let's see. We  
17 might as well go through it then.

18 A. Yes, I recall the stalking case. I don't know if  
19 you have the number correct, the caption. I don't  
20 have it right in front of me, but it was sometime in  
21 early 2016.

22 Q. Okay. Do you remember -- do you remember as Claus  
23 Beringer (phonetic) to my email John Rutney  
24 (phonetic) pretty well saying that having a  
25 difference of opinion as to what Magistrate Roll

1 had said at the very first pretrial conference of  
2 17th December wherein I accused -- wherein I accused  
3 you -- I accused you of drafting up William Finck's  
4 and Melissa Epperson's --

5 A. December of what year and what does this have to do  
6 with the stalking?

7 Q. 2015.

8 A. So we've gone from early 2017 --

9 Q. It has to do --

10 A. -- or 2016 to --

11 THE COURT: One at a time.

12 A. We've gone from early 2016 with a stalking case to  
13 now we're backtracking to a status conference --

14 Q. Just a couple -- just a month or so ago.

15 A. I don't know what you want me to speak to.

16 THE COURT: Ask a question.

17 Q. Okay. Did you send -- did you send an email -- did  
18 you send an email to me about how -- let's see,  
19 okay, about how there were discovery issues and it  
20 would be likely that I would have to make a half  
21 dozen or more appearances in person in court in Lake  
22 County because of these discovery issues?

23 A. When would that email have been sent?

24 Q. It would have been sent January 11, 2016, which was  
25 three weeks after the pretrial conference.

1           A. I don't recall the specific date. I don't recall  
2           making remarks about a half dozen or more trips. I  
3           do recall sending you an email trying to confer  
4           about discovery because you produced nothing in  
5           response to my discovery requests. You basically  
6           responded in a mocking fashion and you've never  
7           provided one document, one audio file or even  
8           disclosed the existence of anything in this case and  
9           I tried to resolve that with you without the  
10          intervention of the court but you weren't willing to  
11          cooperate and around that time I received a CPSO  
12          civil protection stalking order against you because  
13          you were soliciting my murder and my father's murder  
14          on the internet, so I discontinued any further email  
15          communication with you as it seemed fruitless to try  
16          to work out a discovery dispute with you under those  
17          circumstances.

18          Q. We discussed that yesterday on the NIMBusters -- on  
19          the NIMBusters successor forum; correct?

20          A. Right, the forum that you said you used to post on  
21          but then you stopped posting on, but then pleadings  
22          from this case continued to appear there.

23          Q. Yes. I don't know if Hate and Flame, which is the  
24          successor to, you know, NIMBusters, it was a few  
25          years ago before I found out it was NIMBusters was

1 national independence movement and they were busting  
2 it and i'ts sort of like 8Chan or -- 8Chan or 4Chan  
3 from the internet; correct?

4 A. 8Chan? What is 8Chan? I've heard of 4Chan.  
5 They're kind of a troll group. I can't speak to  
6 8Chan, so --

7 Q. 8Chan -- 8Chan is twice the trolls twice as much.  
8 So NIMBusters, you -- you discussed with Eli James  
9 that you were going to take down NIMBusters on the  
10 -- did you discuss with Eli James you were going to  
11 take down the NIMBusters channel on November 28,  
12 2010?

13 A. November 28, 2010?

14 Q. Uh-huh.

15 A. I have no recollection of what I did or did not  
16 discuss with anybody on November 28, 2010. I don't  
17 even know if I spoke with that man on that date. In  
18 fact, I was in college on that date.

19 Q. I didn't ask where you were. Did you discuss with  
20 Eli James --

21 THE COURT: He answered that  
22 question.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

24 THE COURT: Next question.

25 Q. All right. So you went and filed a stalking charge;



1 correct?

2 A. I would say you've characterized that incorrectly.

3 Q. It's a CS, which means, I guess --

4 A. You referred to it as a charge. Prosecutors file  
5 charges. I've never been a prosecutor. I filed a  
6 petition for a civil protection stalking order  
7 against you --

8 Q. Okay.

9 A. -- because you were soliciting my murder and the  
10 murder of my father.

11 Q. Okay. On NIMBusters?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. Or really, rather, the NIMBusters successor channel,  
14 Hate and Flame.

15 A. Either/or or both. They seem to be closing linked.

16 Q. So you were -- you were on NIMBusters quite a bit  
17 then?

18 A. I would not say I was on it. I don't -- I don't  
19 recall ever posting anything there and I've never  
20 had an account there. I was reading it to gain  
21 information because you were posting copies of the  
22 pleadings from this case, disparaging the then  
23 presiding judge and then eventually you were  
24 soliciting my murder.

25 Q. Okay. So how do you know -- how do you know it was

1 me? Could it have been, say -- could it have been,  
2 say, you impersonating me?

3 A. No, because I know it wasn't me.

4 Q. Have you ever impersonated -- have you ever  
5 impersonated on a -- on a NIMBusters's homosexual  
6 forum called upstandingf-ingcitizens.com, have you  
7 ever impersonated John Britton?

8 A. No, I have not. I've never posted anything on that  
9 site.

10 Q. Did you -- did you go and in conjunction make a  
11 complaint about John Britton, John Britton  
12 supposedly being the nephew of Neuman Britton of the  
13 Aryan Nations of Ohio -- I'm sorry, there is an  
14 Aaron nations of Ohio -- Aryan Nations of Idaho?

15 MR. REO: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 A. Complaint to whom and complaint regarding what?

18 Q. Complaint -- complaint to -- we called him Cousin  
19 Ray the Lawyer Mariani of -- Cousin Ray the Lawyer  
20 Mariani of, you know, I think, Jericho, Connecticut  
21 who was the lead plaintiff for Rolls-Royce regarding  
22 a helicopter -- defective helicopter which --

23 THE COURT: Is your question did  
24 he make a complaint to this person?

25 Q. Did you make -- did you make -- did you make a --

1           did you make a complaint notifying that at the time  
2           my friend, John Britton, was nephew of the deceased  
3           Neuman Britton and interfered with his -- interfered  
4           with his, you know, helicopter -- helicopter case?

5                         THE COURT:         Your objection is  
6                         sustained.  You are going so far afield,  
7                         Mr. Lindstedt.  I'm going to have to cut you  
8                         off if you don't reel this in and talk about  
9                         the issues of this case.

10                        MR. LINDSTEDT:  If I could -- if I  
11                        could show some of the evidence.

12           Q.  All right.  Let's see.  So you filed a -- you filed  
13           a case on -- stalking case saying that -- on  
14           NIMBusters -- let me go ahead and get it here.  It  
15           was filed January 19th at 2:41 P.M. to Lisa A.  
16           Colona (phonetic), petition to civil stalking  
17           protection order, civil sexually oriented offense  
18           protection order --

19                        THE COURT:         What's your question,  
20                        Mr. Lindstedt?

21           Q.  Did you -- did you go ahead and file -- you went  
22           ahead and filed a what, civil stalking protection  
23           order?

24                        THE COURT:         You've plowed this  
25                        ground so many times, Mr. Lindstedt.  He filed

1 a civil stalking protection order against you.  
2 I ruled that that was not admissible  
3 yesterday, but you want to get into it.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, you said --

5 THE COURT: It was granted, so if  
6 you have any questions about the civil  
7 stalking protection order, ask them or move  
8 on.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

10 Q. Let's see. You used -- I'm looking at it now.  
11 Okay. You presented a complaint that you made on,  
12 you know, the 3rd of March 2011 against myself, John  
13 Britton, and Russ Walker.

14 A. In reference to -- first of all, I don't know what  
15 complaint you're talking about and are you  
16 speculating or asking that this is something I  
17 allegedly provided in the civil protection stalking  
18 order hearing?

19 Q. Yes.

20 A. Well, I don't remember much about the hearing. It  
21 was three years ago. And I don't remember what  
22 you're talking about regarding a 2011 complaint  
23 because I've never filed a lawsuit against you prior  
24 to 2014.

25 Q. Okay. Do you remember -- so you do not remember

1 making a -- making a complaint in regard to John  
2 Britton threatening to shoot you if you showed up in  
3 Emmett, Idaho?

4 A. Complaint to whom? A law enforcement complaint, a  
5 civil lawsuit, a terms of service complaint?

6 Q. Sheriff -- Sheriff Daniel A. Dunlap, 104 East Erie  
7 Street, Painesville, Ohio.

8 A. Yes, I do recall making a police report for  
9 telecommunications harassment against you and Mr.  
10 Britton.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. But that could have been 2010, '11, somewhere in  
13 between there. I don't recall the exact date.

14 Q. And it could have been on the 10th of March 2011?

15 A. It might have been. You've threatened me a number  
16 of times. Some of those resulted in law enforcement  
17 complaints. One resulted in a protection order.

18 Q. Okay. And you had -- you had threatened to bring me  
19 up to Lake County several dozen times in regard to  
20 discovery issues; correct?

21 A. I don't recall that.

22 Q. Gettin' -- getting -- getting back, you and I -- you  
23 and I have had quite a bit of differences regarding  
24 discovery both in the federal case and in this case;  
25 correct?



1 party's lawsuit. Now, I've given you plenty  
2 of opportunities to go far afield of what  
3 we're here for. Those opportunities are over.  
4 Ask questions that are relevant to this case  
5 or your cross-examination will be completed.

6 Q. Okay. Well, do you have any -- do you have any  
7 proof that your complaint about stalking, it was me  
8 who did it as opposed to you or NIMBusters or  
9 somebody else?

10 A. The proof was evinced at the hearing that you  
11 declined to attend. You said that you would not  
12 come 900 miles to attend a jerkwater court's  
13 kangaroo proceeding and you were fully advised of  
14 the hearing. You chose not to attend and the  
15 magistrate was convinced and persuaded by the  
16 evidence that I introduced and the evidence being  
17 also that I didn't do it because I have better  
18 things to do than impersonate you to threaten  
19 myself. I was in law school at the time preparing  
20 to become a lawyer.

21 Q. Okay. So --

22 A. And --

23 Q. So if I threaten you over the internet or via  
24 telephone or something like that, I couldn't defend  
25 myself before the jerkwater procedure 900 miles away

1 over the telephone either; correct?

2 A. You made no motion for leave to attend by phone.

3 The court noted that and they said due to the nature  
4 of the proceeding it could not be done by phone and  
5 they could have granted a continuance if you  
6 intended to attend, but you made it clear you were  
7 not coming in any event. So you were afforded an  
8 opportunity to offer whatever evidence you thought  
9 you might have had. You chose not to show up and  
10 you chose to offer no evidence.

11 Q So these were ex-parte proceedings that you --

12 THE COURT: All right. We're  
13 done with questions about the civil stalking  
14 protection order. The proceedings in that  
15 were proceedings that went forward according  
16 to law. If you chose not to avail yourself of  
17 the opportunity to be there, we're not going  
18 to hear you complain about it now. Move on to  
19 another subject.

20 Q. Well, I guess I'll go to another case then. In the  
21 case against Roxy and my Church of Jesus Christ,  
22 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri, when did you --  
23 when did you file that?

24 A. I don't have the date right in front of me, but  
25 you're a party to the case too, so you should know



1 the date I filed that. Sometime in 2016.

2 Q. Early 2016. Where did you -- let's go ahead and  
3 look. You filed it -- you filed it not before this  
4 court, but you filed it before the Municipal Court  
5 of Mentor; correct?

6 A. That's correct, but I don't see the relevancy.

7 Q. How does a -- how does a municipal court in Mentor,  
8 Ohio gain jurisdiction, jurisdiction over -- over  
9 this particular matter to where you're claiming that  
10 a woman -- that a woman who is bed bound --

11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, I'm  
12 going to cut you off on this compound  
13 question. We're not here to discuss the  
14 jurisdiction of the Mentor Municipal Court or  
15 this court's jurisdiction. The lawsuit was  
16 filed, it was transferred to this court and  
17 we're here to try the case.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. I was  
19 under the impression that jurisdiction could  
20 be discussed at any time, at least in  
21 Missouri.

22 THE COURT: Ask your next  
23 question.

24 Q. Okay. So the Mentor Municipal Court declined  
25 jurisdiction and it went up to --

1 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, I've  
2 already warned you about this.

3 MR. REO: May I be ex --

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

5 THE COURT: I'm giving you one  
6 more opportunity to ask questions that are  
7 relevant to this case --

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

9 THE COURT: -- or your  
10 cross-examine -- cross-examination will be  
11 over. I think I gave you more than an hour  
12 yesterday and we're at about forty minutes  
13 today.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yeah. I'm doing my  
15 best. I admit I'm floundering.

16 Q. You claim to be a private figure for purposes of  
17 defamation?

18 A. That is correct and Magistrate Roll made that  
19 determination at the status --

20 THE COURT: That's a "yes" or  
21 "no."

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. As opposed to a limited purpose public figure within  
24 the white supremacist and Christian Identity  
25 community?

1           A. I'm not any kind of member of that community, so I'm  
2           not any kind of a limited purpose public figure in  
3           anything, other than perhaps consumer litigation or  
4           plaintiffs litigation.

5           Q. Okay. You deny being a -- do you deny ever using  
6           the term or the email name of SwordBrethren?

7           A. I deny that.

8           Q. So do you think it was a different SwordBrethren  
9           that I allegedly defamed?

10          A. You've invented and admitted to inventing dozens of  
11          accounts on multiple forums and posting things under  
12          my name and attaching things --

13          Q. Under your name. But how about SwordBrethren?

14          A. You make up names for everybody. You variously  
15          refer to people by nicknames. You make puppet  
16          accounts. You have something called Meerkat.

17          Q. Uh-huh.

18          A. I have no control over what fake accounts you --

19          Q. That's true, true.

20          A. -- fabricate and what content you attach to those  
21          accounts.

22          Q. So any -- so since you're not SwordBrethren, anybody  
23          I defamed called SwordBrethren, he would -- he would  
24          have the case, not you; correct?

25          A. Well, you explicitly stated that Bryan Reo murdered

1 a woman named Catherine Williams. You didn't state  
2 some pseudo nickname.

3 Q. There's a Bryan Reo who I found out later was --  
4 drove the same model car as you.

5 A. You identified him as me and posted my address.

6 Q. Did you ever -- did you ever have on your blog --  
7 did you ever have on your blog about how you carry  
8 an MP3 player and so that if you get in an accident  
9 with older rich women, you can go ahead and use that  
10 recording?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Never made -- never made that statement on your  
13 blog, which was not a winning face blog, but was  
14 your current blog, I think, if you ever went on  
15 Blogspot.

16 THE COURT: He already answered  
17 that, he never posted it.

18 A. I only have the Bryan Reo blog and it's under my  
19 name.

20 Q. It's under -- it's on Blogspot; correct?

21 A. I believe it is. I don't recall updating it in the  
22 last five years.

23 Q. Do you reflect making -- do you reflect making a  
24 DMCA complaint about a picture with you and your  
25 Toyota and the complaint was refused because it was

1 a direct --

2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindstedt,  
3 I warned you. I gave you as many  
4 opportunities as possible to limit your  
5 cross-examination to matters that are  
6 pertinent to this case. You completely defy  
7 my rulings, so, Mr. Reo, you are excused from  
8 the stand.

9 MR. REO: Thank you, Your  
10 Honor.

11 Mr. Reo, call your next witness.

12 MR. REO: May I check and see  
13 if he's here?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 MR. REO: I do not believe he's  
16 here and at this time I do not want to subject  
17 him to --

18 THE COURT: That's up to you.

19 MR. REO: -- Mr. Lindstedt, so  
20 respectfully plaintiff rests, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: That's up to you. I  
22 would give you an opportunity to get your next  
23 witness here if you so choose, but if you want  
24 to rest, you can rest as well.

25 MR. REO: Plaintiff will rest

1 at this time.

2 THE COURT: Very good.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: I would be amenable  
4 to a recess so he can get his witness.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, call  
6 your first witness.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: I think it's time for  
8 my opening statement; wouldn't it be?

9 THE COURT: Sure.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Will I get a chance  
11 to make a narrative without -- without  
12 interruption like Mr. Reo did?

13 THE COURT: When it's your  
14 opportunity to testify, yes.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Sure.

16 THE COURT: But did you want to  
17 give an opening statement to the jury before  
18 you gave your narrative?

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh. Oh, do I sit up  
20 there or do I just --

21 THE COURT: You stand at the  
22 podium.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Stand at the podium.  
24 Okay.

25 THE COURT: Now, this is just for

1                   you to let the jury know what you believe your  
2                   case is going to show. It's not a time for  
3                   you to argue.

4                   MR. LINDSTEDT: I have -- I was  
5                   raised in the World Wide Church of God which  
6                   pretty well was British Israel, which believes  
7                   -- which believed that western and normal --  
8                   western and northern white people are the,  
9                   quote, "lost tribes of Israel," and Herbert  
10                  Armstrong plagiarized pretty well everything  
11                  from British Israel. But it's the most common  
12                  strain of -- well, it's not really Christian  
13                  Identity, it's essentially disbelief. And I  
14                  -- I was introduced it, you know, at the age  
15                  of 10 till the age of 21 and like a lot of  
16                  young people I rebelled against the teaching.

17                  Later on went into -- you know, flunked  
18                  out of engineering school, went into the  
19                  United States Army where I had already gotten  
20                  two years of college and I got the highest  
21                  educational kicker because they wanted smart  
22                  -- it was just back then they were so  
23                  desperate at the tail end of the Carter army  
24                  for recruits and especially intelligent  
25                  recruits who had already paid for their two

1 years of community college.

2 I did -- I got sick and if you noticed, I  
3 walk with a limp. Pretty well my left hip is  
4 eaten out. But I was young and strong and  
5 able to maybe not run but walk very fast. And  
6 anyway --

7 MR. REO: I'm going to object,  
8 your Honor.

9 THE COURT: I'm going to give him  
10 a little bit leeway but --

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

12 THE COURT: -- pretty soon you  
13 need to go to the merits of this case.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: I was in -- I was in  
15 a small m-west (phonetic) which was targeting  
16 nuclear missiles in Germany for the United  
17 States Army and I served pretty well. I was  
18 very good at what I did. So pretty well fixed  
19 up fire admissions.

20 Anyway, got out, went and finished up  
21 college and got into truck driving and I  
22 reached the age of 34 years old, same age  
23 Mr. Reo is, and Waco happened. And I had been  
24 pretty well turned because I blamed the  
25 government for killing my father. He run his



1                   mouth and threatened to shoot South Dakota  
2                   supreme court judges who he thought  
3                   disinherited us from our rightful inheritance  
4                   and Mr. Reo is after my inheritance.

5                   But in any case, I --

6                   THE COURT:        The jury will  
7                   disregard that last remark.

8                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. In any case, I  
9                   -- Wako occurred.

10                  MR. REO:            I'm going to object  
11                  again, Your Honor.

12                  THE COURT:        I think I've given  
13                  you a lot of leeway. Let's get into the --

14                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

15                  THE CORUT:        -- what you believe  
16                  your evidence is going to show portion of your  
17                  opening statement.

18                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. The statement  
19                  is is that I got into the -- you know, got  
20                  into the version of Christian Identity, which  
21                  is dual seedline christian identity, which  
22                  holds two seedlines, you know, the white  
23                  Adamic race and the spawn of Satan Jews. But,  
24                  anyway, getting on with that, I have quite an  
25                  advantage of -- over people Mr. Reo now claims

1 not to know, William Finck and Eli James, and  
2 I am nonplussed that Mr. Reo now does not  
3 recognize or heard of them or anything like  
4 that. And Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo is annoyed, you  
5 know, quiet annoyed --

6 MR. REO: I'm going to object  
7 again, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Sustained. You don't  
9 speak on behalf of Mr. Reo.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. This case --  
11 this involves -- right now it involves -- for  
12 1590 nothing can be used, nothing can be used  
13 before September 18, 2014. The only thing  
14 that's really left of 1590 is whatever was  
15 said in the federal case.

16 MR. REO: I'm going to object  
17 again, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Talk about the facts,  
19 not about rulings that the court's made.  
20 That's sustained.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: That box -- that box  
22 you see is, you know, filings. And the thing  
23 is is I've tried to accuse Mr. Reo of taking  
24 down a bunch of my web pages under the Digital  
25 Millennium Copyright Act. But when he went

1 and sued me, I can go ahead and put up all the  
2 Bryan Reo pictures and all the Bryan Reo  
3 statements and do that and one of the  
4 convoluted things you say is that Mr. Reo and  
5 his --

6 MR. REO: I'm going to object  
7 again, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Overruled.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo and his, oh,  
10 white supremacist lawyer friends until fifteen  
11 months ago, you know, Mr. Reo was on -- was on  
12 the board of directors and I think I can still  
13 say that.

14 Anyway, what -- and one of the things he  
15 said was I inadvertently heard that this was a  
16 lawfare -- white supremacist lawfare group.  
17 When I asked Mr. Bristow, who was -- who used  
18 to be Mr. Reo's -- Mr. Reo's, oh, employer  
19 while he was a law student, and also  
20 Mr. Klimkowsky, who has helped Mr. Reo in all  
21 of his many lawsuits before he became a  
22 lawyer --

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- I went ahead and I

1 had heard such great things about, you know,  
2 Mr. Bristow. And he was what -- what  
3 Mr. Bristow did --

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain.  
6 If you want to make that part of your  
7 narrative, perhaps you can do that, but you  
8 need to get down to --

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: I thought I was in  
10 narrative now. I'm in opening statement, not  
11 narrative; right?

12 THE COURT: Right. This is an  
13 opening statement. You just need to tell them  
14 where you're going to be going with your  
15 evidence, who you might call to testify.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Where I'm going to be  
17 going with my evidence as best as possible is  
18 the 1590, the only thing left of 1590 --

19 MR. REO: Objection.

20 THE COURT: We're not talking  
21 about that. Just talk about what you believe  
22 your evidence is going to show.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: What my evidence or  
24 what my evidence is going to show in that  
25 since there is -- since statutes of

1 limitations apply --

2 MR. REO: Objection.

3 THE COURT: We are not talking  
4 about statute of limitations. What are you or  
5 your witnesses going to testify to?

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Anything we can. Let  
7 me see. What -- what I'm -- what I'm trying  
8 -- you know, the problem is is that Mr. Reo, I  
9 think Mr. Reo does not have a case. I think  
10 it's been pretty well gutted out to where  
11 there is nothing --

12 MR. REO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- there is nothing  
15 left of 1590 other than what --

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 THE COURT: These jurors don't  
18 know anything about the case numbers, so --

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. The main  
20 case, you know, is 1590.

21 THE COURT: That's not a concern  
22 of theirs.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

24 THE COURT: Say why your case --

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: This case is not a

1 concern?

2 THE COURT: Say why his case  
3 doesn't hold water or why yours does.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: His case doesn't hold  
5 water because now all he got is what I wrote  
6 about what he said in his court filings and  
7 what I said in the court filings. That's all  
8 there is, public documents, and you can't --  
9 you can't find me guilty of posting a public  
10 document even if I had a rather nasty title to  
11 it. I'm merely posting public information  
12 that anybody who wanted to pay a dime per page  
13 and who now wants to save that dime per page  
14 can go ahead and see anyway.

15 MR. REO: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: In short -- in short  
18 I'm posting public documents and that's all  
19 that's left of 1590 and that's what he's  
20 complaining about.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 THE COURT: All right.

23 Mr. Lindstedt, are you prepared to testify?

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Can I go ahead and go  
25 through the second one?

1 THE COURT: This is all tried as  
2 one case. The case number is irrelevant.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. Okay.  
4 Then the one against Roxie.

5 THE COURT: You don't represent  
6 Roxie and you don't represent the church,  
7 because you can't.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Well, I  
9 disagree about -- I disagree about, you know,  
10 the church quiet a bit because in Missouri --

11 THE COURT: That's fine.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- in Missouri I  
13 would be allowed to represent my own church.

14 THE COURT: Believe me,  
15 Mr. Lindstedt, nothing would make me happier  
16 that this case had been tried in Missouri.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: I wish it was even  
18 though I fight with the judges over there all  
19 the time.

20 THE COURT: But it's not. Judge  
21 Collins has ruled and I --

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: But I'm also -- I'm  
23 also a party in the case against Roxie and the  
24 rest.

25 THE COURT: Okay. You can talk

1 about your portion.

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. My  
3 portion is that everyone knows that Roxie  
4 broke her leg on Friday, the 13th, 2013.

5 MR. REO: Objection.

6 THE COURT: If that's what you  
7 believe the evidence is going to show, go  
8 ahead. Overruled.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: The evidence is going  
10 to show she broke her leg -- well, really I  
11 didn't say anything about it until 2014  
12 because I didn't want a bunch of people to  
13 know other than friends, who Mr. Reo ain't one  
14 of them.

15 But yeah, you know, pretty well Mr. Reo,  
16 who is actually my best reader, most fervent  
17 reader, I have -- I have one time -- you know,  
18 I know how to push his buttons, one time  
19 sixteen minutes after I left something, I  
20 mean, I'm really a wizard with that particular  
21 software. And when Mr. --

22 MR. REO: Objection.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: When Mr. Reo would  
25 make complaints, that stuff would go to an



1           eyes only forum only seeable by me, not  
2           seeable by anybody else. It would not be  
3           deleted and then -- and then when the lawsuits  
4           came and I could go ahead and show them as  
5           evidence, they came because of the lawsuits.

6           And so pretty well Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo, if  
7           he didn't want to be known or become a public  
8           figure, he shouldn't have -- he shouldn't have  
9           filed any lawsuits because guess what,  
10          everything was set.

11          Mr. Reo's strategy seems to be that he  
12          goes ahead and he files --

13                    THE COURT:        You're making  
14                    arguments. You're not making an opening  
15                    statement.

16                    MR. REO:            Objection.

17                    THE COURT:        It's sustained.

18                    MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. He -- all  
19                    right. In short, Mr. Reo makes a motion, I  
20                    make another motion, he moves to strike that  
21                    motion.

22                    MR. REO:            Objection.

23                    MR. LINDSTEDT: I move to --

24                    THE COURT:        Overruled.

25                    MR. LINDSTEDT: I move to -- you

1 know, he makes a motion, I make the motion to  
2 strike that motion. He makes a motion to  
3 strike my wanting to strike the motion and so  
4 then I go ahead to make a motion to strike. I  
5 mean, it's just gone on to where there's  
6 literally five motions to strike or not strike  
7 whatever was struck before. And the reason --  
8 the reason I do that is just to shove  
9 in as much Bryan Reo material, usually public,  
10 as possible.

11 And, you know, Mr. Reo sort of figured out  
12 what was going on and the answer is is that  
13 Mr. Reo is complaining that I'm publishing  
14 what is public documents, which is totally  
15 allowed. Mr. Reo has gone ahead and asked --

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo has asked for  
19 a gag order, which was ruled unconstitutional.

20 MR. REO: Objection.

21 THE COURT: Sustained. Wrap up  
22 your opening statement, Mr. Lindstedt.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: In short, Mr. Reo's  
24 case, this is not the court of proper  
25 jurisdiction.

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Sustained.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Judge Condon wishes  
4 that this had gone to Missouri and stayed  
5 there, but you have NIMBusters and you have me  
6 and you have Mr. Reo saying all sorts of stuff  
7 about each other. And Mr. Reo has sort of  
8 forgotten what he used to stand for.

9 But in any case, pretty well what this is  
10 is that he called me a child molester and I  
11 called him a mongrel.

12 MR. REO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: I've gone ahead, you  
15 know, he's called me -- you know, he's called  
16 me a child molester many, many, many, many,  
17 many times, including yesterday.

18 MR. REO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Including yesterday  
21 and he thinks that, you know, he can go ahead  
22 and get away with calling me a child molester,  
23 and a convicted one at that, and -- and, you  
24 know, and I think that I can call him a  
25 self-loathing homosexual mongrel.

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: So in short what  
4 happens is that me and Mr. Reo, we hate each  
5 other, and Mr. Reo wants to go ahead and  
6 decide in the Mentor Municipal Court to go  
7 ahead and settle --

8 MR. REO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: We are not in the  
10 Mentor Municipal Court. We're in this court.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I'm sorry,  
12 starting out at the Mentor Municipal Court and  
13 now here, Mr. Reo wants to decide that a court  
14 in Ohio can censor the entire internet  
15 whenever he wants to.

16 MR. REO: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Sustained.

18 MR. REO: Your Honor, I'm  
19 moving that his opening statement be  
20 terminated at this time. We've been here for  
21 about twenty minutes.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. REO: He's not getting  
24 anywhere.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yesterday --

1                   yesterday and within my -- within my power --

2                   THE COURT:        We're not talking  
3                   about yesterday.  We're talking about what you  
4                   believe your evidence will show today.

5                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  Oh.  What the  
6                   evidence -- well, I think I pretty well said  
7                   what the evidence -- what the evidence will  
8                   show is this is just internet name calling,  
9                   just internet name calling and -- you know,  
10                  pretty much here I am putting in -- putting in  
11                  a -- putting in public documents so that  
12                  anyone looking, searching for a lawyer and  
13                  look at Bryan Reo, they will be able to see  
14                  things which Mr. Reo now denies and they will  
15                  be able to see what Mr. Reo's actual history  
16                  is and they will be able to decide for  
17                  themselves what sort of person Mr. Reo is.

18                  I realize -- I realize, you know, lot of  
19                  the complaints I made is that a jury of my  
20                  peers, a white supremacist here is hard to  
21                  come by.  I would have preferred to be -- I  
22                  would have preferred to be judged by a jury of  
23                  my peers.  I make no mistake about that.

24                  MR. REO:            Objection.

25                  THE COURT:        Overruled.

1                   MR. LINDSTEDT: But the thing -- the  
2                   thing is is that people go ahead and they type  
3                   up Bryan Reo and like Mr. Reo complained,  
4                   three-quarters of the stuff comes up and what  
5                   doesn't come up of his cases is where he is  
6                   suing --

7                   MR. REO:            Objection.

8                   THE COURT:         Sustained.

9                   All right. Mr. Lindstedt, it's time to  
10                  wrap up your opening statement and then you  
11                  can testify.

12                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Could we have a --  
13                  could we have a quick recess here?

14                  THE COURT:         Before you testify?

15                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Before I have a  
16                  narrative. I'm getting a little bit dry.

17                  THE COURT:         That's fine. It's  
18                  9:30. I would ask everyone to be -- the  
19                  jurors to be back in the jury room at 9:45.  
20                  We will commence with Mr. Lindstedt's  
21                  narrative. And then, once again, I'll remind  
22                  you, do not discuss the case among yourselves,  
23                  do not allow anyone to discuss it with you,  
24                  and don't research the case independently. We  
25                  will see you at 9:45.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was held  
at this time.)

(Whereupon, the following  
proceedings were held in open court.)

THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, come  
up to the stand.

MR. LINDSTEDT: This is the time for  
narrative testimony?

THE COURT: From the stand,  
correct.

MR. REO: Your Honor, at this  
time I would move for directed verdict as to  
his counterclaim.

THE COURT: It's denied.  
Raise your right hand.

MARTIN LINDSTEDT, of lawful age,  
having affirmed to tell the truth, testified  
as follows:

1                   MR. LINDSTEDT: I affirm that I shall  
2 tell the truth.

3                   THE COURT:        Very good.  Have a  
4 seat.

5                   You may begin.

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT: My name, I was born  
7 Martin Frederick Lindstedt.  In South Da --  
8 you know, I come from a west river and an east  
9 river.  The Missouri River divides South  
10 Dakota and the west river bunch was one of the  
11 very first families of South Dakota when --  
12 anyway, one of the very first families of  
13 South Dakota and has provided -- my  
14 grandfather was a state representative.  My  
15 grandfather, Martin, was the youngest of  
16 eleven children.  They had big families in  
17 them days.  And since he was the baby of the  
18 family, he got money and he -- he owned the  
19 second biggest ranch in Stanley County, which  
20 is west river.  And there is a difference  
21 between east river and west river which not  
22 many people understand.  But, you know, since  
23 I -- you know, I was named Martin after Martin  
24 Samuelsen or Semenson (phonetic), you know,  
25 when they lived in Vasconia, which is not



1           really Swedes but people call Geats who fought  
2           with the Swedes until they were conquered.  
3           But, anyway, he was the one with money, so I  
4           was named Martin and then, you know, Frederick  
5           after my grandfather, Frederick Lindstedt.

6                       MR. REO:            Objection.

7                       THE COURT:        Overruled. He can  
8           give some background, but we're not going to  
9           spend the whole day on your background.

10                      MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. So what  
11           -- I think I already explained, I tend to get  
12           a lot -- you know, I'm not a licensed  
13           attorney, although I have been in quiet a few  
14           courtrooms and sometimes I succeed -- succeed  
15           in the avoiding eating the bologna sandwiches.  
16           I've been -- I've been convicted quiet a few  
17           times of contempt of court and I'm almost  
18           always guilty.

19                      MR. REO:            Objection.

20                      THE COURT:        Overruled.

21                      MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. You're  
22           right. Overruled, okay.

23                      So, anyway -- okay. Moving on, moving on,  
24           you know, Worldwide Church of God and in at  
25           its prime probably in 1976, 1977 Feast of

1                   Tabernacles had --

2                   MR. REO:            Objection.

3                   THE COURT:         Overruled.  He's  
4                   gonna give some background, but you're gonna  
5                   get into your case sooner than later.

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  Yes, Your Honor.  Had  
7                   about a little bit less than 200,000 people  
8                   going.  But at the time there was a branch  
9                   called Dual Seedline Christian Identity, which  
10                  holds that there are, well, two seedlines and  
11                  "dual" is used for duality, you know, the  
12                  struggle between good and evil.  And there is  
13                  a good -- there is a good seedline, which is  
14                  Adamic and there is a -- there is a bad  
15                  seedline, which is the Jews.

16                  In any case -- so as a result, you know,  
17                  and unlike most people I can only explain --  
18                  you know, at the time probably it's biggest  
19                  adherence at the same time, it's greatest list  
20                  was probably a cassette tape ministry out of  
21                  Lancaster, California which had about maybe as  
22                  many as 10,000, you know, which was, you know,  
23                  less than one-tenth here.

24                  Anyway, Wesley Swift, he was a -- he was a  
25                  klansman and a Methodist minister.  He was one

1                   heck of a speaker.

2                   MR. REO:            Objection.

3                   THE COURT:          Overruled.  I will  
4                   cut him off when I deem it's appropriate to  
5                   cut him off.

6                   MR. REO:            Yes, Your Honor.

7                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  Okay.  I didn't  
8                   interrupt him.  But, anyway, you know,  
9                   Bertrand Comparet was the scholar.  He was an  
10                  attorney for the City of San Diego, a very  
11                  learned man.  And Wesley Swift would come up  
12                  with stuff like Satan when he was governor  
13                  brought Negros from Dogon Major (phonetic),  
14                  you know, and, by the way, the East Africans  
15                  believe that too.  So he would have all sort  
16                  of weird stuff, but the actual -- the actual  
17                  teacher and scholar was probably Bertrand  
18                  Comparet.

19                  So, anyway, I've been -- I've been -- I  
20                  went back to the fold in -- you know, after  
21                  Wako and originally I was more white church of  
22                  God and then I studied the Bible and the dual  
23                  seedline makes far more scientific sense and  
24                  far more biblical sense to me.  And so -- so  
25                  having the benefit of ten years of intensive

1 religious study and if you are in the World  
2 Wide Church of God, you got intensive  
3 religious study, if you paid attention. My  
4 brother did not and, you know, he used to like  
5 to say, "They'll think we're Jews because we  
6 don't eat pork." But no, you didn't  
7 understand -- you didn't understand a single  
8 thing.

9 I really understood and I used to ask  
10 quiet piercing -- you know, piercing  
11 questions. So as a result I got quite a list  
12 of -- you know, quite a religious education.

13 So Wesley Swift, he decayed mentally in  
14 1970 and died and they didn't release his  
15 tapes. Bertrand Comparet retired in 1985 and  
16 started calling what he believed Christian  
17 Identity. And since then you had people who  
18 go ahead and use that word. There's some, you  
19 know, pretty well I live in probably the  
20 greatest area of Identity Christians, which is  
21 the Ozarks of Missouri centered around  
22 Harrison, Arkansas. I do not get along -- I  
23 do not get along because I think these people  
24 in Harrison, Arkansas are just out after  
25 money.

1           But as a result I got into the malitia  
2 movement and there were quite a few. The  
3 original malitias were essentially just  
4 nothing but survivalist groups and you could  
5 not join one unless you were family or in  
6 there ten or twenty years and they are  
7 impossible to get into.

8           So I formed me two of them with some other  
9 Christian Identity believers, who are veterans  
10 just like myself, and, you know, pretty well  
11 -- you know, pretty well here comes 1995 and  
12 Oklahoma City occurs two years to the day  
13 after Wako and people -- all these survivalist  
14 people are saying, "Well, Martin, we can't  
15 join your malitia because Timothy McVeigh was  
16 nosing around the malitia movement," and  
17 that's true enough here. So pretty well I got  
18 a whole bunch of people, about 120 of them  
19 from various groups here saying they were  
20 going to join that. I said they were wrong.  
21 They were right and I was wrong. Right now I  
22 estimate there is probably four to five times  
23 as many groups as there were and now they're  
24 called -- they were called survivalists then,  
25 now they're called preppers or even doomers

1 and they believe the government is going to  
2 collapse any day now.

3 But in any case, at the same time you  
4 would have these suburban people in Missouri  
5 51st and First St. Louis Volunteers and  
6 Michigan Malitia and pretty well the suburban  
7 people kicked out us rural malitia people and  
8 we got felt not quite at home and they would  
9 do something like Kansas City was -- anyway,  
10 they would do something like arm negros in the  
11 middle of Kansas City. I said, "I thought the  
12 deal was to take guns away from these people,  
13 not give them -- you know, buy them any."

14 But, anyway, there was quiet a complaint.  
15 And then in 1999 I was invited -- you know,  
16 the communications. When I first got into  
17 this here, there wasn't internet, but there  
18 was really no World Wide Web. I got into  
19 politics. 5,000,000 people on the internet  
20 and I said yeah -- well, there were 5,000,000  
21 people on the internet back then. I said,  
22 "Well, 4,990,000 of them are complete idiots  
23 and waste of time." But I bought a 2400 baud  
24 modem, got on Compuserve in '94 and then they  
25 got dial-up connection, got on that. And by

1           early 1996 I had one of the very first web  
2           pages. And most people back then, as now,  
3           like on Facebook have picture of their cats or  
4           socks or record collection and I would scan in  
5           -- I would scan in using a 386SX20 and an old  
6           fax machine, I would go ahead and scan in  
7           books by Dr. Lothrop Stoddard, who was quite  
8           the rage in 1924.

9           You know, and I -- and so I would get -- I  
10          would get quiet a -- you know, I would get  
11          quite a following of people and I called it  
12          Patrick Henry Online. As I got to, you know,  
13          know the conflict, I would -- I got to where I  
14          said, "Look, folks, why are you -- why are you  
15          showing up? Why are you doing that?" But,  
16          you know, very first you had email trees.  
17          Well, before that you had fax networks and  
18          then you had BBSs and then communications went  
19          to where Yahoo bought E-Groups and then there  
20          were the Yahoo groups and then the vBulletin  
21          boards, which is what my forum is. Version 3  
22          is wonderful. Verson 4 and 5 are crap.

23          But, anyway, then -- then now pretty well  
24          the -- you know, the tool of choice is the  
25          Wordpress blog. And I would like to give Mr.

1           Reo credit, he took down so many of my web  
2           pages that I have three or four servers,  
3           including one in India, and I do not use -- I  
4           do not use a \$2.99 sent a month, you know, a  
5           month web hosting.

6           But moving on, at the same time -- at the  
7           same time there was infiltration, there was  
8           infiltration by the government into these  
9           Christian Identity groups. And they said  
10          that, you know, during the summer of they  
11          called it pre-Y2K, I call it Y2K, it turned  
12          out to be a bust, of course, but you would  
13          have these people in these rural -- in these  
14          suburban malitias, they were -- they were  
15          denouncing us and, you know, giving our names  
16          out to the FBI, which, of course, they knew  
17          already.

18          And so -- so the malitia groups pretty  
19          well -- but throughout the time I went ahead  
20          and I would make fun of these suburban  
21          malitias who said, "We're a constitutional  
22          malitia." I said, "there's no such thing as a  
23          constitution malitia," because the, as I call  
24          them, founding fellows did away with the  
25          township malitia because they remembered that



1                   the malitia which rebelled against George III  
2                   was a township malicia. It wasn't a state  
3                   malitia. And the constitution did away with  
4                   that in Article 1. And what they did is that  
5                   they pretty well said, "The only legitimate  
6                   malitia is now your state national guard or  
7                   something appointed by the governor -- by the  
8                   governor.

9                   I said, "Are you" --

10                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, that's  
11                   about fifteen minutes of background. I need  
12                   you to get to this case --

13                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Anyway --

14                   THE COURT:        -- your church and --

15                   MR. LINDSTEDT: They were -- they  
16                   were --

17                   THE COURT:        Hold on. I'm still  
18                   talking. I need you to get around to your  
19                   church and your dealings with Mr. Reo.

20                   MR. LINDSTEDT: With who?

21                   THE COURT:        Mr. Reo.

22                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo. Okay. They  
23                   bring -- they go ahead and they bring -- they  
24                   go ahead and they, you know, bring one of the  
25                   worst rascals was someone named James

1 Wickstrom and he -- in rural Nebraska he  
2 convinced a pig farmer to kill a five-year-old  
3 white kid and a 27-year-old --

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Sustained. Let's get  
6 to the church.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. And then --  
8 and then they brought in -- they brought in a  
9 mongrel named -- what the hell was his name?  
10 Dan Johns, but that's not his real name.

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Dan Johns. His real  
14 name is Warren Withersloop (phonetic) here.  
15 He's an Ashkenazi melengem (phonetic). He got  
16 -- he got Crohn's disease.

17 THE COURT: That's enough of  
18 that. Get to your dealings with Mr. Reo.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo.

20 THE COURT: Your dealings with  
21 Mr. Reo.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Dan Johns --

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Dan Johns brought in

1 -- brought in a character in 2003 called Eli  
2 James. His real name is Joseph November.

3 MR. REO: Objection.

4 THE COURT: I don't care about  
5 what happened in 2003. Let's advance to 2009,  
6 2010.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. All right.  
8 Eli James -- Eli James is connected with Mr.  
9 Reo. Eli James wanted a character named  
10 Clifton Emahiser, who also was, I think, a  
11 Jew, but --

12 MR. REO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Emahis -- Mr.  
15 Emahis -- it does have something to do with  
16 it, because Mr. Emahiser was one of the people  
17 I'm suing and --

18 MR. REO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Overruled.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yeah, overruled.  
21 okay. And what happens is that Mr. Emahiser  
22 said that he loved Bertrand Comparet, but he  
23 hated Bertrand Comparet and you have all of  
24 these Crypto-Jews just coming in like crazy  
25 into Christian Identity.

1                   Now, as far as the Aryan Nations, it was  
2                   formed by Butler. The problem with the Aryan  
3                   Nations is it's always white, but it has  
4                   psychotic drug-using criminals. In the case  
5                   of Ohio had an Aryan Nations faction, he  
6                   rebelled against Butler and then came back and  
7                   the FBI got tired of trying --

8                   THE COURT:        I don't want to hear  
9                   about the history of the Aryan Nations in  
10                  Ohio. Let's get to this case.

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Anyway, the  
12                  non -- the non-Aryan Nations is -- you know,  
13                  white criminal psychotics is the -- you know,  
14                  is essentially all the Jews and mongrels  
15                  claiming to be Christian Identity who hate  
16                  Christian --

17                  THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt --

18                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

19                  THE COURT:        -- I don't want to  
20                  cut you off from testifying, but you need to  
21                  get to your church --

22                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Emahiser --

23                  THE COURT:        You stop and you  
24                  listen when I'm talking. Do you remember the  
25                  ground rules?

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes, sir. Well, I  
2 try to.

3 THE COURT: You need to get to  
4 your church and your dealings with Mr. Reo.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

6 THE COURT: And I don't care  
7 about the background and why you started your  
8 church. I want to know when you started your  
9 church and then how that goes with Mr. Reo.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. You bring in  
11 all these characters. Mr. Finck -- Mr. Finck,  
12 he while in jail, he was a jailer and while he  
13 was in jail he murdered a Puerto Rican sneak  
14 thief named Arnaldo Ortega and then he --

15 THE COURT: That is not of any  
16 concern to anyone in this courtroom except  
17 you.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm suing Mr. Finck.

19 THE COURT: Move on.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Mr. Reo -- Mr.  
21 Reo, as of yesterday, wants to claim he does  
22 not know William Finck or Eli James or --

23 MR. REO: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: He wants to go around



1 run for office and got refused because I'm a  
2 white nationalist. But, anyway, came down and  
3 Delaney yelled at me and all of a sudden I  
4 found out Hostmonster had taken down the very  
5 first pastorlindstedt.org. Mr. Reo was a  
6 friend of -- I think he -- you know, do you  
7 remember Mike Delaney?

8 THE COURT: You're not asking  
9 questions.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, sorry.

11 Anyway, Mr. -- Mr. -- Mr. Reo went ahead  
12 and later on, you know, said that along with  
13 Mr. Delaney he had taken down the very first  
14 pastorlindstedt@hostmonster.com.

15 So we -- we go on and Mr. Finck -- well,  
16 Mr. Finck, he gets out of prison. You know,  
17 he -- he went ahead and snitched -- he went  
18 ahead and snitched on the policeman named --  
19 you know, named Dumers that he had induced to  
20 help him kill -- kill Arnaldo Ortega.

21 MR. REO: Objection.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: And he got away -- he  
24 got away with it in New Jersey but the Puerto  
25 Rican and Negro community got real annoyed





1 and Sword Brethren was talking about how he  
2 would take over klan and then copyright the  
3 name and sue every single klan who --

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: He would take over  
7 every single klan. The way he was talking  
8 about, you know, the klan would make him the  
9 king and what happens, he would sue the klans  
10 in court. That's on Stormfront.

11 Later on -- later on there is a big, old  
12 -- there's a big, old thread about how Mr. Reo  
13 is going to gun it out with the FBI and the  
14 DATF and the Lake County Sheriff's Department.

15 MR. REO: Objection.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, okay.

18 MR. REO: Move to strike.

19 THE COURT: The jurors will  
20 disregard that last statement by Mr.  
21 Lindstedt.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I don't --  
23 okay. Someone who called himself Sword  
24 Brethren did that. Mr. Reo said he's not  
25 Sword Brethren, but, you know, someone called

1                   Sword Brethren and he weirded him out so much,  
2                   he weirded out Stormfront so much that, you  
3                   know, Sword Brethren's account is inactive  
4                   now. Well, it was inactive soon after talking  
5                   about buying grenades and --

6                   MR. REO:            Objection.

7                   THE COURT:         Sustained.

8                   MR. REO:            Move to strike.

9                   THE COURT:         Jurors will disregard  
10                  that last statement of Mr. Lindstedt.

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT:    Okay. Anyway, Sword  
12                  Brethren and Mr. Reo coincidentally was known as  
13                  Sword Brethren on Mr. Finck's forum.

14                  So, anyway, as time -- as time went on I  
15                  would get into a loaded fight with Mr. -- with  
16                  Mr. Bill Finck. In 2009 Mr. Finck, who had  
17                  already gotten out of prison in 2008, was  
18                  trying to build up his Christian Identity  
19                  ministry and what Mr. Finck does is that he  
20                  takes stuff by Bertrand Comparet all over the  
21                  internet.

22                  The problem is Mr. Finck doesn't believe  
23                  in dual seedline Christian Identity. In fact,  
24                  the truth comes out that he believes the story  
25                  of Satan and Eve having sex and creating the

1           very first Jew named Cane is an allegory, a  
2           race mixing event. It is something called  
3           preterism. What is preterism, you may ask.  
4           Well, peterism is a Roman Catholic -- is a  
5           Roman Catholic invention from 1615 to 1619  
6           just before --

7                         MR. REO:            Objection.

8                         THE COURT:        Overruled.

9                         MR. LINDSTEDT: -- just before the  
10           outbreak of the -- of the thirty years war  
11           that killed about two-thirds of Germany where  
12           you had all the nations in Europe using  
13           Germany as a battlefield. And it's called the  
14           thirty years war because it lasted thirty  
15           years. You had a religious war of Catholics  
16           versus Protestants.

17                        THE COURT:        Okay, Mr. Lindstedt,  
18           I'm going to have to cut off your history  
19           lesson.

20                        MR. LINDSTEDT: Preterism --  
21           preterism holds -- preterism holds that the  
22           Roman Catholic Church had -- they weren't able  
23           to do much with the ostrogots and visigoths  
24           and vandals and stuff like that, or the huns,  
25           but they managed to put, you know, poor old

1 Satan in the pit for 1000 years. And Satan  
2 got out around 1517 and whispered in Martin  
3 Luther's -- you know, in Martin Luther's ear  
4 so, therefore, the Protestants were rebelling  
5 against God's kingdom on earth.

6 Well, the Protestants didn't exactly  
7 believe that. But William Finck -- William  
8 Finck sort of believes that, but, you know, he  
9 cannot stand, neither can Eli James, cannot  
10 stand to hear that there is simply no kid who  
11 they're the little spawn of Satan, so they're  
12 Satan's kids, so they are born evil. And so  
13 they don't like -- you know, they don't  
14 believing real dual seedline Christian  
15 Identity. And if I was a Jew, I wouldn't care  
16 much for it either.

17 But what -- you know, what they -- what  
18 they then went on and claimed, instead of the  
19 500 and 1500 years that the -- you know, that  
20 was really 800 to 1800 and during the Roman --  
21 holy Roman Empire, which ended with Napoleon  
22 who turned loose the Jews and --

23 THE COURT: All right. Let's  
24 bring this around to this lawsuit.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Anyway, all of

1                   these people who are Jews, you know, who Mr.  
2                   Reo on the internet still has a connection,  
3                   but not here, what happens is that here are  
4                   these infiltrators trying to change Christian  
5                   Identity.

6                   So he went ahead and mentioned in his  
7                   complaint Buck McHugh's Skype account or Skype  
8                   account. And what you had at one time was a  
9                   whole bunch of people coming in. And Buck  
10                  McHugh, and I didn't know it at the time, Buck  
11                  McHugh insisted upon giving me everything  
12                  about Mr. Reo, where he went to school at,  
13                  where -- you know, everything, you know, what  
14                  he liked, history of litigation, all this sort  
15                  of thing except his name and where he or the  
16                  town he lived in. He said, "Oh, he's a dark  
17                  -- he's a little dark little fellow here."  
18                  And -- but he wouldn't give me -- he wouldn't  
19                  give me his name.

20                  Later on, later on, three years later I  
21                  found the truth, Mr. Buck McHugh hated Bryan  
22                  Reo. He decided to use me, he decided to use  
23                  me as a caspah to go ahead and go against, you  
24                  know, Mr. Reo. It didn't matter to me because  
25                  me and my church believe that no mongrel can

1 enter the congregation of Israel under  
2 Dueteronomy 23-2. We call them mamzers and  
3 they mean seginated (phonetic) bastards.

4 MR. REO: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Overruled.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo  
7 wasn't white. Mr. Reo was a mongrel coming  
8 into Christian Identity. I didn't find that  
9 out until, I think, April 15th. It was a week  
10 after the Boston bombing. He got mad because  
11 I said, "You idiot" --

12 THE COURT: What year was that?

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: April of 2013.

14 THE COURT: Thank you.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: You know, mid-April.  
16 It was a week after the Boston pressure cooker  
17 bomb bombing or something like that. I  
18 usually have a very good idea about dates.  
19 And I -- you know, he had been avoiding me. He  
20 had been avoiding me a great deal. But, you  
21 know, I found out the reason he, you know,  
22 didn't, you know, was because he was playing  
23 buddy buddies with Bryan Reo, buddy buddies.  
24 And the reason he hated Bryan Reo is that he  
25 blamed Bryan Reo and another Jew for, you

1 know, taking away his stockbroker's license  
2 and cost him \$2.5 million.

3 He said, "You were supposed to sue Bryan  
4 Reo for taking down your web pages and you  
5 didn't, you didn't."

6 And I says, "Well, what happens is I was  
7 going to get ready in March and, oh, a whole  
8 bunch of people, you know, the woman who Bryan  
9 Reo threatened to shove a spear in her head  
10 because she was Greek and she made fun of  
11 William Finck's Greek scholarship or Greek  
12 speaking ability; my ex-friend, my ex-best  
13 friend John Britton and Buck McHugh, oh, they  
14 did not want to help and "Oh, you promised,  
15 you promised."

16 I said, "Shoot, Bryan Reo -- Bryan Reo  
17 cost me twelve web pages, you know, a couple  
18 blogs, you know, web pages, blogs,  
19 communications." But by then I had found  
20 another -- an actual free speech hoster. I  
21 got kicked off quite a few. Mr. Reo had used  
22 a Jew lawyer named Worman (phonetic) to get me  
23 kicked off. So Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo was pretty  
24 well stalking me and getting my web pages  
25 taken down. And, you know, the reason I cued

1           on Mr. Buck McHugh is that why did you give me  
2           everything expect his name and where he lived  
3           so that I had to -- I had to go ahead and find  
4           him, because I assure you -- I assure you if I  
5           -- just by knowing he was a mongrel, I would  
6           have gone ahead and disposed of him, because  
7           he doesn't belong in the congregation.

8           But, anyway, he hated -- he hated, and he  
9           told me -- Sword Brethren, Sword Brethren, and  
10          I went ahead and gave him the name Sword  
11          Mamzer. But, anyway, which mean, you know,  
12          it's a play on Sword Brethren. He's a  
13          mongrel.

14          Anyway, getting back, getting back, it  
15          goes on and I'm fighting -- I am fighting with  
16          Finck's characters. And Finck, you know,  
17          Finck, he got kicked out of high school  
18          because of a terrible temper. He -- well, he  
19          murdered -- he murdered the Puerto Rican sneak  
20          thief who was due to get out a day or so.

21                   MR. REO:            Objection.

22                   THE COURT:        Overruled.

23                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Went ahead and  
24          did this and all that. And Finck lied --  
25          Finck would lie to me. Finck lied to me and I



1                   says, "Why you in jail for?"

2                   "Oh, I exceeded to corruption. Two other  
3 people went ahead and killed a prisoner and I  
4 went ahead and overlooked the other way."

5                   MR. REO:            Objection.

6                   THE COURT:          Overruled.

7                   MR. LINDSTEDT: You know, Finck --  
8 Finck was the one who went ahead and stomped,  
9 you know, while handcuffed. He's 6'4" and he  
10 went ahead and stomped to death a 5'4" Puerto  
11 Rican sneak thief who was over -- you know, he  
12 was hancuffed.

13                  THE COURT:          Mr. Lindstedt --

14                  MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. All  
15 right.

16                  THE COURT:          Were you there for  
17 that?

18                  MR. LINDSTEDT: No, Your Honor,  
19 that's what it said -- that's what it said in  
20 the newspapers.

21                  THE COURT:          All right. Well,  
22 we're not going to talk about what you read  
23 somewhere else.

24                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. This is Mr.  
25 Finck, who Bryan Reo used to know real good.

1                   Anyway, so moving on, you know, Finck --  
2                   Finck got rid of the British Israelite people  
3                   who probably didn't even know that he existed  
4                   or cared and so things get pretty well tense.  
5                   And I find out, because I had an agreement  
6                   with William Finck that he would have a  
7                   subforum on my vBulletin forum and I would  
8                   have a subforum on his.

9                   And I found out on October 13th at 1:30  
10                  P.M. that Finck had been lying to me when I  
11                  opened up the sock puppet account over at the  
12                  Newton County Neosho Library and I found he  
13                  had lied to me and the scales fell from my  
14                  eyes.

15                  And I went ahead, you know, and started a  
16                  thread called, I Got Fucked at  
17                  Finckelsheenie's, because --

18                  THE COURT:        I don't understand a  
19                  word that you just said.

20                  MR. LINDSTEDT: I -- okay.   What  
21                  happens is that Finck is a Jew name, sometimes  
22                  it shows for Finckelsteins, but I got -- he  
23                  claimed to be Italian and the word for Italian  
24                  Jew is Sheenie, so I Got Fucked at  
25                  Finckelsheenie's; okay?

1 THE COURT: All right. I don't  
2 see any reason why you should have said that  
3 once, twice, or three times.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Moving on, I,  
5 of course -- me and John Britton -- me and  
6 John Britton got kicked off really quick and  
7 so I went ahead and banned Finck as well just  
8 to make sure he didn't come on and take down  
9 forums. This was in October and as part of  
10 the -- let's see, as part of that, Eli James  
11 and William Finck were -- you know, were  
12 really close together and I didn't really much  
13 care for Eli James. But I had gone ahead and  
14 I remember -- I remember the weekend, Morris  
15 Galette (phonetic) and another character  
16 that's part of -- on Aryan Nations and I had a  
17 Talk Shoe show. Talk Shoe is pretty well  
18 defunct, but every Christian Identity pastor,  
19 pseudo pastor would have a show and from my  
20 point of view it would allow even the most  
21 drooling moron to go ahead and anonymously say  
22 all sorts of stuff on there. I liked it. I  
23 said -- I said -- I called my show The  
24 Movement Turd, because I called the movement  
25 or the resistance or whatever, I call it the

1            bowel movement most of the time. And, you  
2            know, The Movement Turd is, I thought, pretty  
3            appropriate.

4            So, anyway, Bryan Reo -- Bryan Reo was  
5            pretending to be -- was pretending to be a  
6            Christian Identity subpastor at the time along  
7            with Eli James and the rest. And Bryan Reo  
8            and another character named Matthew Ott had  
9            the previous weekend, we had really gotten  
10           into it and Bryan Reo went ahead and drew a  
11           map from Cleveland to where -- it's 853 miles  
12           from Cleveland to Granby, Missouri and I -- I,  
13           of course, ridiculed -- ridiculed that. I  
14           compared to the movie 3000 miles to Graceland.  
15           I said, "Well, bryan Reo would come as Marilyn  
16           Monroe," and -- well, I didn't know he was  
17           Bryan Reo. I said, "Sword Mamzer will come as  
18           Marilyn Monroe" and, you know, I called -- you  
19           know, his name was Matthew Ott. I called him  
20           Mat Ott Zogbot. And Zogbot is provocateur and  
21           I don't know if you've -- you know, like  
22           fembots, if you've ever seen spy Shagmia  
23           (phonetic), she has a machine gun, she has  
24           machine gun bullets here, a fembot is a  
25           veracious female. Well, zogbot is someone who

1 works -- who works for Zog or the Zionist  
2 Occupational Government, so Ott rhymes with  
3 bot. So I don't know if I coined the term,  
4 but Finck has a -- Finck has a site, he's  
5 taken up zogbots.com, zogbots.org and, well,  
6 he -- you know, three weeks ago he's saying  
7 I'm a convicted child molester. He's still  
8 putting up Eli James' stuff that Bryan Reo had  
9 put up. So, anyway, that Eli James had  
10 written. He hates Eli James, but, you know,  
11 he prints Eli James' material against me.

12 So, anyway, Matthew Ott went ahead and  
13 showed him is manly 42DDs and, you know, we  
14 made fun of him. But I found out -- I found  
15 out Finck had been lying to me and he had been  
16 laughing about it for about two weeks.

17 So what happens, like I said before, I'm  
18 trying my best to find out who Bryan Reo is  
19 because he's threatening people. He's -- you  
20 know, he's doing what he pretty well always  
21 did in, you know, 2010 and, you know, Finck  
22 goes ahead and tells me, "You wanted to be my  
23 Facebook friend. I'm not going to let you be  
24 my Facebook friend. I ain't gonna let someone  
25 like you do that here."

1                   And I said -- I'm laying in bed and I'm  
2                   saying, "Well, Finck don't want me to be my  
3                   Facebook friend. I wonder who his Facebook  
4                   friend is?"

5                   Ah, I look up Eli James, Eli James being  
6                   on Facebook, but there it is, there is a  
7                   mongrel who is into -- you know, who went to  
8                   John Carroll University who lives in Northeast  
9                   Ohio and also seen -- I also seen, you know,  
10                  Finck's sister, Bridgette, she calls Thor,  
11                  whatever and she had a big old horse nose  
12                  here.

13                                 MR. REO:             Objection.

14                                 THE COURT:          Overruled.

15                                 MR. LINDSTEDT: Anyway -- so, anyway,  
16                  here I am and I'm looking at all of Finck's  
17                  friends and I find Bryan Reo. Oh, goody. And  
18                  then I go ahead and I look up Bryan Reo and  
19                  Bryan Reo -- Bryan Reo, this is not Bryan  
20                  Reo's first -- first, you know, little  
21                  excursion in the white pride power rangers  
22                  parade. He had been -- he had been with a  
23                  character named Richard Barrett and Richard  
24                  Barrett, I mean, he lived in Mississippi and  
25                  even the Negros thought he was major

1                   provacateur.

2                   MR. REO:            Objection.

3                   THE COURT:          Sustained.  You don't  
4 know --

5                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.  Well, Mr. --  
6 Mr. Barrett, it was on the weekend of April  
7 and Curt Maynard went ahead and shot his  
8 Mexican ex-wife, her boyfriend, one of his  
9 kids, and he shot himself.

10                  MR. REO:            Objection.

11                  THE COURT:          Mr. Linstedt, were  
12 you there for those occurrences?

13                  MR. LINDSTEDT: No, no, no, I went  
14 ahead and did a show as to who --

15                  THE COURT:          Then don't talk about  
16 things you don't have firsthand knowledge of.

17                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.  Anyway, Mr. --  
18 Mr. Barrett was killed.  But way back in 2004  
19 he had said, "Bryan Reo is going to have to  
20 get used to the fact that he's not white."  
21 And so Mr. Reo was kicked out of Mr. Barrett's  
22 organization in 2004, 2005.

23                  MR. REO:            Objection.

24                  THE COURT:          Overruled.

25                  MR. LINDSTEDT: So, anyway, Mr. Reo

1           -- Mr. Reo came back to Ohio and guess what,  
2           it's time to -- it's time to be a white  
3           supremacist again and he gets in with, you  
4           know, William Finck.

5           So, anyway, to -- to go ahead and spread  
6           some paranoia, to spread some paranoia, I said  
7           I had a -- I had an informant within the  
8           William Finck organization even though, well,  
9           I was lying. I found it out because Finck  
10          being stupid and sloppy and careless and Bryan  
11          Reo having a Facebook picture and that  
12          Facebook picture -- that Facebook was his 2004  
13          Mentor High School picture. And it showed a  
14          rather swarthy little critter at that.

15          So I go ahead and I put on my Facebook  
16          picture of -- picture of I called it Sword  
17          Brethren, you know, or Bryan Reo and I had --  
18          well, I looked at my family tree and a monkey  
19          shit in my face.

20                   THE COURT:        A monkey what?

21                   MR. LINDSTEDT: A monkey shit in my  
22                   face when I looked up my family tree. So I  
23                   went ahead and, you know, here is Bryan Reo  
24                   because he's not white.

25                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, you



1                   need to refocus.

2                   MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. Well, the  
3                   next day -- the next, you know, two days from  
4                   now or something like that, here is Buck  
5                   McHugh and Buck McHugh is being accused --  
6                   Buck McHugh is being accused of finking out  
7                   Bryan Reo and he has a character named Mark 41  
8                   and I found out his name as well and he's --  
9                   Bryan Reo as of last year saying he looked  
10                  like a Jew and a mongrel, so Bryan Reo is  
11                  still with William Finck as of last year. I  
12                  found that out by Finck makes -- Finck makes  
13                  quite a few enemies, quiet a few.

14                 So, anyway, it goes on and, you know,  
15                 here's Halloween of 2010 and I said, "Bryan  
16                 Reo, he ain't white." And here comes Eli  
17                 James and he's been kicked out of the Klan in  
18                 August because they figure out he's a Jew too.  
19                 And Eli James, you know, we have a big show,  
20                 No. 42, all the tards, all the NIMBusters  
21                 tards, all the other tard tards, all the -- I  
22                 mean, it's just a regular jungle on Talk Shoe  
23                 and I'm not even bothered to censor it. But  
24                 Eli James is screaming and I go ahead and mute  
25                 him, but I let him speak and it was quite a

1 show.

2 And then the next day Finck is still  
3 friends with, you know, Eli James. And so  
4 what they do is that they -- Eli James goes  
5 ahead and writes Rabbi Lindstedt's, you know,  
6 trader or Jew and calls me a child molester  
7 for, you know, nine -- convicted child  
8 molester. And I'll get to the part about the  
9 nuthouse and the half beard in a minute. But,  
10 anyway, I'm pretty well covering -- you know,  
11 this's a reason for the half beard. Of course  
12 there is. But, anyway, Eli James goes ahead  
13 and publishes.

14 Five days later Bryan Reo on the William  
15 Finck blog that he was given asked me about  
16 christeogenia.org, Wordpress blog. He goes  
17 ahead and prints -- he goes ahead and prints  
18 on the same blog that Finck has given him the  
19 same thing that Eli James writes. It was up  
20 there until, you know, Bryan Reo decided to go  
21 ahead and file the federal lawsuit. I don't  
22 know, I think it was maybe three or four  
23 months.

24 MR. REO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, it used to be  
2 -- it used to be on -- it used to be on there  
3 and it didn't really matter to me. You know,  
4 just, you know, what happens is that I, you  
5 know, made a copy of it and pretty well  
6 defeated the federal case here by putting that  
7 up.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt --

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

10 THE COURT: -- focus on what  
11 we're doing here.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. Moving  
13 on, moving on, by this time -- by this time,  
14 you know, the British Israelite people,  
15 they're gone; I'm gone. I mean, I'm just --  
16 I'm just running around and Mr. Reo is taking  
17 down web pages, associated web pages and a 25  
18 percent Jew homosexual mongrel goes ahead and  
19 alerts me and John Britton to xuqa.com and  
20 looks like a homosexual dating site, but it  
21 contains Mr. Reo's pictures, xuqa.com and it  
22 showed -- it showed a rather Mexican-looking  
23 character, very much Mexican looking, and it  
24 showed Mr. Reo looking somewhat like an  
25 oriental. But the one that they liked the

1 best here was the -- was the unibrow and the  
2 very thick lips.

3 So after that he had another -- he had  
4 another name which you might have seen on the,  
5 you know -- you know, on the -- you know, on  
6 the forum quite a bit here.

7 So, anyway, Mr. Reo took it down and, I  
8 mean, really between me and John Britton, we  
9 probably wouldn't have found -- we probably  
10 wouldn't have found that, but the 25 percent  
11 Jew homosexual mongrel who was working with  
12 Mr. Reo to take down the beer barrel at the  
13 time and I ended up getting banned and, you  
14 know, there is a thread of who does the beer  
15 barrel think they're fooling, because, you  
16 know, John Britton had gone there from -- from  
17 another forum and -- oh, the stumbling forum.  
18 I was -- I was pretty well a featured  
19 columnist. What they did was they went ahead  
20 and made it members only.

21 William Shawn DeClue in 2000 and -- 2009  
22 he begged and begged and begged and begged me  
23 to ordain him. So he drove 300 miles. He  
24 drove 300 miles from St. Louis or he's one of  
25 the people I'm suing. And he came in there

1                   and he was very much an idiot and -- but he  
2                   had a Comparet thing. He talked to Roxie. I  
3                   don't know what it is about me, but, you know,  
4                   people who ended up becoming serial killers or  
5                   something like that, they think I'm out to  
6                   kill them. So they think I'm nuttier than  
7                   they are, like Traitor Glenn Miller. I had  
8                   him and I've known a number -- I've known a  
9                   number of people who turned out to be serial  
10                  killers.

11                  So, anyway, Mr. Traitor Glenn Miller  
12                  thought I was going to kill him in July of  
13                  2000 when I was running for reformed party  
14                  candidate for U.S. Senate and --

15                  MR. REO:            Objection.

16                  THE COURT:         Mr. Lindstedt --

17                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

18                  THE COURT:         -- this has nothing  
19                  to do with what we're here for. I don't want  
20                  to cut you off.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. William --  
22                  William --

23                  THE COURT:         Wait. I'm not done  
24                  talking. Unless you get back on point --

25                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

1 THE COURT: -- I'm going to limit  
2 the amount of time that you're going to be  
3 able to give this narrative.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. William  
5 Shawn DeClue is one of the people I'm suing.  
6 I figured out -- I figured out -- he went  
7 ahead and told me that he had been in the air  
8 force. He got kicked out after two months for  
9 being a thief and it's just easier to kick him  
10 out rather than do all the paperwork. And so  
11 then he was eighteen years old and he was a  
12 thief, not a very good one. I mean, he went  
13 ahead and made a plea bargain. By this time  
14 he's a felon and he got six months in the St.  
15 Louis Workhouse. And he has two sisters  
16 living in St. Louis and --

17 MR. REO: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- they are married  
20 or shackled up to some negros. And he tells  
21 me, "I'll never -- I'll never accuse you of  
22 being a child molester, Martin, because I was  
23 accused of sexually molesting my nieces," and  
24 all he has is negro nieces.

25 So I, of course -- what he -- what he had

1 done is he had gone 300 miles. He could have  
2 gone to some people called the downies and  
3 they are -- Mark Downey died last year.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt --

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

6 THE COURT: -- not important.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. Well,  
8 anyway, I went ahead and kicked him out, but I  
9 had gotten -- I induce him to gloat about it  
10 on Stormfront and he got onto Sheba No. 2 and  
11 he left his -- he left his log-in name and  
12 password, so I was spying on Stormfront. You  
13 know, I had moderator status for the next six  
14 months and pretty well reading his private  
15 emails, pretty well for members only. I mean,  
16 I really was just spying up a storm on  
17 Stormfront, including on him. And he was --  
18 he was an idiot whose only joy was sensoring  
19 people. But yeah, you know, I mean, I was spy  
20 -- I was pretty well spying on Stormfront as  
21 well because of that idiot.

22 So, anyway, with Mr. DeClue if you listen  
23 to that was pretty well he would come in and  
24 he was an informant. He, in 1996 --

25 MR. REO: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. I don't  
2 want to hear about what someone did in 1996.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

4 Mr. DeClue in order to get out of prison as a  
5 felon with a gun is a federal informant. So  
6 what he does is he just goes ahead and he lies  
7 about what he apparently seen on -- you know,  
8 at my place or something like that. And what  
9 he was was a terms of service snitch. He  
10 would get on -- he would get on these forums  
11 or something like that and report to Mr. Reo  
12 about what was going on so Mr. Reo could file  
13 either a Digital Millennium Copyright Act  
14 violation or a terms of service violation.  
15 You know, that enabled Mr. Reo to take down  
16 about a dozen of my web pages and some of the  
17 rest.

18 So, anyway, I'm finding out stuff here,  
19 Mr. Reo is running wild, is running wild. You  
20 know, he's having me taken down in Canada  
21 outside the Digital Millennium Copyright Act  
22 place and Mr. DeClue is helping him. And,  
23 anyway, Mr. DeClue is one of the people I'm  
24 suing, you know, as an accessory to Mr. Reo.

25 Anyway, here comes the -- in December and



1           it's time for William Finck to turn on Eli  
2           James. And Eli James, what he does is that  
3           he's actually quite a bit more intelligent  
4           than William Finck and quite -- you know,  
5           William Finck turned on Eli James and pretty  
6           they have a big fight over dominion theory  
7           versus exterminationism and Mr. Finck -- Mr.  
8           Finck is on the side of extermination. If  
9           they're any part of a Jew or any part of a  
10          nonwhite, go ahead and destroy them all. And  
11          by this time Emahiser, they don't believe in  
12          actual dual seedline Christian Identity.  
13          Well, they -- they've gone ahead and changed  
14          it. So for whatever reason they believe in  
15          exterminating people.

16                 And in February, here is Bryan Reo, he's  
17                 getting down, he's siding with Finck. He's  
18                 getting down, he's getting down with Mr. --  
19                 with Eli James. They're having an argument  
20                 where Eli James says if they're a 15 percent  
21                 Jew, let them live and, you know, Sword  
22                 Brethren, Bryan Reo, you know, is saying, "No,  
23                 no, no, exterminate them all."

24                         THE COURT:         Now, Mr. Lindstedt,  
25                         the person who said that is Sword Brethren?

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yeah.

2 THE COURT: And you believe that  
3 to be Mr. Reo, but --

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Or it's Mr. Reo.

5 THE COURT: -- and Mr. Reo has  
6 denied that; correct?

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes, I'm sure he has.  
8 Yes, he has.

9 THE COURT: Go on.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. So moving  
11 -- moving on, there is a -- you know, there is  
12 a big split. The only time that, you know,  
13 Finck goes ahead and quotes Eli James is, you  
14 know, the Rabbi Lindstedt's traitor or  
15 whatever. And so there's a big split and, you  
16 know, it's a continuous day. Eli James is far  
17 more affable and he gets a whole lot more  
18 people, but Finck -- Finck, well, he can't get  
19 along with his children, he can't get along --  
20 well, his mother moved out.

21 I was -- I was doing with Emahiser --  
22 Emahiser died while he was in Finck's care  
23 last year and Finck managed to go ahead and  
24 sell his house and business for 220,000 and  
25 Emahiser's kid gets kicked out. So everyone

1                   thinks of Finck -- you know, everyone thinks  
2                   Finck is a -- well, not only a fink, but he's  
3                   an agent provocateur.

4                   MR. REO:            Objection.

5                   THE COURT:         Overruled.

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT: And the problem is is  
7                   that William Finck is a member of, you know,  
8                   League of the South and I have a friend, you  
9                   know, who has a major blog named Hunter  
10                  Wallace. His real name is Brad Griffin and I  
11                  have said, "Look, you were supporting someone  
12                  who not only" -- I mean, in 2015 -- in 2015  
13                  here comes -- well, it was called lone  
14                  wolfism, but I call it lone tard where  
15                  somebody the movement has never heard of  
16                  before comes in and guns down, you know, in  
17                  the case Dylann Storm Roof guns down nine  
18                  negros in South Carolina.

19                  THE COURT:         Mr. Lindstedt, not  
20                  pertinent to this case.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. In any  
22                  case -- in any case, I say, "You're having  
23                  someone who's a snitch who went ahead and  
24                  snitched on his buddies and you're having him  
25                  in League of the South?" And pretty well I've



1 account has whatever money I've put in it. I  
2 guess he thinks there's millions of dollars in  
3 it. There is not. What happens is I put in  
4 money for this Aryan Nations church. When I  
5 founded it, you know, you go ahead and look  
6 back, it was founded in October of 2006. I  
7 was trying to get out on a religious, you  
8 know, excuse of essentially being illegally  
9 doped up. I was accused -- I was accused of  
10 molesting my re -- my retarded six-year-old  
11 grandson by kissing his penis and licking his  
12 crack, I guess, once every day and twice on  
13 Sundays and pretty well I was told -- I was  
14 told that Newton County -- Newton County  
15 people, sheriff's department, whatever, I -- I  
16 don't know if you believe this, but I have  
17 been in a lot of trouble for the State of  
18 Missouri and Newton County and Granby. I  
19 mean, I -- I probably caused more trouble than  
20 everybody else, you know, combined for them.  
21 And I was told that I was to be railroaded  
22 for, you know, child molestation and murder as  
23 a racist.

24 Anyway, I had -- what happens, on April  
25 9th they went ahead and swooped down and, you

1 know, went ahead and got my grandchildren and  
2 I haven't seen any of them ever again at all  
3 and I was thinking of going all Dylann Storm  
4 Roof at the time even before I heard of him  
5 here and I decided that I would use my talents  
6 to go ahead and, you know, pretty well kill  
7 every police officer and judge and lawyer  
8 through civil war.

9 Are you going to object, Mr. Reo?

10 MR. REO: No. I'm -- actually,  
11 I'm glad you got that on the record. Thank  
12 you.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. And as a  
14 result here pretty well my attitude is if you  
15 decide a white family, if you destroy a white  
16 family, the only way you can pay it back is if  
17 your entire family is destroyed. You don't do  
18 that. In our belief -- in our belief here, if  
19 you go ahead and look at the Bible, especially  
20 the Old Testament --

21 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, I'm  
22 not going to sit through a Bible discussion  
23 from you here.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

25 THE COURT: Were you ever

1                   successfully prosecuted for that crime?

2                   MR. LINDSTEDT: No. What happens is  
3                   that I -- I was at war with a particular  
4                   judge.

5                   THE COURT: Did you ever stand  
6                   trial or were you ever -- admit your guilt or  
7                   found guilty?

8                   MR. LINDSTEDT: No, I never -- it  
9                   never even got to preliminary hearing. But  
10                  what I would do -- what I would do is I would  
11                  insist on defending myself. So as a result --  
12                  as a result they couldn't go ahead and play  
13                  games with the dolls. They had to allow me,  
14                  they had to allow -- you know, I never -- I  
15                  never -- I never allowed --

16                  THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, it's  
17                  not of consequence in this case. The  
18                  consequence in this case is that you were  
19                  charged with it, you never stood trial for it,  
20                  you never pled guilty.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Never -- never --

22                  THE COURT: You are presumed  
23                  innocent of that charge.

24                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes.

25                  THE COURT: Let's move onto

1 another topic. Actually, it's about 10:50 and  
2 I want to give everybody --

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

4 THE COURT: -- especially the  
5 court reporter a break, so let's take a  
6 ten-minute break --

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

8 THE COURT: -- and come back a  
9 little bit after 11:00.

10 Once again, do not discuss this case  
11 amongst yourselves, do not allow anyone to  
12 discuss it with you and do not do any  
13 investigation on your own. We'll see you back  
14 in about ten minutes.

15 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

16  
17 (Whereupon, the jury was excused  
18 from the courtroom and the following  
19 proceedings were held in open court.)

20

21 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, just  
22 hold on one minute.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Sure.

24 THE COURT: I've pretty much  
25 allowed you to go well over a half an hour



1                   fairly uninterrupted.

2                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

3                   THE COURT:        But you're going very  
4 far afield. It not going to happen after this  
5 break. You're going to need to wrap up your  
6 testimony.

7                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. The Aaron  
8 Nations of Missouri is simply a -- it's a  
9 corporation in good standing.

10                  THE COURT:        I really don't care.

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. I'll tell  
12 about the Aaron Nations.

13                  THE COURT:        You'll talk about  
14 either your claims or the defenses of Mr.  
15 Reo's claims and you're going to move this  
16 process along.

17                  MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

18                  MR. REO:            I may cross, correct,  
19 Your Honor?

20                  THE COURT:        Correct.

21                  MR. REO:            I'm going to want to  
22 impeach on the statutory sodomy trial because  
23 the proceedings lasted -- may I speak -- the  
24 proceedings last four years. It was dismissed  
25 without prejudice to refileing.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: It didn't last four  
2 years.

3 THE COURT: You will not be  
4 questioning him about that. He's presumed  
5 innocent. He was never found guilty.

6 MR. REO: He was adjudicated  
7 and diverted to a mental asylum.

8 THE COURT: Yeah, not my concern.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'll go ahead and  
10 bring that up if you want.

11

12 (Whereupon, a brief recess was held  
13 at this time.)

14

15 (Whereupon, the jury re-enters the  
16 courtroom and the following proceedings were  
17 held in open court.)

18

19 THE COURT: Please be seated.

20 Mr. Lindstedt.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. If I could  
22 have five minutes to finish up?

23 THE COURT: Sure.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: The Church of Jesus  
25 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri

1                   was formed in 2006. The whole purpose of it  
2                   was to get around being forcibly doped up or  
3                   psychotic disorder not otherwise specified. I  
4                   got -- I got set up and interviewed by Jew  
5                   medical student and, oh, I was not allowed to  
6                   hear and I was not allowed to request a jury  
7                   in front of this enemy judge. And so I was  
8                   suing the enemy judge at the time to try to  
9                   get the grandkids back.

10                   But, anyway, wasn't allowed to even hear  
11                   it, because I was -- well, I was on a forty  
12                   day, forty-one night hunger strike and quite a  
13                   few other things here and, you know, wrote a  
14                   manifesto. Anyway, I got sent to the nuthouse  
15                   for six months, you know, illegally and on the  
16                   way knocked out five of my teeth. But,  
17                   anyway, here I am and in order to keep me in  
18                   maximum security, they got to pretend I'm  
19                   really nuts. So what they do is that they  
20                   diagnose Risperdal and I didn't want to take  
21                   it so they gave me a ten and a five of Haldol  
22                   and Ativan and that -- that will pretty well  
23                   -- you know, that's just miserable. And  
24                   really that's pretty well the threat of, you  
25                   know, illegal drug injection. It was pretty

1 well what kept me on the line, but I don't  
2 know if it would surprise anybody that I  
3 caused as much trouble as I could. Not with  
4 the other inmates. You know, they're just  
5 messed up, most of them. Some of them are  
6 criminals.

7 But what -- what happens is in order to  
8 avoid, to get around that they have a  
9 provision of religious exemption. So, hey,  
10 it's October 2006 and, you know, hey, let's  
11 have them obey the law. And nope, they don't.  
12 I go ahead and I'm trying to -- you know,  
13 that's why I formed the church was to get  
14 around getting doped up and it didn't -- they  
15 don't obey the law. I mean, once you're --  
16 once you're there, they got you because you're  
17 nuts and you don't know nothing.

18 So, anyway, oh, that's why I formed it. I  
19 eventually got -- oh, they got tried -- you  
20 know, about three days after I got there, I  
21 was informed by the head nurse, they knew  
22 fully well I wasn't nuts, but as long as I  
23 insisted on being my own attorney so that they  
24 -- you know, so that I couldn't face my  
25 accuser, well, hey, I could rot there for

1           twenty years. I said, well, Ezra Pound, who  
2           was a famous poet, who he was locked up in a  
3           cage in Saint Elizabeth's in Washington D.C.  
4           for thirteen years without heating or air  
5           conditioning. If Ezra Pound can do thirteen,  
6           I can do twenty with heating and air  
7           conditioning.

8           So, anyway, I get moved over to Goulman  
9           (phonetic), then I'm getting more of my  
10          papers. I immediately start causing legal  
11          problems and I'm going to undermine the basis  
12          of doing that. So they decide -- they decide  
13          they wanted to get rid of me at the hospital.  
14          So finally they decide to make the doping  
15          voluntary and there is no reason to keep me at  
16          Fulton.

17          And I'm pretending, you know, based on  
18          Indiana versus Edwards that I will hire a  
19          lawyer. And I get down there and I'm no  
20          longer in the nuthouse and what do you mean  
21          hire a lawyer? And so then another three  
22          months because now I have to be allowed a law  
23          library and by this time I'm instigating a --  
24          you know, pretty well all the other accused  
25          sex offenders, and there was quite a few of

1           them, some guilty, probably some not, and  
2           pretty well all of a sudden they decide that  
3           they're going to allow a \$10,000 cash bond.  
4           And it goes there and on the day of the  
5           preliminary, finally, after three-and-a-half  
6           years, why, hey, my retarded grandson isn't  
7           going to testify against me so they dismissed  
8           the case. And Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo has known  
9           that. He's known I'm not a convicted sex  
10          offender and all that. He likes insisting I  
11          am, but, then again, that's him.

12                 Anyway, moving on, I renewed that, you  
13          know, the church -- I see all these idiots  
14          paying what, \$14,000 for a doctor of divinity  
15          degree. As I look at it, I know more than  
16          anybody else about dual seedline Christian  
17          Identity and so I'm a pastor. And I made  
18          myself an archbishop too, which is why I -- I  
19          ordained my friend, who also had a half beard,  
20          who killed his daddy with a claw hammer  
21          because his daddy pulled a gun on him. I'm  
22          trying to get him out because he should have  
23          been out because --

24                         THE COURT:         Mr. Lindstedt, that's  
25          of no concern to us.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right. All  
2 right. Well, moving on, what happens is I use  
3 that Aryan Nations church pretty well as a  
4 means of political -- you know, political  
5 warfare. In the case that particular church  
6 doesn't have any money other than what I put  
7 in it, and I put in \$400 the other day. And,  
8 you know, this church -- this church has  
9 really nothing to do with Mr. Reo.

10 As far as Roxie, I've been with her for  
11 over 32 years and she's been through me in  
12 good and bad and she has a -- had a broken leg  
13 and now she has a broken hip and, you know,  
14 I'd like to get back to her so that -- you  
15 know, so that -- her daughter loves her, but  
16 her daughter lets her eat whatever she wants  
17 and doesn't take care of her sores and if they  
18 get too bad, they bleed out. And I sort of --  
19 I sort of think in this, you know, I mean, the  
20 woman is illiterate.

21 The reason -- the reason she was -- the  
22 reason she was sued by Mr. Reo is that when I  
23 was in the nuthouse, they wouldn't let me be  
24 the -- let me be the agent, because I was  
25 locked up in the nuthouse even though I wanted

1           to be the agent. So she is on the member of  
2           board of directors and here I get something  
3           from Mentor Municipal Court and so -- a whole  
4           bunch of stuff and I signed for myself. And  
5           they -- the post office won't enter her house  
6           to force her to sign. I mean, sort of like  
7           Mr. Reo's father, who I sued, he's evaded  
8           service, Mr. Reo's father has.

9           So essentially Roxie hasn't evaded  
10          anything. They won't let her -- they won't  
11          let her -- you know, they won't come into the  
12          house to make her sign. So, you know, since  
13          they won't make her sign, she can't refuse. I  
14          went ahead and, you know, asked the Secretary  
15          of State's office and she was the agent  
16          because I neglected to do it, so they said,  
17          "Well, part of the thing for being a  
18          corporation is that you've got to accept being  
19          sued."

20          So I loaded Roxie up in the car, went down  
21          to the post office, had her sign because she  
22          is the agent. And then next time I was in  
23          Jepson City I pretty well kicked her off as  
24          agent and now I'm the agent. And, well, you  
25          know, she's -- she's a member of the board of



1 directors, but she's not an agent anymore  
2 because I took care of that. So, you know,  
3 Mr. Reo, you know, pretty well has taken  
4 advantage.

5 But, in any case, you know, that's it for  
6 -- you know, as far as the Aryan Nations in  
7 Ohio -- in Ohio I am told -- I am told that,  
8 you know, they decide that the bar association  
9 needs the money so as a corporation, even  
10 though it's in Missouri, they insist that I  
11 cannot defend my corporation here in Ohio.

12 MR. REO: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. And, in any --  
15 you know, in any case, that's -- you know,  
16 that's, what's been going on with the other  
17 case. She -- you know, that's the 16  
18 whatever.

19 As far as the stalking thing, you know,  
20 Mr. Reo claimed that. As I said before, you  
21 know, I would have to come up here a dozen  
22 times as to discovery and I don't recognize  
23 the jurisdiction of this court.

24 MR. REO: Objection.

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. Finck, on  
2 the very first one, well, Mr. Roll said that,  
3 you know --

4 THE COURT: We're not going to  
5 talk about what Mr. Roll said.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Anyway, Mr. --  
7 Mr. Reo pretty well admitted -- he was a  
8 lawyer at the time. He admitted to drafting  
9 up for William Finck and William Finck's  
10 girlfriend, Melissa Epperson --

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mrs. Epperson, his  
14 girl -- Finck's -- well, Finck found her. I  
15 think there's still a Mr. Epperson running  
16 around in Bristol, Tennessee. So, any case,  
17 I'm suing Mr. Finck and William Shawn DeClue.  
18 But, you know, Mr. Reo drafted up a very good  
19 motion. I agree that really when it comes  
20 down to it, neither Mr. Finck, even though he  
21 called me a convicted child molester as of  
22 three weeks ago, nor William Shawn DeClueless,  
23 or DeClue, what happens, he was an idiot and,  
24 oh, he went ahead and said he only had one  
25 nut, it was infected with mumps.

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: In any case, I --  
4 anyway, he claimed, you know, because I don't  
5 know what it is, but whenever agents  
6 provocateur like Traitor Glenn Miller who  
7 gunned down, you know, three mongrals five  
8 years ago and --

9 MR. REO: Objection.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, not  
11 important.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Anyway, they  
13 act like they're all scared of me and I guess  
14 I come off as being a psycho. But, anyway,  
15 you know, he claimed that I fed him spaghetti,  
16 you know, laced with my asparagus fertilizer  
17 urine and I told him --

18 MR. REO: Objection.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Never mind.

21 THE COURT: We're way off course  
22 here.

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Way off course.

24 Pretty well the Aryan Nations is, you know, it  
25 keeps -- it keeps -- it keeps anyway the --

1           you know, I went ahead and -- I went ahead and  
2           suggested -- it's a means of playing politics.  
3           I suggested that the current head of the  
4           Church of Jesus Christ, Christian/Aryan  
5           Nations of Ohio, that he go ahead and set the  
6           thing up and pretty well Ohio has even laxer  
7           standards than Missouri does and, you know, --

8                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, you  
9           are going far afield. That's not relevant to  
10          this case.

11                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Far afield. It's  
12          just simply a corporation which gives me  
13          latitude to do all sorts of things and, well,  
14          I think there's a minimum of \$5 in the bank  
15          account. It hasn't got that low, but, you  
16          know, it got down to -- it's just something I  
17          put money in. I don't put -- you know, pretty  
18          well -- pretty well my position is I don't  
19          accept money for religious services.

20                   MR. REO:            Objection.

21                   THE COURT:        Overruled.

22                   MR. LINDSTEDT: So -- okay, so it's  
23          -- it's not something Mr. Reo is going to get  
24          much out of if he -- if he wins -- if he wins  
25          the case and so I think -- I think I've spent

1                   enough time. I was wondering if we could take  
2                   a break and then we could go ahead and start  
3                   with my testimony after the break.

4                   THE COURT:        This is your  
5                   testimony.

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I thought this  
7                   was my narrative or whatever.

8                   THE COURT:        Well, that's what  
9                   your testimony is.

10                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

11                  THE COURT:        Nobody -- you can't  
12                  ask yourself questions, so I gave you the  
13                  opportunity.

14                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. All right.  
15                  Thank you.

16                  THE COURT:        All right. Well, now  
17                  you're subject to cross-examination by Mr.  
18                  Reo.

19                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Why not.

20

21                  CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MARTIN LINDSTEDT

22                  BY MR. REO:

23                  Q. How are you doing today, sir? Do you feel okay  
24                  emotionally, mentally? Your memory is well?

25                  A. I'd say I'm in better shape than you are in that

1           regard. Why?

2           Q. So your memory is functioning fine then? Your  
3           memory --

4           A. I can -- I can remem -- I can remember when -- I can  
5           remember when you --

6           Q. Please answer "yes" or "no." Is your memory --

7           A. I'd say my memory is pretty good.

8           Q. All right. So yesterday -- well, let's start with  
9           today, actually. Today you mischaracterized my  
10          testimony from testimony and you claimed that I  
11          stated I never knew William Finck where yesterday I  
12          said that I was acquainted with him, that we had  
13          done a little bit of work together on some history  
14          broadcasts, but when I came to learn that he had  
15          murdered a man and he had misrepresented to me why  
16          he had been in prison, that I ended my acquaintance  
17          with him.

18          A. That --

19                           THE COURT:        Wait for a question.

20                           MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, sorry.

21          Q. Did you hear that testimony yesterday or did you  
22          not?

23          A. No, I -- I -- I don't remember --

24          Q. Okay. Thank you. Thank you.

25          A. I don't remember hearing that. I don't remember

1 hearing that.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Let him  
3 answer. Okay. Once again, like yesterday,  
4 one at a time. The court reporter cannot take  
5 down two people going at the same time.

6 Q. All right. Today you said that you did not learn my  
7 name or my picture until 2013 and that you did not  
8 have my address at that time?

9 A. 29 October 2010.

10 Q. Well, your testimony today you said 2013 was when --

11 A. No, no, it was -- it was two days before Halloween  
12 of 2010.

13 Q. Well, earlier, about an hour ago you said it was  
14 2013. It's on the record so if you don't --

15 A. If you want --

16 Q. If you don't recall saying that, then just say you  
17 don't recall saying that.

18 A. I don't know. I don't know if I'm capable of  
19 Reo-esk, you know, levels of forgetfulness.

20 Q. So you don't recall saying that about an hour ago?

21 A. I have no idea what I don't recall that I don't  
22 recall.

23 Q. All right. So you're saying two days before  
24 Halloween 2010 was when you first discovered my  
25 name. I would like to plug my computer in and show

1           you an exhibit from your website. It was previously  
2           shown.

3           A. Hey, was that part of the discovery?

4           Q. It's been made available to you. You have it.  
5           You've seen it before.

6           A. When was this? I mean, the only time I really got  
7           discovery was two years ago.

8           Q. It's being offered for --

9                           THE COURT:        One at a time.

10          A. The only time I remember getting exhibits was when  
11          you and Brett Klimkowsky were --

12                         THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, there  
13          is not a question before you --

14                         MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

15                         THE CORUT:        -- so you don't talk  
16          unless you're asked a question.

17                         MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. What's the  
18          question?

19                         MR. REO:         Your Honor, may I  
20          show an exhibit showing that the statement he  
21          made regarding when he first published my name  
22          and photograph, that it actually occurred  
23          substantially earlier than what he said? I  
24          would like to show an exhibit from his  
25          website.



1 THE COURT: Substantially earlier  
2 then Halloween 2010?

3 MR. REO: Yes, it occurred in  
4 the spring/summer of 2010 instead of the  
5 autumn/fall.

6 THE COURT: Sure.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: I don't remember  
8 seeing that.

9 THE COURT: There is no question.

10 MR. REO: Where do I plug this  
11 guy in at?

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, I don't know,  
13 maybe we'll get something out of this, showing  
14 more stuff here. I wish I was able to go  
15 ahead and show stuff.

16 Q. What's the -- well, first of all, do you recognize  
17 this post?

18 A. Oh, yeah, that was --

19 Q. So you recognize it? That's a "yes"?

20 A. That post, you --

21 Q. Do you recognize it?

22 THE COURT: That's a "yes" or  
23 "no" question.

24 A. All right. That's the wrong date then.

25 Q. This is the wrong date, the date right here?

- 1 A. On March 1st --
- 2 Q. 6/9/2010 --
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 Q. -- that's the date this post was made on your  
5 website; correct?
- 6 A. Yeah. And right here it says 1st March 2011.
- 7 Q. So you have a post --
- 8 A. Okay. I know why -- I know why -- I know why it  
9 says, "admin." What -- what happens is that --
- 10 Q. I haven't asked a question.
- 11 A. Okay. I was going to explain why.
- 12 Q. So it would appear then that you alter dates and  
13 times on your website so that it looks like you made  
14 a post in 2010, but you're referencing something  
15 that happened in an article in 2011.
- 16 A. In 2011.
- 17 Q. So you have a history then, it seems, of fabricating  
18 dates, fabricating times, fabricating stories; is  
19 that fair to say?
- 20 A. Oh, I would say it's unfair.
- 21 Q. It's unfair?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Did anybody other than you have control of this  
24 accident, "admin"?
- 25 A. No, "admin" is just me.

1 Q. "Admin" is just you, so no one else could have made  
2 this post occur on this date and on this time?

3 A. Maybe we can go up here and we can see what else I  
4 said.

5 Q. We're looking right here where I'm pointing.

6 A. I know, we're looking -- we're looking where you  
7 want me to look at.

8 Q. We're looking at what I called your attention to.

9 A. All right.

10 Q. So nobody other than you has access to the admin  
11 account?

12 A. Okay.

13 Q. So we established that.

14 A. All right. I'm just looking at --

15 Q. Do you recognize this post?

16 A. Oh, yeah, that one -- that one was the Bryan Reo  
17 public Facebook page. This is 10/13.

18 Q. Right. Now, you previously said two days before  
19 Halloween, but this was actually --

20 A. That's true. That was when --

21 Q. All right. So --

22 THE COURT: Wait for a question.

23 Q. So you previously said two days before Halloween; is  
24 that correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. All right. Thank you.

2 And then this post here, what's the date on  
3 this post?

4 A. I have no idea.

5 Q. It says --

6 A. 7/17/2011.

7 Q. 7/17/2011 and it states here my name, street address  
8 redacted, city, state redacted, and then an email  
9 address.

10 A. Okay.

11 Q. Do you recognize the substance of this post and the  
12 email from CrisisHost?

13 A. Yeah, you found -- you found the underlying --

14 Q. "Yes" or "no"?

15 A. You found the underlying host. You found the  
16 underlying host.

17 THE COURT: Do you recognize the  
18 content?

19 A. Well, this is content of, you know, Bryan Reo trying  
20 to go ahead and take -- you know, take down the web  
21 page.

22 Q. So you are saying that I am CrisisHost?

23 A. No, no, you went ahead -- you went ahead --

24 Q. That was a "yes" or "no" question.

25 A. Well, I can't answer it "yes" or "no."

1 Q. Then just say --

2 THE COURT: Go ahead and answer.

3 A. Okay. What -- during the -- during the time of  
4 first time he went ahead and took the web page, I  
5 got on CrisisHost and I'm not sure when. I think in  
6 June or July you were already bellyaching when I  
7 hadn't posted anything, you found the underlying --  
8 you found the underlying -- what happens is the  
9 internet has layers a topic. You found the  
10 underlying layer and you went ahead and made a bogus  
11 DMCA complaint.

12 Q. All right. So CrisisHost terminated the service to  
13 your website; is that correct?

14 A. Three -- three years later they did.

15 Q. So CrisisHost then terminated --

16 A. Three years -- no, what I --

17 THE COURT: Did they terminate --  
18 did they terminate or did they not terminate?

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Can't really answer  
20 it "yes" or "no."

21 THE COURT: I think that's one  
22 that you can definitely answer "yes" or "no."

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Not -- what happens  
24 is CrisisHost was initially --

25 THE COURT: Did they terminate

1                   you or did they not?

2                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Under -- under --

3                   THE COURT:        "Yes"?

4                   MR. LINDSTEDT: It was -- it was an  
5                   agreement --

6                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt, they  
7                   either terminated you or they didn't.

8                   MR. LINDSTEDT: They meant to  
9                   terminate me -- they meant to terminate me  
10                  what, I think six or eight months earlier. I  
11                  stayed on. Mr. Reo had not been successful in  
12                  his complaints. He went ahead and found out  
13                  that I was still on CrisisHost in Apr -- you  
14                  know, early April of 2014, so then I migrated  
15                  over to DreamHost. So I had an agreement with  
16                  -- you know, CrisisHost still exists as a free  
17                  speech hoster, but what they -- you know, what  
18                  they -- I said, you know, "Crisis -- you know,  
19                  you can't be -- you can't be taking down under  
20                  DMCA because it's not a DMCA" -- well, that  
21                  one was a DMCA.

22                  THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt --

23                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I'm --

24                  THE COURT:        -- I'm at the end of  
25                  my patience.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

2 THE COURT: Did you leave on your  
3 own or did they terminate you?

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Both.

5 THE COURT: That's impossible,  
6 but go ahead and ask your next question.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: It is possible. It  
8 is possible.

9 THE COURT: I don't want to hear  
10 your explanation.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

12 Q. Did you also at one time have service provided by  
13 1&1, HostGator and several other servers?

14 A. As -- as did William Finck, yes. You went ahead and  
15 took down a whole bunch of web pages.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, once  
17 again, that was clearly a "yes" or "no"  
18 question.

19 A. I had, I had, and yes, I was kicked off -- I was  
20 kicked off it --

21 THE COURT: Okay. You're done.

22 "Yes."

23 A. -- yes.

24 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that from approximately  
25 2009 or 2010 to maybe 2012 that at least six, if not

1           eight of your websites or various iterations thereof  
2           were shut down as a result of terms of service  
3           complaints and disputes you had with the hosters?

4           A. Well, I'm not sure if I would say unfair. You --  
5           you went ahead and --

6           Q. I just asked you if it was fair.

7           A. You went ahead and, like I said before, in Novem --  
8           in November you went on a terror and took down  
9           about, I'd say -- well, the very first Pastor  
10          Lindstedt you took down in March of 2010 before I  
11          knew your real name. I would say you took down nine  
12          or ten. You went ahead and took down NIMBusters  
13          four times, so they're mad at you.

14          Q. Are you saying that I am 1&1, I am HostGator?

15          A. No. What you do --

16          Q. That's a "yes" or "no" question. Please answer it.

17          A. No, it's not.

18                           THE COURT:        Yes, it is. Enough.

19                           Wait for the next question.

20                           MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. What's the  
21                           question.

22          Q. Do you actually have any factual evidence that I've  
23          done something such as hack one of your website,  
24          crash a server, or do something where I had control  
25          over crashing the code or otherwise causing your



1 website to come down unlawfully?

2 A. Firstamendment.net said that you used, that you used  
3 sophisticated tools and this is on -- it's on --

4 THE COURT: You're not going to  
5 talk about what someone else told you.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Well --

7 THE COURT: Do you have firsthand  
8 knowledge that Mr. Reo did any of those things  
9 he asked you?

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. Reo didn't  
11 inform me of -- of what he was going to do, he  
12 just did it.

13 MR. REO: Move to strike that.

14 THE COURT: Overruled.

15 Q. So your websites were ultimately brought down one by  
16 one --

17 A. By you.

18 Q. -- by a number of ISPs, hosters, and servers; is  
19 that correct?

20 A. They were brought down by you making complaints, you  
21 know, and pretty well kicking -- you know, kicking  
22 them -- going ahead and one guy said it was a -- it  
23 was a question of saving --

24 THE COURT: We're not talking  
25 about what some guy told you.

1           A.   Okay.  Well, it would be on -- it would be on -- it  
2                would be on the forum, of course.  It was caused --  
3                it was caused by you complaining and taking them  
4                down.

5           Q.   When you signed up for those website hosting  
6                services, did you read the terms of service on any  
7                of them?

8           A.   The terms of service is like with the Youtube --  
9                with the Youtube holo --

10                   THE COURT:        Did you read them or  
11                   did you not?

12                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  They're called  
13                   adhesion contracts.  In any case, I bought  
14                   \$2.99 hosting and I signed what was called an  
15                   adhesion contract.  They are working --

16                   THE COURT:        Did you read it or  
17                   did you not?

18                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  I would say I didn't.  
19                   I didn't bother.

20                   THE COURT:        And see how easy that  
21                   is to give the answer now?

22                   MR. LINDSTEDT:  To give the answer  
23                   you lawyers want me to give, yes.

24                   THE COURT:        You are going to  
25                   answer the questions that are asked of you.

1 Q. You would agree that I made multiple terms of  
2 service complaints to your hosters over a several  
3 year period? Would you not agree with that or would  
4 you?

5 A. No. Well, I'd say you made it over a several year  
6 period. The thing about it is is that CrisisHost  
7 didn't listen to it for a while. I said, you know,  
8 every time -- every time you made a DMCA complaint,  
9 I agreed to take down whatever the offender. Like  
10 when you were complaining about, oh, you and your --  
11 you -- you know, you and ROTC, I went ahead and  
12 changed a female orangutans pissing into her mouth  
13 and said that was you. But yeah, I went ahead and  
14 did indeed, upon your complaint, take it down.

15 Then there was a period of when you would made  
16 complaint after complaint for libel and defamation  
17 and, you know, you found -- Joshua Singer found out  
18 that I was on there longer. He went ahead and shut  
19 it down. I says -- "Bryan Reo says you have to be  
20 gone in two weeks."

21 I said, "I'll be gone in three days and I'll  
22 move on and you tell him you kicked me off."

23 But yeah, pretty well I said, "You didn't have  
24 to do it."

25 He said, "Well, I'm scared the little bastard

1 will give a subpoena on me."

2 So, you know, he didn't have to do it, but he  
3 decided he better do it rather than face your -- you  
4 know, face your litigation. CrisisHost still  
5 exists, but not for me because you're around.

6 Q. All right. So we agree you never read the terms of  
7 service on any of the website servers?

8 A. I don't know about never. It didn't really matter.  
9 They're called adhesion contracts. There is word to  
10 -- word to get around so that the internet will be  
11 unensorable like it used to be.

12 Q. Are you aware that you were in violation of the  
13 terms of service for every one of your websites?

14 A. According to you. You would -- here you are, you  
15 know, great white supremacist, and you're going  
16 ahead and talking about how my sites were racist,  
17 and yes, they are.

18 Q. Not according to me --

19 A. At the same time -- at the same time -- at the same  
20 time you were -- at the same time you were big  
21 buddies with Finck and --

22 MR. REO: Your Honor, he's  
23 answered the question.

24 THE COURT: You have.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

1 Q. I wouldn't say according to me. I would say  
2 according to the various servers that investigated  
3 and then terminated the service. Would you --

4 A. I would -- I would say really according to you. I  
5 don't think they would have really cared at all  
6 anymore. You know, I'm accusing you -- I'm accusing  
7 you, in fact, of tortious interference of service.

8 MR. REO: I haven't finished my  
9 question. One, there wasn't a question. I  
10 didn't finish.

11 THE COURT: Go ahead and ask a  
12 question.

13 Q. Isn't it correct that your websites were terminated  
14 as a result of investigations made by the hosters  
15 into whether or not you were in violation of the  
16 terms of service of the agreement between you and  
17 your hosters and that I never procured or caused the  
18 termination of any of your websites?

19 A. You went ahead and looked here. You're the one  
20 making all the complaints here. No. What happens  
21 is very first one in oneandone.com, you went ahead  
22 and got a bogus DMCA complaint. I filed a  
23 counternotice and they went ahead and says, "Well,  
24 it doesn't matter. We're not interested in your  
25 service." A year and a half later your big friend,

1 William Finck, got kicked off -- got kicked off for  
2 terms of service violation. He has a lot more.  
3 Usually -- usually I try to go ahead and find a  
4 server which is run by William Finck so if you  
5 complain, Finck gets off here, and he has -- he has  
6 about thirty -- I try and go ahead and find out  
7 which server his good friend, William Finck, has, so  
8 whenever he snitches on me, somebody snitches on  
9 Finck and Finck gets kicked off too. So I would say  
10 that I read the terms of services as good as you  
11 nowadays.

12 Q. So do you have any evidence today that anyone other  
13 than the site hosters you used to contract with  
14 terminated your service?

15 A. Is this an invitation for me to be able to give  
16 evidence? I would be more -- I would be more -- I  
17 would be more than happy to give evidence if that is  
18 what you wish.

19 Q. It was a "yes" or "no" question.

20 A. I'm simply saying I would be more than happy to give  
21 evidence. Sure, I'll be -- I'll be willing to show  
22 evidence if you want.

23 Q. Could you identify the individuals who terminated  
24 your websites?

25 A. You.

1 Q. So, again, are you saying that I'm a managing  
2 director with 1&1, GoDaddy, CrisisHost, HostGator?

3 A. No, I'm saying you're a litigious mongrel who goes  
4 ahead and has nothing better to do than file  
5 complaint after complaint after complaint after  
6 complaint and, you know, go ahead and get enough of  
7 a complaint here that they'll decide to kick my ass  
8 off.

9 Q. You think I have nothing better to do; is that  
10 correct?

11 A. Obviously not, no.

12 Q. Previously you said the last time you were gainfully  
13 employed was about 20 to 25 years ago; is that  
14 correct?

15 A. I didn't know that the -- I didn't know that  
16 HostMonster determined that. It was because you --

17 THE COURT: Did you testify to  
18 that or did you not?

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes, I testified to  
20 that. I --

21 Q. And you're well aware that I'm an attorney licensed  
22 to practice law in the State of Ohio; correct?

23 A. I'm trying to end that.

24 Q. Thank you for your answer. I will take that as a  
25 "yes."

1                   You're well aware that I'm married and I have  
2                   a household and a family; correct?

3                   THE COURT:           "Yes" or "no"?

4           A.   I -- I -- go ahead -- I don't know.  I went ahead  
5                   and got -- I'm not sure.  I'm not sure.

6           Q.   You don't know.  All right.  Thank you.  I'll take  
7                   that as your answer then.

8           A.   What happens is that I have a question.  I went  
9                   ahead and got your divorce papers.  Did you go ahead  
10                  and divorce her afterall?

11                  THE COURT:           Mr. Lindstedt, you're  
12                  not asking questions anymore.

13                  MR. LINDSTEDT:  Okay.

14                  THE CORUT:           Did you know the  
15                  things that he mentioned about being married  
16                  and having a family; "yes" or "no"?

17           A.   I don't know the true nature of the relationship.  I  
18                   have my doubts.  We call it a beard where we come  
19                   from.  And I don't know if you're still married to  
20                   her or not.  I think you --

21                  THE COURT:           Mr. Lindstedt,  
22                  enough.

23                  MR. LINDSTEDT:  Okay.

24           Q.   So the answer to the question then is yes, you're  
25                   aware that I'm -- or you were aware at a time?



1           A. I'm not quiet sure. Mrs. Kelly, the clerk, said she  
2           couldn't give legal advice as to whether you were  
3           still married or not.

4           Q. All right. But you are aware that I'm a lawyer  
5           license to practice law in Ohio. We've established  
6           that.

7           A. Yes, we have; haven't we?

8           Q. So I am gainfully employed; "yes" or "no"?

9           A. No. I wouldn't say that.

10          Q. All right. Well, that's your characterization of it  
11          then; correct?

12          A. Okay, I guess that's my characterization of it.

13          Q. All right. Because, frankly, there could -- I can  
14          think of other places I would rather be than doing  
15          this right now.

16                                    THE COURT:        No grandstanding.

17                                    Ask a question.

18          A. As long as we're both having fun.

19          Q. This is -- do you think this is a game, sir?

20          A. Yeah.

21          Q. You think this is a game?

22          A. Oh, yes. I think you've already lost.

23          Q. You said that you had a psychotic diagnosis and a  
24          psychotic disorder. What was the extent, the  
25          nature, and the scope of your diagnosis?

1           A. It was something made up in order to put me into a  
2           nuthouse because I wouldn't accept a public  
3           pretender (sic).

4                   THE COURT:        Do you know what the  
5           diagnosis was?

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I -- what happens  
7           is that is you're supposed --

8                   THE COURT:        Do you know what the  
9           diagnosis was or do you not know?

10                  MR. LINDSTEDT: I didn't know at the  
11           time. I was given -- I was given diagnosis a  
12           year later, which is illegal, but --

13                  THE COURT:        And what was the  
14           diagnosis?

15                  MR. LINDSTEDT: What happens on the  
16           DSMV is that if a psychiatrist says you're  
17           nuts, you're nuts. I -- I don't agree that,  
18           you know --

19                  THE COURT:        Do you know what the  
20           specific diagnosis was?

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Psychotic disorder  
22           not otherwise specified. Is it used --

23                  THE COURT:        Okay. Thank you.

24           Q. Do you believe that you understand right from wrong?

25           A. Well, I believe I do, yes.

1 Q. All right. So you believe you understand right from  
2 wrong. Do you consider yourself sane, competent,  
3 and coherent?

4 A. Like I said, at the nuthouse especially to the  
5 psychiatrists, I said, "I'm sane and the rest of you  
6 are, you know, well, nuts here."

7 Q. All right. You've stated that your objective in  
8 this is to obtain my disbarment, ruin me, destroy my  
9 family and make me unemployable. Is that a fair  
10 summary of your objective?

11 A. I'm seeking your disbarment. I'm seeking your  
12 disbarment, of course.

13 Q. Is that a fair assessment?

14 A. No, I -- you are --

15 THE COURT: He's answering your  
16 question.

17 A. You are easily the most wicked creature I have ever  
18 seen.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Huh?

21 MR. REO: Move to strike.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 A. I'm trying -- I'm definitely trying to get your  
24 disbarment. I sort of think -- I sort of think,  
25 just like Mr. Reppert ended up doing is that I don't

1 believe you're going to go ahead and fight it out  
2 with the ATF, I think you're going to go ahead and  
3 be gunning -- you know, gunning down children at the  
4 elementary school.

5 MR. REO: Move to strike.

6 THE COURT: The jury will  
7 disregard the entirety of Mr. Lindstedt's  
8 answer.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

10 Q. Is it fair to say that over the years every time a  
11 terms of service complaint was made and one of your  
12 websites was taken down, quickly thereafter you  
13 would switch servers and open up a new website  
14 hosting additional content about me?

15 A. Yeah. Whenever you took down my web page, I would  
16 get another one and then I went and got one, which  
17 you didn't know about, and I took a five-month  
18 vacation from the internet.

19 Q. In your own assessment, what is your general  
20 reputation in Southwestern Missouri?

21 A. Oh, they think I'm a domestic terrorist.

22 Q. All right. Are you aware of my reputation in  
23 Northeastern Ohio?

24 A. Yes. Yes. Yes.

25 Q. So you're aware then that I volunteered at an animal

1           shelter the summer before I started law school;  
2           "yes" or "no"?

3           A. No, I don't know about that. I mean -- I mean,  
4           maybe you had some time from doing terms of service  
5           violations.

6                           THE COURT:        Your answer is "No."

7           Q. Are you aware that I'm a 32nd Degree Freemason and  
8           active in masonic organizations?

9           A. It wouldn't -- wouldn't surprise me in the least.

10          Q. So you're not aware then?

11          A. I'm not too fond of Freemasons.

12          Q. So are you aware of the pro bono legal work that  
13          I've done prior to becoming an attorney through the  
14          civil litigation clinic at my law school?

15                           THE COURT:        "Yes" or "no"?

16          A. No. If I'd have -- if I'd have --

17                           THE COURT:        "No"?

18          A. No, I'm not aware of that, no.

19          Q. Are you aware of the work I've done for indigent  
20          consumer plaintiffs in consumer business disputes  
21          throughout Cuyahoga, Lake, and Ashtabula County?

22          A. No, I'm not aware of that.

23          Q. You seem to follow a lot of --

24          A. You --

25          Q. Thank you for your answer. You seem to follow a lot

1 of the cases that I'm either involved in as an  
2 attorney or a party.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, you took  
4 great lengths to cut him off on asking  
5 questions about any cases that you filed and  
6 now you want to go there?

7 MR. REO: I just want to go to  
8 cases where I was counsel of record.

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: If he wants to go  
10 there --

11 THE COURT: No.

12 MR. REO: Okay.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: I want to go there.

14 THE COURT: I know you do.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: I know I do too.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, I would  
17 suggest that you really think through your  
18 questions before you ask them.

19 MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor.

20 Q. In the early 2000s did you have your phone and  
21 internet service terminated as a result of cyber  
22 defamation and cyber stalking by the Granby --

23 THE COURT: Not relevant. Next  
24 question.

25 MR. REO: It shows his -- side

1 bar, Your Honor?

2 THE COURT: No.

3 Q. So a few moments ago you said you consider this a  
4 game and you're enjoying this.

5 A. Might as -- might as well have fun along the ride of  
6 life.

7 Q. You're doing this to get attention then, basically?

8 A. No.

9 Q. No? All right. So then you're doing this to cause,  
10 as you said yesterday, potential clients of mine to  
11 not hire me and current clients to terminate their  
12 professional relationship --

13 A. No.

14 Q. -- with me?

15 THE COURT: I don't recall that  
16 testimony at all, Mr. Reo. Ask your next  
17 question.

18 MR. REO: Respectfully, Your  
19 Honor, side bar?

20 THE COURT: I recall you giving  
21 that testimony. Ask your next question.

22 Q. Now, you have said you seek my disbarment actively,  
23 along with several other attorneys that I've worked  
24 with. That is correct?

25 A. Kyle Bristow and Brett A. Klimkowsky, yes, and the

1 rest of the people on the Foundation for the  
2 Marketplace of Ideas, AKA Zagbot Poverty Flaw  
3 Center. It's a takeoff of the Southern Poverty Law  
4 Center. That's what they wanted to make of it.

5 Q. Other than Mr. Bristow and Mr. Klimkowsky who I've  
6 admitted and agreed I know and I've worked with  
7 professionally, do you have any factual basis to  
8 believe that I know any of the other individuals you  
9 mentioned yesterday from that board of directors?

10 A. So I'm a mind reader now?

11 Q. I'm asking you if you have a factual basis, if you  
12 have firsthand knowledge that I know these people.

13 A. I assume, you know, these people that you have are  
14 the -- are various lawyers who are great in  
15 so-called white supremacy and who --

16 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, I take  
17 it from your answer you have no firsthand  
18 knowledge. It is your assumption that he  
19 knows them; correct? "Yes" or "no"?

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: I know -- I know the  
21 names of the other people on the -- on that.

22 THE COURT: Do you have any  
23 knowledge that he knows them or do you just  
24 assume he does?

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: I assume that he



1                   wants to be associated with them.

2                   THE COURT:       Very good.

3       Q.   Do you have any firsthand knowledge that I was aware  
4           of the political, religious, or philosophical  
5           beliefs of the individuals in that organization  
6           other than Klimkowsky and Bristow?

7       A.   I think you were both like it said in the -- like it  
8           says in the foundational, you know, establishment is  
9           that you were trying to set up -- you're trying to  
10          set up a center for lawfare against people who are  
11          enemies of white supremacy.  That's pretty -- I'll  
12          acknowledge if you want -- if you want, we can go  
13          ahead and bring up the board of directors --

14                THE COURT:       Mr. Lindstedt, I  
15                think you've answered the question.

16                MR. LINDSTEDT:  Okay.

17       Q.   Are you aware that I was a member of the American  
18           Civil Liberties Union doing free speech First  
19           Amendment consulting and activism?

20       A.   I never -- I never mistook you for a free speech  
21           advocate, no.

22       Q.   So you were not aware that I was involved in the  
23           ACLU.

24       A.   If --

25                THE COURT:       Were you aware or

1                   were you not aware?

2           A. No, I never -- I never took him for a free speech  
3           person, not with taking down all them web pages.

4                   THE COURT:        So despite all those  
5                   words that just came out of your mouth, your  
6                   answer is "No"?

7           A. My answer is no, I would have never took him for a  
8           free speech advocate.

9           Q. You've previously admitted to making thousands of  
10           posts on your website, the one that we've been  
11           watching over the last two days in the names of  
12           other people; is that correct?

13          A. Say again?

14          Q. You've previously admitted to making hundreds, if  
15           not several thousand posts under the names of other  
16           people on the website that we've been discussing  
17           throughout this litigation, your particular forum?

18          A. I do post public, you know, public documents that  
19           you have drafted in your name, yes.

20          Q. Is my Social Security number a public document?

21          A. Your Social Security number was not on the 2011  
22           Lexis Nexis page like you keep making out. If you  
23           go ahead and look at it, this is a public record and  
24           they truncated large portions of your Social  
25           Security number. If I wanted to, I could get your

1           2019 Lexis Nexis, but I told Russ Walker to pretend  
2           that he got it over Duke instead of through John  
3           Britton when John Britton's lawyer was mad when you  
4           interfered with his lawsuit.

5                       MR. REO:           All right. I'm going  
6                       to ask that the screen be turned back on so I  
7                       can show the front page of the Lexis Nexis  
8                       report in question.

9                       THE COURT:        Sure. How much  
10                      longer are you going to be, Mr. Reo?

11                     MR. REO:           Less than half an  
12                     hour, Your Honor, and I believe we're close to  
13                     wrapping up.

14                     THE COURT:        I'm going to  
15                     recommend you not ask questions that you got  
16                     responses to yesterday just to reiterate that  
17                     you got the responses yesterday.

18           Q.   So this is the document in question. Right there  
19           are five numbers. They appear to be the first five  
20           numbers of my Social Security number; do they not?

21           A.   That's what Lexis Nexis, a public -- a public  
22           document forum put up, yes.

23           Q.   This is a public document? It says right here that  
24           it's copyrighted, all rights reserved for  
25           informational purposes only and that it was only

1 disclosed when somebody made a request that this was  
2 for litigation, process of service, or debt  
3 collection or enforcement of a judgement. This is  
4 not a document that is released and disseminated,  
5 because this is not publically available  
6 information.

7 A. I have said several times that Stuart McCarthy got  
8 annoyed that you had interfered with John Britton's  
9 case, so he gave it to John Britton and he gave it  
10 to Russell Walker and Russell Walker went ahead and  
11 published it in March 2011. And you admitted that  
12 in your police report. You decided once you found  
13 out I had some money to go ahead and go after me in  
14 2014 or '15. So initially it was Russ Walker who  
15 released that, not me.

16 Q. Well, I'm not asking about Russ Walker today; am I?

17 A. I know you're not, because you want to go ahead and  
18 blame me for what Russ Walker did.

19 Q. So you think that because Russ Walker released it  
20 first that your subsequent release and dissemination  
21 somehow is not relevant?

22 A. I think it's understood -- I think it's understood  
23 that you are the one who went ahead. I did not -- I  
24 did not, quote, "disseminate" it. Russ Walker sent  
25 it to you on an email. Some character named William

1           B might have found it. You went ahead and took it  
2           down. I did not -- I did not -- I did not -- I did  
3           not put that thing up until you started suing me in  
4           federal court. And then, you know, since you put it  
5           up as an exhibit, I decided to go ahead and put it  
6           up because -- to see what you were suing me over.

7           Q. Let's explore that for a moment. You said you did  
8           not put it up until litigation commenced in federal  
9           court. So you're admitting that you did disseminate  
10          that document?

11          A. I'm admitting that you disseminated that document.  
12          I'm just simply putting it up as a public record  
13          which anybody can see on Pacer. In fact, they can  
14          see it on Pacer to this day if they have ten cents  
15          per copy. All I'm doing -- all I'm doing is saving  
16          then ten cents per copy.

17          Q. Did you disseminate that document; "yes" or "no"?

18          A. What do you mean "disseminate"?

19          Q. Did you publish it anywhere where a third party  
20          could view it or access it?

21          A. So did Pacer.

22          Q. Do you have any evidence to that effect?

23          A. Why don't you go ahead and pay -- go ahead and look  
24          up your original document on Pacer to -- to the  
25          Northern District of Ohio. You go ahead and look

1 up, "Reo versus Lindstedt," the very first -- you  
2 know, the very first issue is the Northern District  
3 of Ohio. What happens if you pay ten cents per  
4 page, you can do it. You don't have to pay ten  
5 cents per page because I went ahead and published  
6 what you sent me that you're suing me over.

7 Q. You're pretty well versed in the law; is that fair  
8 to say? You've been studying in the law library?

9 A. I would say -- I would say I probably know far more  
10 law than you do, Bryan Reo.

11 Q. All right. Are you aware then that dissemination of  
12 legal pleadings loses judicial privilege as to  
13 defamation claims and that if you take a legal  
14 pleading containing defamatory content --

15 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, the jury  
16 will be instructed by the court as to the law.

17 MR. REO: Sorry, Your Honor.  
18 Withdrawn.

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 Q. Suffice to say that you believe you have a very  
21 thorough understanding of the law?

22 A. Suffice to say that is not what the law says.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt --

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

25 THE COURT: -- just answer his

1 question.

2 A. Suffice to say that's not what -- that's not what  
3 the law says.

4 Q. You understand right from wrong and you have a  
5 fairly thorough understanding of the law and you are  
6 not insane; can we agree to all of that?

7 A. I say that I'm not insane. I have -- I mean, this  
8 case was lost when you filed it and let me see what  
9 was that, I know right and wrong? Yes, I would say  
10 I know right from wrong far better than you do.

11 Q. Do you believe it's wrong to publicly disseminate  
12 that someone has syphilis when you have no knowledge  
13 whether or not they have it?

14 A. I've looked at it and it does not say what you say  
15 I have. You go ahead and look at the forum, if you  
16 go ahead and look at the forum, if you go ahead and  
17 look at the page, which you've been yapping about, I  
18 don't say anything about syphilis.

19 Q. You referece a vernereal disease common in sheep.  
20 Colloquially what is the venereal disease commonly  
21 found in sheep understood to mean in our society?

22 A. Among farmers it usually means foot and mouth  
23 disease.

24 Q. That's not a venereal disease.

25 A. I didn't say it was. You said a disease common

1           among sheep and usually it's foot and mouth or  
2           murrain.

3           Q. I specifically said a venereal disease commonly  
4           found in sheep.

5           A. I have no idea what -- I don't really care what you  
6           said. You're asking what I said on my web page, I  
7           think.

8           Q. I'm the one asking the questions, so you probably  
9           should care what I say.

10                           THE COURT:        Ask a question.

11           Q. Do you believe it's right or wrong to disseminate  
12           someone's social Security number on the World Wide  
13           Web?

14           A. You've already pointed out I did not disseminate  
15           your Social Security number on the World Wide Web.

16           Q. You've actually just said that shortly after the  
17           federal litigation was initiated that you did indeed  
18           disseminate it. That's your previous testimony --

19           A. I have --

20           Q. -- a few minutes ago.

21           A. You keep on putting words in my mouth.

22                           THE COURT:        Mr. Reo, the jury  
23           understands what the testimony is. They don't  
24           need to hear you give a recitation of what the  
25           testimony is. If you have questions, ask



1                   them.  If not, then move on.

2           Q.  Is it fair to say you despise me and loathe me?

3           A.  I would say we have a Genesis 3:15 enmity, yes.

4           Q.  So yes, you despise and loathe me?

5           A.  Actually, I sort of admire you because you are the  
6           most evil creature I've ever seen and you are very,  
7           very good at it.  But yeah, I do despise you at  
8           least.

9           Q.  I'm the most evil creature you've ever seen?

10          A.  Yes, even worse than Finck or Traitor Glenn Miller.  
11          Traitor Glenn Miller, the guy who --

12          Q.  She just wanted his name.  We don't need an  
13          explanation on his background.

14          A.  All right, Traitor Glenn Miller.

15          Q.  You're friends with an individual who murdered his  
16          father with a claw hammer.  You mentioned -- you  
17          eluded to that earlier?

18          A.  He didn't murder his father with a claw hammer.  His  
19          whole family is nutty as hell and his daddy wanted  
20          to commit suicide and he didn't have enough guts  
21          just to kill himself, so he pulled a gun on his son  
22          and he told them two men can keep a secret if one of  
23          them are dead and he waited for his son to run into  
24          -- into the garage and kill him with a claw hammer.  
25          And the crime -- the crime should mostly be what,

1           voluntary manslaughter, which is a ten-year term.  
2           He's been in jail for -- you know, he's been in jail  
3           for seventeen years and I'm trying to get him out  
4           via plea bargain, but they want to keep him until  
5           they kill him. And yes, I talk to him about every  
6           day. He's a real good friend of mine.

7           Q. But I'm the most evil person you know?

8           A. I would say you're far more evil than even his  
9           worthless daddy who went ahead and didn't have the  
10          guts to kill himself and made his son go ahead and  
11          do it for him, yeah.

12          Q. Now, you've previously characterized our dispute as  
13          a childish tit for tat game of I injure you, you  
14          injure me.

15          A. I don't know if I said that.

16          Q. Well, I didn't say you directly said that. I said  
17          you've characterized -- I've stated that you  
18          previously characterized our dispute that that's how  
19          it could be framed; is that correct?

20          A. You have a long history of putting words in other  
21          people's mouth. I don't know if I would go ahead  
22          and say that that has anything to do with whatever  
23          you say other people say.

24          Q. You previously characterized your website as being  
25          of no particular significance and not actually

1 causing me any tangible or material harm; is that  
2 correct?

3 A. I don't know. I don't think so.

4 Q. Well, you said you hope to get me disbarred, so --

5 A. Yes, I've said that.

6 Q. So you hope your website causes me personal,  
7 emotional, and professional problems; is that fair  
8 to say?

9 A. My website is not all about you. It is about other  
10 people, you know, in the bowel movement who are a  
11 bunch of scumbags.

12 Q. So is it fair to say that you would like to cause me  
13 personal, emotional, and professional problems and  
14 your website is a mechanism by which you pursue that  
15 goal?

16 A. No, I think that when I get you disbarred, they  
17 ought to lock you up in the nuthouse, because I  
18 think you are thoroughly dangerous based upon what  
19 you said on Stormfront and what you said, you know,  
20 during a three minute sixteen second episode on Buck  
21 McHugh, which, you know, we went ahead and discussed  
22 in there. And yes, I think you are -- I think you  
23 are extremely dangerous.

24 Q. Have you ever been diagnosed as a narcissistic  
25 sociopath?

1 THE COURT: You don't have to  
2 answer that. Let's move on, Mr. Reo.

3 MR. REO: I'm close to  
4 finishing, Your Honor.

5 Q. If I were to tell you that at the time I was engaged  
6 to my then Brazilian fiancée her parents inquired  
7 about content from your website and she inquired  
8 about whether or not I was a homosexual who had  
9 syphilis, how would you respond to that?

10 THE COURT: He can't answer that.  
11 You don't have to answer that.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Fine.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, I caution  
14 you not to try to get evidence in through your  
15 questioning. There is absolutely no evidence  
16 that that conversation took place.

17 MR. REO: Withdrawn, Your  
18 Honor.

19 Q. Have you ever seen me together with Eli James or  
20 William Finck at any time personally?

21 A. No. They took great care not to show what you  
22 looked like and not to reveal your real name. I had  
23 to work pretty hard going through Facebook in order  
24 to find your name and face.

25 Q. Now, I've said that I ended my acquaintance with

1 Mr. Finck sometime in 2013 and 2014.

2 A. And that's a lie.

3 Q. All right.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, wait  
5 for a question.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, okay.

7 Q. Do you have any evidence that I still correspond,  
8 communicate, or do anything with Mr. Finck?

9 A. One of Mr. Finck's enemies said that you said Martin  
10 41 looked pretty Jewy and so -- you know, which I  
11 thought was -- I thought that was hillarious with  
12 Finck's a Jew himself and I think you're a Jew. But  
13 I never knew Martin 41 and Buck McHugh, you were  
14 coming to me. What I see is I see yes, evidence  
15 that you have been associated with Finck as late as  
16 2018.

17 Q. So your evidence is that some guy online who doesn't  
18 like Finck said something that someone told him  
19 something?

20 A. Someone, Michael Brandenburg and his pretenders  
21 article went ahead and said that you, you know, did  
22 the equivalent of look underneath your tail racially  
23 in 2018.

24 Q. All right. You've previously stated that I attended  
25 a white supremacist conference with Mr. Finck and

1 Mr. James at some point in 2009, '10 or '11;  
2 correct?

3 A. June -- no, June 2009.

4 Q. So you would characterize four people meeting for  
5 lunch in a coffee shop and discussing Mr. Finck's  
6 recent release from prison to be a white supremacist  
7 conference?

8 A. Let's see --

9 Q. It's a "yes" or "no" question, sir. Would you  
10 characterize that as a conference, white supremacist  
11 conference?

12 A. I don't know about form, but Eli James and William  
13 Finck and you were at a conference in Binghamton,  
14 New York in June of 2009 and that is what I heard.

15 Q. That is what you heard. So you weren't there?

16 A. No, I was not in Binghamton, New York in June of  
17 2019. Or, I'm sorry, 2009.

18 Q. Were you ever in Binghamton, New York?

19 A. Once when I drove a truck I delivered a load in  
20 Binghamton, New York, upstate New York.

21 Q. You've previously stated or alluded to being  
22 destitute and to having no money to satisfy any kind  
23 of a judgment should a judgment be rendered against  
24 you. You said you have less than \$400 in the bank.  
25 Do you recall stating that?

1 A. That was back in -- that was back in 1995 before I  
2 got your inheritance you're trying to steal.

3 Q. Are you the owner of record of a large ranch in  
4 South Dakota?

5 THE COURT: Move on.

6 Q. Do you intend to continue posting about me on your  
7 website?

8 A. We already had this discussion when I went ahead and  
9 found out your name and you asked, you asked just  
10 like you asked Richard Barrett, what would be the  
11 price -- what would be the price of me leaving off  
12 on you. And I said, "You need to stop pretending to  
13 be a white supremacist and you need to go on and  
14 I'll probably only mention you once or twice."

15 And you already violated that agreement when  
16 you were trying to take down my monet.com (phonetic)  
17 web page and I told you it had nothing to do with  
18 you and you went ahead and admitted that.

19 Q. That didn't answer the question. Do you intend to  
20 continue posting about me on the internet on your  
21 website?

22 A. I intend -- I do intend to post public information  
23 about you. I intend to post public information  
24 about a number of people, yourself included.

25 Q. So you consider yourself an archbishop and you seem

1 to think that everyone wants to be part of your  
2 movement and whatever it is you are all about?

3 A. No. I mean, you know, as of yesterday --

4 Q. Let me finish the question. Is it fair to say that  
5 you consider yourself an archbishop and you believe  
6 that we all want to be a part of whatever little  
7 world you have going on?

8 A. That's a compound statement.

9 Q. Okay. Do you consider yourself an archbishop?

10 A. Why not?

11 Q. Thank you.

12 Do you believe that a large number of people  
13 want to be part of or affiliated with whatever it is  
14 you stand for?

15 A. You know, you used to until yesterday, but no, I  
16 would say not a large number of people. You wanted  
17 to pretend to be a white supremacist until  
18 yesterday, I heard.

19 Q. And you, of course, do style yourself an archbishop  
20 and I believe at one point you said archduke as  
21 well; is that correct?

22 A. No, I think the term arch something or another is in  
23 a -- was on a post, post about where you was going  
24 to buy the klan name and then sue everybody until --  
25 you know, to make yourself be archduke or something.



1                   MR. REO:           All right. No  
2 further questions, Your Honor. I'm done with  
3 this witness.

4                   THE COURT:        Thank you.  
5 Mr. Lindstedt, you may step down.

6                   MR. REO:           I would like to call  
7 myself as a rebuttal witness.

8                   THE COURT:        We are not there yet,  
9 Mr. Reo.

10                  MR. REO:           Sorry, Your Honor.

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Doing that after  
12 lunch?

13                  THE COURT:        Go ahead and have a  
14 seat. Mr. Lindstedt, do you have any  
15 additional witnesses to call?

16                  MR. LINDSTEDT: I would like to call  
17 Roxie and find out if she's coming this way.  
18 Yes, I would like to call Roxie at her home.

19                  THE COURT:        That's not how this  
20 works. If she is not here in person, she is  
21 not going to be testifying. Is she here in  
22 person?

23                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, she can't --  
24 she can't get out of bed unless I get some  
25 firemen to help.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So you have no  
2 further witnesses?

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: She's -- I would like  
4 to call -- I would like to call her.

5 THE COURT: I understand you  
6 would like to, but if she is not here, you are  
7 not calling her as a witness. Do you have  
8 additional witnesses?

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: She's not able to  
10 come. Well, no, she's not able to come.  
11 Well, no.

12 THE COURT: Very good. Then  
13 you're resting your case?

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, I would like  
15 for this to continue as long as I could, but I  
16 guess I will.

17 THE COURT: Very good.

18 Then it's about ten minutes after twelve.  
19 I would ask the jurors to be back in the jury  
20 room about 1:15 and we'll hear any rebuttal  
21 testimony at that point in time. I'm sure you  
22 can tell me the admonitions. Don't talk about  
23 the case amongst yourselves, don't allow  
24 anyone to talk to you about the case and don't  
25 do any independent research about the case.

1 We'll see you back at 1:15.

2 THE BAILIFF: All rise.

3 MR. REO: Your Honor, as the  
4 defendant has failed --

5 THE COURT: Why don't we wait  
6 until the jury has exited.

7 MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor

8  
9 (Whereupon, a jury was excused at  
10 this time for a lunch recess and the following  
11 proceedings were held in open court without  
12 the jury present.)

13

14 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Reo.

15 MR. REO: As Mr. Lindstedt has  
16 rested his case in chief, I would move for a  
17 directed verdict at the least to his  
18 counterclaim, which I believe has been  
19 insufficiently pleaded and insufficiently  
20 proved.

21 THE COURT: All right. I'm going  
22 to handle all the motions for directed verdict  
23 at the close of all of the evidence and I'll  
24 take it under advisement and make a ruling at  
25 that time.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: I would like to make  
2 -- I would like to make a motion for summary  
3 judgement --

4 THE COURT: Directed verdict?

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Directed verdict  
6 seeing as how there is nothing much left of  
7 this case.

8 THE COURT: I'll allow you both  
9 to make a record as to your motions for  
10 directed verdict at the end of all of the  
11 testimony. We'll see you back at 1:15.

12 MR. REO: Thank you, Your  
13 Honor.

14  
15 (Whereupon, a lunch recess was held  
16 at this time.)

17  
18 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, are you  
19 planning on calling any rebuttal witnesses?

20 MR. REO: Myself as a rebuttal  
21 witness, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Approach the stand.  
23 Raise your right hand.

24

25 BRYAN ANTHONY REO, of lawful age,

1                   having first been duly sworn, testified as  
2                   follows:

3

4                   MR. REO:            Be seated.  Go ahead  
5                   when you're ready.

6                   MR. REO:            The defendant has  
7                   made a number of mischaracterization and  
8                   misstatements of events and of statements that  
9                   I've made previously in this trial.  I'm not  
10                  going to backtrack through statements  
11                  previously made in this trial.  Suffice to  
12                  state that I was not involved in any sort of  
13                  Aryan organization, Aryan forum, posting on  
14                  those forums that the defendant has  
15                  referenced.

16                  He's already stated that he's made  
17                  countless accounts in any name, that he alters  
18                  dates and times on post as he deems fit and  
19                  necessary and that he puts content basically  
20                  everywhere he can get his hands on from  
21                  NIMBusters to Hate and Flame to Upstanding  
22                  Citizens, to various blogs that I've gotten  
23                  things taken down through terms of service  
24                  complaints, which yes, I have made terms of  
25                  service complaints.

1           I have never attended a white supremacist  
2 conference regardless of whether the defendant  
3 wants to characterize meeting with three of  
4 four other people for a lunch. Mr. Finck --

5           MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

6           THE COURT: Overruled.

7           MR. REO: Mr. Finck was  
8 somebody who was released from prison in 2009.  
9 I was acquainted with him. He taught himself  
10 ancient Greek while he was in prison.

11          MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

12          THE COURT: Overruled.

13          MR. REO: He taught himself  
14 ancient Greek while he was in prison, so I was  
15 impressed with his intellectual abilities. We  
16 had a mutual interest because we were both  
17 interested in ancient Roman history in  
18 particular because I know Latin. It's a bit  
19 rusty right now, though. And we corresponded  
20 occasionally and we met a few times. As I  
21 said, sometime in 2013, 2014 I learned more  
22 details about why he had been in prison and  
23 that it wasn't the misunderstanding and a  
24 mistake or accident that he had characterized  
25 it as, but that he had --

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 MR. REO: -- that he had  
4 deliberated killed somebody in his capacity as  
5 a jail guard in New Jersey and that he had  
6 certain views that he was bringing out that he  
7 had not previously communicated to me that I  
8 found distasteful and unacceptable, so I  
9 amicably ended my acquaintance with him,  
10 because he's a bit of a scary guy. He was in  
11 prison for murder and I didn't want to be on  
12 his bad side.

13 I have not corresponded, nor conversed,  
14 nor even heard from Mr. Finck except in 2015  
15 when he reached out to me briefly to discuss  
16 being named as a counter-defendant by Mr.  
17 Lindstedt in this case. I authored no  
18 documents for him.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

21 MR. REO: Told him that I could  
22 not help him in the practice of law, that I  
23 could not engage in the practice of law  
24 without a license, and that he would best be  
25 served by finding his own attorney, contacting

1 the county bar association to ask for counsel.

2 And around the same time that I ended my  
3 acquaintance with Mr. Finck I ended my brief  
4 acquaintance with Mr. James as well, whom I  
5 knew as Eli James. I did not know him as  
6 Joseph November. I never realized that he was  
7 using aliases and fake names and that he had  
8 certain views similar to Mr. Finck's. Once  
9 that became apparent, I ended correspondence  
10 with both of them and proceeded to just go  
11 forward in life.

12 The Defendant, Mr. Lindstedt, has embarked  
13 on approximately a decade long campaign  
14 latching onto me as a cyber stalking,  
15 cyber defaming--

16 THE COURT: This is not closing  
17 argument. It's rebuttal to evidence Mr.  
18 Lindstedt put on.

19 MR. REO: Mr. Lindstedt has  
20 said that he did not publish my name, address,  
21 nor picture until 2013, but as you've seen  
22 from his website --

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

24 THE COURT: Overruled.

25 MR. REO: -- there are posts in



1                   2010 and 2011. Some appear to have dates  
2 altered, which it would be odd that he would  
3 alter them to earlier dates. But, in any  
4 event, the content on his website seems to  
5 have been altered periodically by him, but I'm  
6 asserting, and the website does appear to  
7 reenforce my assertion, that he was doing this  
8 in 2010 and 2011.

9                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

10                  THE COURT: Overruled.

11                  MR. REO: And he has stated  
12 that he's been making posts under my name  
13 using my pictures from Facebook and that his  
14 goal is to get me disbarred. He stated that  
15 he thinks this is a game and it amuses him,  
16 but this is causing real damage in my life.  
17 It's caused me bouts of depression, it's  
18 caused anxiety, so there are real damages and  
19 real consequences here. He has cost me  
20 clients and potential clients.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

22                  THE COURT: Overruled.

23                  MR. REO: So he may say that  
24 this is some sort of a tit for tat game and  
25 that's it's a childish "You call me a name, I

1 call you a name," but I've done nothing to  
2 this man, other than --

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

4 THE COURT: Overruled.

5 MR. REO: -- make a terms of  
6 service complaint to his hosters so they can  
7 bring down his websites, which by his own  
8 admission he never read the terms of service,  
9 and, in any event, he certainly wasn't abiding  
10 by the terms of service.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. REO: Had he been abiding  
14 by the terms of service, it's quiet likely  
15 that none of his websites would have ever been  
16 brought down because businesses generally  
17 don't terminate relationships with clients who  
18 are paying and who are abiding by the terms.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

20 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury  
21 will disregard all the speculation that Mr.  
22 Reo just testified to.

23 MR. REO: Needless to say, I  
24 had no control over whether or not the  
25 companies providing him service were going to

1                   decide or not decide to terminate or not  
2                   terminate his services.

3                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

4                   THE COURT:        Overruled.

5                   MR. REO:            If he believes his  
6                   websites were brought down, he has no one to  
7                   blame but himself for violating the terms of  
8                   service.

9                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

10                  THE COURT:        Mr. Reo, once again,  
11                  it's not a closing argument. Sustained.

12                  MR. REO:            I have never  
13                  communicated through anybody by any medium  
14                  that Mr. Lindstedt is a convicted child  
15                  molester, nor have I defamed him as such.

16                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

17                  THE COURT:        Overruled.

18                  MR. REO:            At most I have  
19                  stated, which is a matter of fact and public  
20                  record that he was on trial for such.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

22                  THE COURT:        Overruled.

23                  MR. LINDSTEDT: And he has readily  
24                  admitted that he was on trial for such.

25                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Overruled.

2 MR. LINDSTEDT: At most I have also  
3 said that he was adjudicated and committed and  
4 spent a significant time period in a mental  
5 asylum, which he has stated did indeed happen.  
6 It is factually correct that he was in a  
7 mental asylum.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Do I get a chance to  
9 cross-examine?

10 THE COURT: You do.

11 Are you done, Mr. Reo?

12 MR. REO: Regarding the issue  
13 of damages and the defendant claiming that I  
14 have none, I would simply state that cyber  
15 defamation is forever and that this man has  
16 contaminated the World Wide Web.

17 THE COURT: That's closing  
18 argument.

19 MR. REO: All right. I'm done  
20 on rebuttal, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you.

22 Mr. Lindstedt, you can cross-examine just  
23 solely on those limited points that Mr. Reo  
24 testified to.

25

1           CROSS-EXAMINATION OF BRYAN ANTHONY REO

2 BY MR. LINDSTEDT:

3           Q.    Would you -- would you claim that a fractured  
4                sternum is an unfortunate accident?

5                        THE COURT:        That's not within the  
6                        scope of what he testified to.  You have to  
7                        ask questions --

8                        MR. LINDSTEDT:  He was claiming -- he  
9                        was claiming that Mr. Arnogo Ortega suffered  
10                      just an unfortunate accident when he -- when  
11                      he -- among the causes of his fatal injuries  
12                      was a fractured sternum that Bill Finck kicked  
13                      in.

14                     THE COURT:        I'm not going to  
15                     allow the question.  Ask any other questions  
16                     that you have.

17           Q.    I think we've already covered and you have seen on  
18                my web page that this matter did not go to trial, it  
19                did not even go to a preliminary hearing --

20                     THE COURT:        Is that a question?

21           Q.    -- correct?  Yes.  Did this case not only not go to  
22                trial, it did not even get to a preliminary hearing?

23           A.    Are you asking me or telling me?

24           Q.    I'm asking you, are you -- you have looked at it  
25                quite a bit.  Have you looked -- have you looked at

1           that -- have you looked at that particular forum  
2           where you see the nolle pros?

3           A. I have that document in my possession. I have the  
4           time stamp on it, but it's partially cut off and I  
5           saw the post where you talk about a four-year-long  
6           legal saga finally being over.

7                           MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

8                           THE COURT: Overruled.

9           A. So the four-year-long legal saga that you talk about  
10          being over seems to me to be something that went far  
11          beyond a mere preliminary hearing.

12                          THE COURT: Do you have any  
13                          evidence that that case went to trial, Mr.  
14                          Reo?

15                          MR. REO: I do not.

16          Q. Because it didn't.

17          A. But to answer his question about it being resolved  
18          prior to the preliminary, I do not believe that  
19          statement to be accurate.

20          Q. Would you have any objection to -- since you have it  
21          as evidence, would you have any objection to me  
22          showing the actual, you know, case which you keep on  
23          saying went to trial?

24          A. Again, I've never said it went to trial.

25          Q. Well, I thought you did just say it went to trial.

1           Didn't you -- could I have maybe a restatement of  
2           the record? I believe Mr. Reo said it did go to  
3           trial.

4           A. I said no such thing. I said that you posted it was  
5           a four-year-long legal saga. I don't know what the  
6           procedural posture of your prosecution in Missouri  
7           was or wasn't.

8                           MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, you've been  
9                           saying quite a bit. Would you be able to read  
10                          back where Mr. Reo said it went to trial?

11                          THE COURT:        No. No.

12                          MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I believe Mr.  
13                          Reo said it did go to trial. It did not go to  
14                          trial.

15           A. Suffice to say I don't know one way or the other  
16           what happened with that case, but you posted on your  
17           forum that it was a four-year-long saga and it was  
18           finally over, which would be inconsistent with a  
19           case --

20           Q. Yes, I said that. But, you know, I said it didn't  
21           even get to trial -- you know, it didn't even get to  
22           a prosecu -- you know, a preliminary hearing.

23           A. All I said was that the idea of a four-year-long  
24           legal saga seems inconsistent with a case that is  
25           resolved prior to the preliminary.

1 Q. I believe you said it went to trial.

2 THE COURT: What are you basing  
3 that on, Mr. Reo? How long have you practiced  
4 criminal law and how long have you practiced  
5 criminal law in Missouri?

6 MR. REO: I have never  
7 practiced criminal law in Missouri.

8 THE COURT: All right. Well,  
9 then keep your speculation as to the  
10 procedural posture and how long things take in  
11 Missouri to yourself. That's not proper to  
12 put in front of the jury.

13 MR. REO: Withdrawn.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, next  
15 question.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: I seem to have forgot  
17 a number of things he went ahead and said.

18 Q. You have essentially admitted that you have made  
19 quiet a few terms of service complaints?

20 A. That's already been asked and the answered, yes.

21 Q. The answer is yes, you've made quiet a few Digital  
22 Millennium Copyright Act violations?

23 THE COURT: That's beyond the  
24 scope of his rebuttal testimony.

25 Q. Okay. How many -- how many web pages would you say



1           you had taken down?

2           A.   Of yours or what's the scope of your question?

3           Q.   Okay.  Let's say, how many of mine, how many of  
4           NIMBusters, how many of other people?

5           A.   I would say I've had zero websites taken down  
6           because I've never owned a website host provider.

7           Q.   No, of my web pages, not your web pages.

8           A.   Your web pages were taken down by your servers.  I  
9           had nothing to do with the decision.

10          Q.   But you had quite a bit to do with the initiation of  
11          them being taken down.

12          A.   I was privileged to do so.

13          Q.   You were privileged to do so.  What do you mean you  
14          were privileged to do so?  That means you --

15          A.   What I mean by that is that you chose to violate the  
16          terms of service by defaming, cyber stalking,  
17          bullying, posting my personal information regarding  
18          my social security number, name, and address.

19                               MR. LINDSTEDT:  Objection.

20                               Objection.

21                               THE COURT:       Sustained.

22                               MR. LINDSTEDT:  We already pointed  
23                               out your Social Security --

24                               THE COURT:       Mr. Lindstedt, just  
25                               ask your next question.

1 Q. Okay. Your social security was not -- number was  
2 not posted by me.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, that's  
4 not a question.

5 Q. Let's see. So how many -- how many of your web --  
6 how many of your pages have I taken down of yours?

7 A. I have reolaw.org and my Blogspot blog. They've  
8 never been taken down for any reason because I've  
9 never violated terms of service.

10 Q. Have you taken down the sb@christogenea.org owned by  
11 William Finck?

12 A. I don't know what William --

13 THE COURT: That's beyond the  
14 scope of what he testified to on rebuttal.

15 Q. Would you say you have a -- have a history of  
16 starting stuff and then taking it down and then  
17 blaming whoever else goes ahead and calls you on it?  
18 In short, initiating -- initiating certain actions  
19 and then cutting them, essentially what's called  
20 spoliation or destroying evidence?

21 A. Your specific question is? Is your question --

22 Q. Have you -- have you worked or taking my web pages  
23 through whatever means in order -- in order to hide  
24 what you have done?

25 A. I've done nothing to your web pages.

1 Q. Including you haven't -- you haven't -- somehow  
2 these internet service providers just decided on  
3 their own just to go ahead and do a terms of service  
4 violation?

5 A. You should have read and abided by the terms of  
6 service. If you have a --

7 Q. That is not the question.

8 A. There wasn't really a question there, sir.

9 Q. Have -- have you started -- have you -- have you  
10 made these internet service, you know, internet  
11 service hosts aware of what you perceive to be terms  
12 of service violations?

13 MR. REO: Your Honor, I've  
14 already answered -- I answered the question  
15 regarding terms of service complaints.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: No, you haven't.

17 THE COURT: Answer his question.

18 A. I already said that yes, I have initiated terms of  
19 complaints against a number of your websites.

20 Q. So if you were on a balcony and you threw a brick  
21 over the balcony and, you know, it fell, would you  
22 say that gravity killed whoever was, you know,  
23 driving underneath that balcony?

24 THE COURT: You don't have to  
25 answer that. That doesn't make any sense.

1                   Ask your next question.

2           Q. Did you make an agreement with Buck McHugh that if  
3           he took down -- if he took down what he wrote about  
4           you that you would leave him alone and then you and  
5           Eli James broke that agreement?

6                   THE COURT:       That's beyond the  
7                   scope of what he testified to in rebuttal.

8           Q. Have you and I made an agreement that if you would  
9           stop pretending to be a white supremacist, I'd  
10          probably only put one or two posts up on you per  
11          year, you know, just in passing? Did you and I make  
12          an agreement?

13                  THE COURT:       You can go ahead and  
14                  answer that.

15          A. I've never pretended to be a white supremacist, I'm  
16          not a white supremacist, and I've never made any  
17          sort of agreement with you. I think you are  
18          fundamentally dishonest, so I wouldn't attempt to  
19          enter into an agreement with you, because you've  
20          been stalking, defaming, and bullying me and my  
21          family for ten years.

22          Q. Would you have any idea how with probably lots and  
23          lots and lots of mongrels that live in Cleveland, I  
24          decided to pick on you?

25                  THE COURT:       That's beyond the

1 scope of his rebuttal evidence.

2 Q. Would you --

3 MR. REO: I'll answer that if  
4 I'm allowed, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: No.

6 Q. If -- you know, do you speak for the person named  
7 Sword Brethren who was on a number of Bill Finck's  
8 and Stormfront's forum? That's not you then; is it?

9 THE COURT: You can answer it.

10 A. Correct, that is not me.

11 Q. So why are you worried -- why are you worried about  
12 what I say about what Sword Brethren did?

13 A. I haven't raised any concerns about what you  
14 contribute to that character that you probably  
15 invented. I've raised concerns regarding you  
16 defaming Bryan Reo, posting Bryan Reo's picture,  
17 name, and address, claiming that I have venereal  
18 disease, claiming that I commit barratry --

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

20 Objection.

21 A. You asked --

22 Q. Where -- where did I say you had a venereal disease?  
23 I said a disease commonly associated with sheep and  
24 I said that foot and mouth is usually common. I  
25 didn't speculate that sheep had syphilis. So where

1           did I say that you had syphilis.

2                           THE COURT:       Mr. Lindstedt, you  
3           are beyond the scope of what he testified to  
4           on rebuttal. There is only a limited number  
5           of things he testified to in rebuttal and you  
6           need to tailor your questions to those limited  
7           areas.

8                           MR. LINDSTEDT: He -- Mr. Reo brought  
9           up the fact that I said that -- that I said  
10          that he had sheep syphilis or something. Mr.  
11          Reo brought it up.

12                          THE COURT:       Ask your next  
13          question, Mr. Lindstedt.

14                          MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. I'll get off  
15          the sheep syphilis.

16          Q. Are you taking advantage of the fact that there is  
17          quite a bit of evidence on the internet against you  
18          but I'm not allowed to bring it in court?

19                          THE COURT:       Mr. Lindstedt, once  
20          again, you are beyond the scope of what he  
21          testified to in his rebuttal. If you're going  
22          to be unable to ask questions about what he  
23          testified to in rebuttal, then you are going  
24          to be unable to testify or ask any more  
25          questions.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Could I -- could I be  
2 a rebuttal witness to his stuff?

3 THE COURT: No.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Could I be -- could I  
5 be a rebuttal witness to something or another?

6 THE COURT: You've had your  
7 opportunity on two occasions to testify.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: I did testify.

9 THE COURT: So ask your next  
10 question.

11 Q. Have you -- have you posted as exhibit -- you know,  
12 have you posted, you know, cherry-picked information  
13 on my web page that you did not present to me as an  
14 exhibit?

15 THE COURT: Once again, you are  
16 beyond the scope of what he testified to in  
17 rebuttal. If your next question is not going  
18 to be something about what he testified to in  
19 rebuttal, then you are going to be done asking  
20 questions.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: I would like to  
22 object.

23 THE COURT: Sure. It's  
24 overruled, but preserve that for the record.

25 MR. REO: May I step down, Your

1 Honor?

2 THE COURT: He has one more  
3 question or several more questions, depending  
4 on what he asks.

5 Q. Do you think my entire web page is about nothing but  
6 you?

7 THE COURT: You may step down,  
8 Mr. Reo.

9 MR. REO: Thank you, Your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Reo, do you have  
12 any further evidence to present at this time?

13 MR. REO: I do not, Your Honor,  
14 other than my closing statement, which we are  
15 not at yet; correct?

16 THE COURT: Correct.

17 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you've  
18 heard all the evidence from both sides that  
19 you're going to hear. The next phase of the  
20 trial is for you to hear the closing arguments  
21 of the parties. Unfortunately, there is a lot  
22 of legal work to do between now and the time  
23 you can hear those closing arguments and I do  
24 not want you sitting here for hours waiting  
25 for that to be done. So I am going to excuse



1                   you for the day, ask you to be back in the  
2                   jury room tomorrow at 9:00.

3                   Once again, do not discuss this case  
4                   amongst yourselves, do not allow anyone to  
5                   discuss it with you, and do not do any  
6                   independent investigation of this case. I  
7                   thank you for your patience today and we'll  
8                   see you tomorrow at 9:00.

9                   THE BAILIFF:     All rise.

10

11                   (Whereupon, the jury was excused for  
12                   the day and the following proceedings were  
13                   held in open court without the jury present.)

14

15                   THE COURT:       Mr. Reo, is there any  
16                   additional evidence as to your injunction  
17                   claim?

18                   MR. REO:           The defendant stated  
19                   that he intends to continue writing about me.  
20                   He's been publishing information on this case  
21                   as recently as yesterday and writing about me  
22                   as recently as yesterday. In the absence of  
23                   an injunction, he will continue to do me  
24                   irreparable harm.

25                   THE COURT:       Do you have any

1 further evidence to present on that or  
2 evidence that came up during the course of  
3 this jury trail?

4 MR. REO: Circumstantially the  
5 duration of my interaction or, rather, his  
6 interaction with me, it's been going on for  
7 approximately a decade. He's referenced the  
8 CPSO. I know you said that it wasn't going to  
9 be offered as an exhibit, but he was outright  
10 stalking me to the point where I obtained a  
11 CPSO.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MR. REO: I believe in the  
15 absence of an injunction he will continue --

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

17 MR. REO: -- and there will be  
18 a fresh wave of libel and this will continue  
19 in perpetuity.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. I will  
21 take the injunction --

22 MR. REO: He's also --

23 THE COURT: I'm sorry, go ahead.

24 MR. REO: He's also stated that  
25 his goal is to get me disbarred or to cost me

1 potential clients and that he wants to smear  
2 my name on the internet so that when they look  
3 me up, they find all of this.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, what's  
6 your response?

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: My response is that  
8 this case should not have been heard in the  
9 first place. It's already -- it's already  
10 been your ruling that the Ohio Statute of  
11 Limitations of one year makes it to where he  
12 has nothing, he has absolutely nothing that he  
13 can put on 1590 except what -- whatever I put  
14 on of a public record on the federal case,  
15 which enabled me to win and make -- force him  
16 -- you know, have his case dismissed. Mr. Reo  
17 already got a bite at the apple. The judge  
18 and Mr. Reo himself in one of his motions said  
19 with the statute of limitations all he has is  
20 emotional damage. He couldn't prove 75,000.  
21 As far -- as far as a prior injunction, in  
22 1931, Near versus Minnesota, pretty well they  
23 ruled -- you know, the United States Supreme  
24 Court ruled that there could be no -- there  
25 could be no gag order or prior restraint

1           unless perhaps it's a matter of national  
2           security. Me posting -- me posting, albeit  
3           with some interesting headlines, what is  
4           essentially a public matter is not a national  
5           security. As far as what I posted yesterday,  
6           I think I said the bogus case is falling apart  
7           or something. I didn't post anything else on  
8           it. This court I do not believe has  
9           jurisdiction even to hear it and certainly not  
10          -- certainly not to -- certainly not to  
11          protect him. As far as -- as far as -- yeah,  
12          I made it quite clear that I want him and I  
13          want Attorney Brett A. Klimkowsky and I want  
14          Kyle Bristow disbarred, you know, for -- well,  
15          I've said that quite a bit.

16                 This court itself, this court itself said,  
17                 I think it said that on what, 23rd of August  
18                 2017 that Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo and -- you know,  
19                 Mr. Reo at the time and Attorney Klimkowsky  
20                 presented a motion in limine to even prevent  
21                 me from being able to testify in my own behalf  
22                 on the grounds that I was crazy.

23                         THE COURT:         All right. I'm going  
24                         to cut you off there. That's all water under  
25                         the bridge. You had full opportunity not only

1 to represent yourself, but to testify. You've  
2 made your arguments as to the injunction and  
3 I'll take that under advisement.

4 I did want to take an opportunity to make  
5 sure, because we were having some difficulties  
6 with the side bar, at the time there were  
7 people talking over one another, so as to  
8 Juror No. 4, Ms. Omonogun, I haven't asked her  
9 her her racial background, but she does appear  
10 to be black. Whether that's African American  
11 or some other background, she does appear to  
12 be black to me. Mr. Lindstedt moved to have  
13 her excluded for cause. I overruled that. She  
14 gave no answers that would have risen to the  
15 level of cause. He then made a motion to  
16 utilize one of his peremptory challenges on  
17 her. Mr. Reo objected, raised a Batson  
18 challenge. I gave Mr. Lindstedt the  
19 opportunity to give a non-race-based reason  
20 why she could not participate as a juror in  
21 this case. I believe he attributed some sort  
22 of statement to her that I did not find that  
23 she had ever made during the course of the  
24 voir dire. And, regardless, there was not a  
25 nonracial reason that was given specifically

1                   when he challenged for cause. That was solely  
2                   based on the reason of race and there was no  
3                   other legitimate reason ever given that she  
4                   should be excluded from the jury.

5                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

6                   THE COURT:        So that is why she  
7                   remained on the jury over the objection of Mr.  
8                   Lindstedt.

9                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, I think,  
10                  I was looking at it and it said I don't -- you  
11                  know, just like Mr. Reo kept on making a  
12                  complaint about me not being employed by hour,  
13                  you know, Mrs. what's her name, said pretty  
14                  well the same thing, that's she's not -- you  
15                  know, she's not got a, quote, "job."

16                  THE COURT:        When did she say  
17                  that?

18                  MR. LINDSTEDT: I think she -- you  
19                  know, it was written on her jury form.

20                  THE COURT:        I don't recall that  
21                  being written on her jury form. Even if it  
22                  was, that is not a reason that could overcome  
23                  the apparent real reason why you wanted her to  
24                  not to be on the jury, the reason that you  
25                  gave the first opportunity you had, and it was

1 based on the fact that she's black.

2 Now, as to closing arguments tomorrow,  
3 there's only two witnesses who testified in  
4 this case and it's the two of you. You each  
5 gave very limited opening statements. I am  
6 going to limit your closing arguments, the  
7 first closing argument on each side to twenty  
8 minutes and on rebuttal to ten minutes.

9 It is clear that you are not going to be  
10 able to make your hearing in Eastern County  
11 Court tomorrow, Mr. Reo. I suggest, if you  
12 haven't already, that you make contact with  
13 Judge Specht's clerk.

14 MR. REO: Your Honor?

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MR. REO: The hearing in  
17 Eastern County area is scheduled for the 27th.  
18 That's Thursday.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. REO: Will I be able to  
21 make that?

22 THE COURT: I don't see any  
23 reason why not.

24 MR. REO: Okay. Let me check  
25 my calendar to confirm that. I have the

1 hearing starting at 8:45 the 27th, which is a  
2 Thursday, and I can check the PDF when I get  
3 home.

4 THE COURT: Sure. If I'm  
5 mistaken, you should be fine. If it is, in  
6 fact, tomorrow, you need to make those  
7 arrangements.

8 MR. REO: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: We will reconvene in  
10 here at 3:00 and I will hear your motions for  
11 directed verdict.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: At 3:00?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: We come back today at  
15 3:00?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: And you will hear my  
18 motion -- my motion for directed verdict as  
19 well?

20 THE COURT: Correct. We'll see  
21 you in little over an hour.

22 MR. REO: And then tomorrow  
23 we'll be convening at 8 and the jury at 9?

24 THE COURT: Correct.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Convening at 8?



1 THE COURT: You will be here at  
2 8, Mr. Lindstedt.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: I intend to be, yes.

4 THE COURT: Very good.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: And then the jury at  
6 9, me at 8.

7 THE COURT: Correct, in case we  
8 have anything else to talk about.

9

10 (Whereupon, a brief recess was held  
11 at this time.)

12

13 (Whereupon, the following  
14 proceedings were held in open court without  
15 the jury present.)

16

17 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, you  
18 provided my bailiff with Defendant's Instant  
19 Motion for Directed Verdict.

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yes.

21 THE COURT: I do have a copy. I  
22 would ask you to make sure Mr. Reo has a copy  
23 and if you wish to file it, you can file it.  
24 I'll consider it, but if you want it to be  
25 part of the record, you can go to the clerk's

1                   after this portion of the hearing and file it;  
2                   okay?

3                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

4                   THE COURT:        I do want to put on  
5                   the record, I did go back and look at the jury  
6                   questionnaire. Juror No. 4, Ms. Omonogun, she  
7                   is gainfully employed for a Cleveland Clinic  
8                   rehabilitation hospital. So, once again, the  
9                   challenge is inappropriate to make and I did  
10                  not excuse her from the jury.

11                  Both sides have made requests for directed  
12                  verdicts. First, though, would be Plaintiff's  
13                  request. Quite frankly, both of these claims  
14                  and counterclaims are very poorly plead so  
15                  it's very difficult for the court to determine  
16                  what the causes of action, in fact, were. But  
17                  doing my best I'll go through what I believe  
18                  the causes of action to be. I believe Count  
19                  One would be abuse of legal process.

20                  MR. REO:        Your Honor, are you  
21                  referring to the counterclaims?

22                  THE COURT:        On the counterclaim.  
23                  Do you want to be briefly heard on abuse of  
24                  legal process?

25                  MR. REO:        Yes, Your Honor, I

1                   would like to be briefly heard. May I remain  
2                   seated, Your Honor?

3                   THE COURT:        Yes.

4                   MR. REO:            Mr. Lindstedt's  
5                   counterclaim for abuse of legal process  
6                   necessarily fails because taken together his  
7                   pleadings combined with his statements and the  
8                   evidence that he's offered in this court need  
9                   not consider the pleadings under Parrish vs.  
10                  Jones, but may do so. His pleadings taken  
11                  with the evidence he's introduced have failed  
12                  to establish that legal form -- I'm sorry,  
13                  legal proceedings were set in motion and  
14                  proper form and with probable cause, but were  
15                  perverted to accomplish an ulterior motive.  
16                  He never alleged that these proceedings are  
17                  proper, he's never alleged that there was  
18                  probable cause, he's never alleged some  
19                  ulterior motive. He's denounced these  
20                  proceedings as a bogus fraud and he's stated  
21                  that this court has no jurisdiction time and  
22                  time again. So I think his abuse of process  
23                  claim necessarily fails as a matter of law  
24                  because he's offered no evidence as to the  
25                  necessary elements of that cause of action.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lindstedt?

MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. Reo, like a lot of his claims, simply, and I didn't get to present evidence, for whatever reason, but Mr. Reo on a different forum said that he had a number of enemies and he wished to render me destitute, penniless, and, you know, he pretty well -- he pretty well stated to at least one of his counterdefenses that he was employing this action in order to render me destitute, penniless, wanting.

During trial he went ahead and inquired as to whether or not I have a South Dakota ranch. This is -- Mr. -- Mr. Reo has demonstrated, you know, nothing but greed and vindictiveness particularly against the court evil one in Missouri, so as a result -- so as a result, yes, I would say if this is not abuse of legal process, nothing is.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. REO: May I respond briefly to that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No.

As to counterclaim defendants I am going

1 to grant directed verdicts for William Finck  
2 and William DeClue, the only two, other than  
3 Mr. Reo, who had valid service upon them.  
4 There was no evidence on any of the claims  
5 against Mr. Finck or Mr. DeClue. I find that  
6 reasonable minds could come to but one  
7 conclusion upon the evidence submitted and  
8 that conclusion is adverse to Mr. Lindstedt as  
9 to all causes of action against Mr. Finck and  
10 Mr. DeClue.

11 As to the abuse of process claim, I'm  
12 going to grant directed verdict. Any time I  
13 grant a directed verdict, it's because  
14 reasonable minds could come to but one  
15 conclusion upon the evidence submitted and  
16 that conclusion is adverse to such party. I  
17 do not find that plaintiff perverted the  
18 lawsuit or proceeding to attempt to accomplish  
19 an ulterior purpose, which it was not  
20 designed, and I do not find that the defendant  
21 has been directly damaged by the wrongful  
22 abuse of process. So directed verdict is  
23 granted as to that count.

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor --

25 THE COURT: The second count I --

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Your Honor, is that  
2 against Mr. Reo or is that against Mr. Finck  
3 and Mr. DeClue?

4 THE COURT: All of your claims  
5 against Mr. Finck and Mr. DeClue are  
6 dismissed.

7 MR. LINDSTEDT: I agree because, you  
8 know, pretty well Mr. Reo claimed at least for  
9 Mr. Finck that this court didn't have  
10 jurisdiction and I believe that since it  
11 didn't have jurisdiction over me, it didn't  
12 have jurisdiction over Mr. Finck or  
13 Mr. DeClue.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: As far as Mr. Reo,  
16 yes, I think he has abused the legal process.

17 THE COURT: Very good.

18 As to Count 2, malicious prosecution,  
19 Mr. Reo?

20 MR. REO: Your Honor, I believe  
21 that the defendant's counterclaim for  
22 malicious prosecution must necessarily fail on  
23 the simple basis that one of the fundamental  
24 elements is determinaton of the preceding  
25 action in the favor of the person pursuing a

1 claim for malicious prosecution. Obviously  
2 that has not yet happened and it's not clear  
3 if that will happen, so as a matter of law his  
4 claim is premature. If there is an action for  
5 malicious prosecution, it has not accrued as  
6 of this time.

7 THE COURT: Is it possible he's  
8 speaking of the federal lawsuit as the prior  
9 lawsuit?

10 MR. REO: That was not  
11 terminated in his favor. That was terminated  
12 otherwise than upon the merits. It was  
13 dismissed without prejudice for want of  
14 subject matter jurisdiction, which is not an  
15 adjudication on the merits.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt?

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: I really do not want  
18 to come back here to fight over this matter  
19 again. In short, Mr. Reo, he had a chance,  
20 you know, he had a chance, he could go ahead  
21 and refile the federal lawsuit. He chose not  
22 to because the judge said the DMCA complaint  
23 was futile and he didn't believe that it was  
24 all reasonable. And as this court has already  
25 ruled, this court has already ruled that on

1           the matter of everything before one year the  
2           Ohio Statute of Limitations applies. So,  
3           therefore, Mr. Reo can't -- can't recover  
4           anything on anything before September 18th or  
5           17th September 2014. And everything after  
6           September 2014, I don't believe Mr. Reo has  
7           presented anything. So as -- so as a result  
8           he simply does not have a case at all.

9           So yes, I believe that, you know, as far  
10          as malicious prosecution, I disagree with  
11          your, you know, previous claims. It has gone  
12          forward quite a bit and I believe it ought to  
13          be given to the jury.

14                 THE COURT:         Very good. I am  
15          going to grant the directed verdict. I don't  
16          find that there is a malicious filing of a  
17          prior lawsuit that's been proven, I don't find  
18          that there is a lack of probable cause for the  
19          filing of that prior lawsuit that's been  
20          proven, and I don't find that there is any  
21          seizure of the defendant's person or property  
22          during the course of that prior lawsuit, so  
23          the directed verdict will be granted.

24                 Count Three is vexatious litigation. I  
25          don't find that to be a cause of action.



1                   As to Count Four, statutory criminal  
2                   liability, Mr. Reo?

3                   MR. REO:           There has never been  
4                   an accusation that I've committed any sort of  
5                   crime against Mr. Lindstedt or any of the  
6                   defendants. There are no police reports, no  
7                   criminal convictions, and he offered  
8                   absolutely no evidence that I criminally  
9                   victimized him in any capacity. He cited no  
10                  criminal statutes, he cited no facts, no  
11                  evidence that would be consistent with a  
12                  finding that he was a crime victim of some  
13                  sort.

14                 THE COURT:       Mr. Lindstedt?

15                 MR. LINDSTEDT: I -- I do not believe  
16                 I ever made a comment as to criminal liability  
17                 unless I tried to turn it back on Mr. Reo who  
18                 was claiming Roxie somehow committed a  
19                 criminal act against him.

20                 THE COURT:       Very good. I'm going  
21                 to grant the directed verdict as to statutory  
22                 criminal liability. I don't find that anyone  
23                 was injured in person or property by a  
24                 criminal act. There has been no evidence of  
25                 that in this case. As such, the directed

1 verdict is granted.

2 As to civil conspiracy, Mr. Reo?

3 MR. REO: We are still on the  
4 defendant's counterclaim; correct, Your Honor?

5 THE COURT: Correct.

6 MR. REO: The defendant has  
7 offered absolutely no evidence that I've acted  
8 in concert with any other individuals to  
9 violate his rights, damage his property or to  
10 commit criminal conduct or engage in tortious  
11 conduct that's resulted in some sort of a  
12 pecuniary damage to him or his property.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt?

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: I have and Mr. Reo  
15 has admitted as far as tortious interference  
16 by making all these terms of service  
17 violations with Mr. -- you know, Mr. William  
18 DeClue and William Finck that yes, they have  
19 worked on depriving me of my rights. As far  
20 as whether it has gone to criminal, I'm simply  
21 saying that Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo has indeed, and  
22 I think working with others like William Shawn  
23 DeClue and William Finck, who I admit this  
24 court does not have jurisdiction over, just  
25 like Mr. Reo himself said in the case of Mr.

1                   Finck, I do think they have committed that  
2                   particular tort in front of me.

3                   THE COURT:        Thank you.

4                   I'm going to grant the directed verdict.  
5                   I do not find any evidence that Mr. Reo  
6                   participated in a malicious combination in  
7                   this case.

8                   MR. LINDSTEDT: I would like to make  
9                   an objection --

10                  THE COURT:        Sure.

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT: -- to the previous  
12                  three as well.

13                  THE COURT:        That's noted. You  
14                  object to my finding. I'm guessing you are  
15                  going to object if I direct out any and you  
16                  can preserve the record by making those  
17                  objections.

18                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

19                  THE COURT:        As to Count Six,  
20                  spoliation, destruction of evidence, Mr. Reo?

21                  MR. REO:         The defendant has  
22                  offered no evidence that I ever had evidence  
23                  in my custody or control that I purposely  
24                  destroyed or allowed to be spoliated.

25                  THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt?

1                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. Reo has  
2                   indeed gone and filed all these terms of  
3                   service violations in an attempt to -- of  
4                   which I, for whatever reason, wasn't allowed  
5                   to go ahead and bring evidence because I was  
6                   late today or for whatever reason. Mr. Reo  
7                   has indeed done his best to pretty well -- in  
8                   fact, Mr. Reo's entire case is to try to  
9                   deflect criticism from himself for his  
10                  behavior, you know, in the nine years that he  
11                  has been acting against me.

12                 THE COURT:         Very good. I am  
13                 going to grant the directed verdict. I do not  
14                 find there is any evidence that Mr. Reo  
15                 willfully destroyed, interfered with evidence  
16                 for the purpose of disrupting the defendant's  
17                 ability to prove a claim, a defense in this  
18                 lawsuit.

19                 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection, of course.

20                 THE COURT:         Thank you.

21                 As to defamation on the counterclaim, Mr.  
22                 Reo?

23                 MR. REO:            The defendant has  
24                 failed to offer any evidence that I published,  
25                 disseminated or in any way communicated

1 anything that was untrue or substantially  
2 untrue to any third party. And by his own  
3 admission he stated that his reputation in his  
4 local community is that he is regarded as a  
5 domestic terrorist.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. REO: I believe that the  
9 defendant in any event is defamation-proof.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

11 MR. REO: He was on trial for a  
12 number of heinous crimes.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

14 THE COURT: You keep saying he  
15 was on trial, yet you have no evidence of  
16 that, Mr. Reo.

17 MR. REO: His own admission he  
18 said he was on trial and he said it was  
19 resolved prior to the preliminary and that  
20 there was a --

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: No trial.

22 THE COURT: You understand that  
23 "preliminary" means before; right?

24 MR. REO: But he was still  
25 indicted.

1 THE COURT: Well, that's a whole  
2 heck of a lot different than a trial.

3 MR. REO: Well, he referred to  
4 it as a four-year-long legal saga. In any  
5 event, he was institutionalized, which we've  
6 agreed to on the record for a substantial  
7 length of time of at least several years.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Unlawfully  
9 imprisoned for --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, you  
11 will have your chance.

12 MR. REO: However he wishes to  
13 characterize his institutionalization and his  
14 frequent in and out of jail on contempt  
15 charges and other various minor offenses.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

17 THE COURT: Overruled.

18 MR. REO: He's stated that he's  
19 been arrested repeatedly for numerous  
20 violations, that he's sued multiple judges in  
21 the State of Missouri.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

24 MR. REO: He stated that his  
25 goal is to bring about a civil war to kill

1 judges, lawyers, and police. If anything was,  
2 in deed, defamatory about him, I don't believe  
3 this man could quantify a damage. He's said  
4 he has not been gainfully employed in over  
5 twenty years --

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: -- and that he lives  
9 alone with his common law partner. He's  
10 offered absolutely no evidence that he's been  
11 damaged in any way, that his reputation has  
12 been damaged, that he suffered an emotional  
13 damage.

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

15 THE COURT: Overruled.

16 MR. REO: He said he's enjoying  
17 these proceedings and that he finds this to be  
18 amusing and he considers it a game. So I  
19 don't believe he's been psychologically,  
20 emotionally, physically, or financially  
21 damaged in any capacity. I believe his  
22 reputation is, as he said, a domestic  
23 terrorist. It's not possible to damage him  
24 any further in reputation and he's a public  
25 figure, who by his own admission was publicly

1 kicked out of the Missouri Libertarian Party  
2 when they found out about his views.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: About what?

4 MR. REO: He mentioned that in  
5 his narrative.

6 MR. LINDSTEDT: About his -- about my  
7 what?

8 MR. REO: You were kicked out  
9 of the Missouri Libertarian Party in a public  
10 manner after they found out about your views.  
11 You said that during your narrative.

12 THE COURT: I'm going to  
13 overrule --

14 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

15 THE COURT: -- the motion for  
16 directed verdict as to defamation. You did  
17 refer to Mr. Lindstedt as a pedophile. That  
18 has the potential of being defamation per se.  
19 I'll overrule the directed verdict as to  
20 defamation.

21 As to tortious interference with a  
22 contract in the counterclaim, Mr. Reo?

23 MR. REO: Your Honor, the  
24 defendant has offered no evidence that he  
25 suffered a pecuniary damage, which is an



1 element of tortious interference in a contract  
2 claim. He's never alleged that he generates a  
3 revenue from any of his websites, nor has he  
4 alleged unlawful or improper interference or  
5 that a breach was procured by me.

6 The defendant readily admitted that he did  
7 not read the terms of service of thhe various  
8 agreements --

9 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

10 MR. REO: -- between himself  
11 and his various servers. The defendant  
12 readily agreed that he was likely in violation  
13 of those terms based on the content on his  
14 websites and the defendant has offered no  
15 evidence that I've done anything other than --

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

17 MR. REO: -- make complaints --

18 THE COURT: Overruled. Allow him  
19 to finish his --

20 MR. REO: The defendant has  
21 allowed no evidence that I've done anything  
22 other than submit complaints to the various  
23 web providers to inform them that terms of  
24 service were being violated, which I was  
25 privileged to do to protect my reputation from

1                   defamation and to protect myself from public  
2                   disclosure of private personal and  
3                   confidential information.

4                   THE COURT:        Mr. Lindstedt?

5                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo has admitted  
6                   he's engaged in these acts of, you know, these  
7                   -- these cheap websites, didn't generate the  
8                   action himself, Mr. Reo did, he admitted  
9                   several times. As far as what the damages  
10                  are, that's a matter of fact for the jury to  
11                  decide, not Mr. Reo. As far as Mr. Reo  
12                  thinking he's privileged on whatever  
13                  information, this is simply myself publishing  
14                  public information.

15                  This court itself has ruled -- has ruled  
16                  that his claim for defamation prior to one  
17                  year due to the statute of limitations are  
18                  barred from being heard. Mr. Reo has -- Mr.  
19                  Reo keeps on admitting he's the one who  
20                  generated these complaints so that the  
21                  tortious interference could occur.

22                  THE COURT:        Thank you. I'm going  
23                  to grant the motion for directed verdict. The  
24                  court finds that Mr. Reo had a justification  
25                  or privilege to make complaints. What the

1                   companies chose to do with those complaints  
2                   was up to that company, so I don't believe  
3                   that you showed what's necessary for tortious  
4                   interference with economic relations.

5                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection then.

6                   THE COURT:        Sure.

7                   MR. REO:            I have one question,  
8                   Your Honor, regarding the defamation  
9                   counterclaim.  Would the one year statute of  
10                  limitations also apply to his counterclaim,  
11                  because he's never offered any evidence that I  
12                  called him a child molester or a pedophile at  
13                  any time prior to --

14                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

15                  MR. REO:            I'm sorry, after  
16                  2014.

17                  THE COURT:        It does and your  
18                  motion is overruled.

19                  As to intentional infliction of emotional  
20                  distress?

21                  MR. REO:            We're still on his  
22                  counterclaim, Your Honor?

23                  THE COURT:        Yes.

24                  MR. REO:            The defendant, by his  
25                  own admission, said that he's enjoying these

1           proceedings, considers this a game and he  
2           finds this fun. One of the elements of an  
3           intentional infliction of emotional distress  
4           claim is that the mental anguish suffered by  
5           the plaintiff must be extreme, the defendant  
6           must intend to cause emotional distress. The  
7           conduct must be so extreme and outrageous as  
8           to go beyond all possible bounds of decency,  
9           and then there must be proximate causation of  
10          the injuries that result to the plaintiff's  
11          psyche.

12                 Mr. Lindstedt has failed to demonstrate,  
13                 one, that I've caused him or intended to cause  
14                 him any emotional distress.

15                         MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

16                         THE COURT: Overruled.

17                         MR. REO: He's failed to  
18                 demonstrate that my conduct in initiating  
19                 litigation and proceeding with litigation  
20                 against him is extreme and outrageous, let  
21                 alone extreme and outrageous as to go beyond  
22                 all possible bounds of decency.

23                         As to the proximate cause, there is no  
24                 injured psyche. He's enjoying these  
25                 proceedings. He has not offered any testimony

1 or any evidence that he suffered anxiety,  
2 depression, any sort of physical manifestation  
3 of any sort of mental anguish. So in the  
4 absence of mental anguish there's no  
5 causation, there can be no causation for  
6 injury that doesn't exist.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt?

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo in his  
9 federal lawsuit was claiming \$10 million for  
10 his -- for his problem. I am --

11 MR. REO: Objection.

12 THE COURT: Overruled.

13 MR. LINDSTEDT: I am not -- I am not  
14 a whiner. Mr. Reo has nothing but malicious  
15 intent for me as he has many times said and  
16 like he said in a number of places where I was  
17 not allowed to attend, Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo  
18 could have simply left me alone any time after  
19 I found out his true name and identity, what  
20 he was doing.

21 As far as -- as far as the emotional --  
22 his emotional damage done, I'm pretty well --  
23 I'm pretty well -- you know, I'm pretty well  
24 strong, but Mr. Reo did intend -- has intended  
25 to cause me a good deal of damage simply

1                   because -- simply because I am publishing a  
2                   public record about himself and what he's been  
3                   up to.

4                   THE COURT:           I'm going to grant  
5                   the motion for directed verdict. I do not  
6                   find any proof that Mr. Reo intentionally or  
7                   recklessly acted in an extreme or outrageous  
8                   manner. I don't find any proof that his  
9                   actions caused you any psychic or physical  
10                  injuries and I don't find that you had any  
11                  mental anguish that was admitted into  
12                  evidence.

13                  I believe that covers all of the  
14                  counterclaims in this case leaving just the  
15                  one for defamation.

16                  Let's go to the plaintiff's causes of  
17                  action. A cause of action for libel per se.  
18                  Mr. Lindstedt, what do you have to say about  
19                  that?

20                  MR. LINDSTEDT:           Mr. -- Mr. Reo  
21                  starts out by calling me a convicted child  
22                  molester many times and I called him back a  
23                  homosexual mongrel and a satanic --  
24                  essentially a mongrel abomination. He liked  
25                  -- he liked pretending he was a sword brethren

1                   and teutonic crusader, he liked pretending  
2                   that he was a white supremacist and Christian  
3                   Identity pastor. I knew beginning that he was  
4                   not and so as far as libel per -- as far as  
5                   libel per se and what Mr. Reo has attempted to  
6                   claim and, by the way, I'm not quiet sure  
7                   which libel per se he is complaining about,  
8                   because -- well, instead of being homosexual  
9                   based upon his actions, which I was not able  
10                  to bring up, is no great deal anymore, but  
11                  more to the point Mr. -- Mr. Reo started; I  
12                  merely replied in kind.

13                                 THE COURT:         Mr. Reo?

14                                 MR. REO:             First of all, I would  
15                                 say there is no truth to the allegation that  
16                                 I'm a homosexual. Whether or not that's an  
17                                 issue today in our culture, society is not  
18                                 relevant. The allegation is untrue. He  
19                                 also --

20                                 THE COURT:         It is not per case  
21                                 law defamation per se.

22                                 MR. REO:             However, he did  
23                                 accuse me of unprofessional conduct vis-a-vis  
24                                 having sex with magistrates, judges, and my  
25                                 then employer, Mr. Bristow, which allegatios

1 of unprofessional conduct or that one is unfit  
2 in their trade is per se defamatory. He also,  
3 after the filing of the 15CV case and before  
4 the filing of the 16CV case, accused me of  
5 barratry, fraud, unauthorized practice of law,  
6 wire fraud and mail fraud. Accusations that  
7 impute criminal conduct are per se defamatory.  
8 He's offered no evidence that I've ever been  
9 convicted or even charged with any of those  
10 con -- any of those crimes.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule  
12 the motion. You did admit to posting that  
13 Mr. Reo killed someone in South Carolina car  
14 accident and kept it up even when you knew it  
15 was untrue. You described him engaging in  
16 perjury and you described him engaging in  
17 extortion, mail fraud, and wire fraud.

18 The second cause of action, invasion of  
19 privacy - false light, Mr. Lindstedt?

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: I was merely -- I was  
21 merely making an opinion on what I found --  
22 what I found out sometime while Mr. Reo was  
23 making stalking charge that he had indeed  
24 worked at the North Perry Nuclear Plant and  
25 that there was an internal security error.



1           Knowing Mr. Reo, he went and decided to claim  
2           that he was the victim. It is my opinion that  
3           yes, he had -- they went and found out his  
4           past history of a want-to-be white  
5           supremacist, figured out that yes, he was, he  
6           was an internal security problem, just as if  
7           he found out the son of Bin Laden's third  
8           cousin was working there. So yeah, this was  
9           merely -- merely an opinion that -- the reason  
10          is is that it was a very badly run nuclear  
11          power plant and that they should have -- they  
12          should have done a better search of Mr. Reo's  
13          past history. Mr. Reo was, of course, trying  
14          to conceal sit, so he took down -- he took  
15          down his Talk Shoe show where he was talking  
16          with William Finck about the glories of Hitler  
17          and a number of other things and calling me a  
18          child molester, a convicted one at that.

19                           THE COURT:        Very good.

20           Mr. Reo, before giving you the opportunity  
21          to respond, I do want to put on the record, as  
22          to all your claims that involved Roxie  
23          Fausnaught, I'm granting directed verdicts in  
24          her favor. There has been no facts elicited  
25          during this trial that show that she committed

1                   any of these torts against you. I find that  
2                   one conclusion upon the evidence submitted and  
3                   that conclusion is adverse to you.

4                   As to the false light claims against Mr.  
5                   Lindstedt, what's your response?

6                   MR. REO:           Mr. Lindstedt, by his  
7                   own admission stated that he had no factual  
8                   basis one way or the other for what happened  
9                   at the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, how my  
10                  employment came to an end, whether I was quit  
11                  or terminated, why it came to an end. He  
12                  speculated wrongly, might I add; wildly and  
13                  recklessly, might I add, that I attempted to  
14                  sabotage the reactor core. His own website, a  
15                  post that he admitted making in late 2015, I  
16                  believe, when it was dated, referred to a  
17                  radioactive mistake on the lake and he talked  
18                  about how I was planning to blow up the plant,  
19                  yet I've never been charged with any crime and  
20                  I'm not presently incarcerated. So I would  
21                  think had I tried to sabotage and blow up the  
22                  reactor core of a nuclear power plant that I  
23                  wouldn't be here right now sitting here  
24                  talking to you.

25                  And he admitted that he had absolutely no

1                    firsthand knowledge, that he did not work at  
2                    the plant and he never worked for the  
3                    FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, yet he  
4                    chose to take an incident that occurred at the  
5                    plant that he had no knowledge of and cast it  
6                    in a light that would be seriously  
7                    objectionable and it would make me look as bad  
8                    as possible.

9                                       THE COURT:            The motion is  
10                    overruled.

11                                       As to common law invasion of privacy -  
12                    public disclosure of private facts,  
13                    Mr. Lindstedt?

14                                       MR. LINDSTEDT: I had no idea there  
15                    was such a thing as private facts. I merely  
16                    -- I merely, as far as I know of, I am  
17                    publishing what is supposed to be a public  
18                    record. I had no idea there was such a thing  
19                    as private facts. Reading the jury  
20                    instructions, they say in the days of the  
21                    internet there really was no, quote,  
22                    "privileged private facts." I did not get in  
23                    between Mr. Reo working with anyone else as a  
24                    -- as a lawyer.

25                                       I really -- I never really -- I find the



1 MR. REO: -- which he readily  
2 admitted and then defended by saying he was  
3 not the one who initially published the  
4 document, he only published it --

5 THE COURT: Sustained. You keep  
6 saying your Social Security number.

7 MR. REO: It had the first five  
8 of the number --

9 THE COURT: Redacted.

10 MR. REO: Right, but the last  
11 four appear frequently for security code  
12 purposes where you have to enter them on  
13 websites. So if someone has the first five  
14 and then they get the last four, that's  
15 everything. I really don't want people having  
16 the first five of my Social Security number.  
17 The first five of my Social Security number,  
18 that is not public information. That's a  
19 private fact, along with my driver's license  
20 number.

21 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. --

22 MR. REO: My height and my  
23 weight, my current address, my voter  
24 registration, plenty of information someone  
25 could use to steal my identity.

1 THE COURT: The motion for  
2 directed verdict is overruled. As to the  
3 intentional infliction of emotional distress,  
4 Mr. Lindstedt?

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. Reo has --  
6 has harassed -- has harassed countless people.  
7 When I found out his true -- you know, he used  
8 his ability and, you know, Mr. Finck and the  
9 rest, they still -- you know, Mr. Reo would  
10 pretty well stalk people, threaten people and  
11 a lot of that ceased when Mr. Reo was  
12 revealed. Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo essentially  
13 wants to be a -- you know, use the anonymity  
14 of the internet to go and harass people who  
15 claim to be Christian Identity for no other  
16 reason, for no other reason than an elderly  
17 Macedonia woman in a wheelchair went and  
18 laughed because she speaks Greek and, you  
19 know, pretty much everyone who does speak  
20 Greek makes fun of William Finck as a Greek  
21 scholar.

22 As far as -- as far as Mr. -- Mr. Reo  
23 threatening to rape John Britton's wife and  
24 daughters, I've seen that -- I've seen that in  
25 Talk Shoe.

1 MR. REO: Objection.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. -- Mr.

4 Britton seen that and pretty well a lot of  
5 stuff is gone from Talk Shoe just like  
6 Youtube. But, you know, Mr. Britton probably  
7 wasn't amused by saying that.

8 MR. REO: Objection.

9 THE COURT: Overruled.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: I wasn't really  
11 worried about your -- well, your -- you know,  
12 your daughter's genital parts. I worry about  
13 your own. But anyway, what happens is that  
14 Mr. Reo -- Mr. Reo did make that comment and  
15 that is -- that is the cause of Mr. Britton  
16 screaming at him when Mr. Reo called into my  
17 show on November --

18 THE COURT: Okay. You're going a  
19 little far afield. I'm going to let Mr. Reo  
20 respond to your motion.

21 MR. REO: Mr. Lindstedt has  
22 admitted that his goal in all of this is to  
23 see me disbarred --

24 MR. LINDSTEDT: Disbarred.

25 MR. REO: -- which would

1           necessarily cause me extreme emotional  
2           distress with the destruction of my career.  
3           He said that he hopes when potential clients  
4           look me up on the internet they see all of  
5           this stuff.

6                     This conduct he's engaged in is completely  
7           outrageous and extreme.  It's gone beyond all  
8           possible bounds of decency in this --

9                     MR. LINDSTEDT:  Objection.

10                    MR. REO:           -- community or any  
11           community.

12                    THE COURT:        Overruled.

13                    MR. REO:        He keeps talking  
14           about community standards in Missouri.  I  
15           can't imagine that community standards in  
16           Missouri are so low that people would be  
17           permitted to make allegations of criminal  
18           conduct against an attorney who has a  
19           reputation to defend in the community.  So  
20           there's absolutely no basis for the conduct  
21           he's engaged in.  It's completely uncalled  
22           for.  It's beyond the pale really.  It's hard  
23           to describe and put into words --

24                    MR. LINDSTEDT:  Objection.

25                    THE COURT:        Overruled.



1 MR. REO: -- the anguish this  
2 has put me through, the sleepless nights, the  
3 fluctuation of my appetite and my weight, my  
4 gastrointestinal problems, the anxiety, the  
5 bouts of depression and he stated that he  
6 embarked on this course of action intending to  
7 cause me career problems, hoping to get me  
8 disbarred.

9 So not only would he have been reckless or  
10 negligent, he said that he undertook this  
11 willfully, knowingly, and with a deliberate  
12 purpose and his conduct is the proximate  
13 result of the injuries that I've suffered to  
14 my psyche and the anguish I've suffered is  
15 incredibly extreme.

16 THE COURT: I'm going to quote  
17 from Paragraph 55 of a 2018 case.

18 MR. REO: 2018, Your Honor?

19 THE COURT: Correct. Clay vs.  
20 Schriver, S-C-H-R-I-V-E-R, Allison Courtley,  
21 C-O-U-R-T-L-E-Y, Company and the citation is  
22 2018 Ohio 3371 at Paragraph 55. It states,  
23 "The Ohio Supreme Court has stated the need  
24 for some, quote, 'guarantee of genuineness,'  
25 unquote that, quote, 'ensures that the mental

1 injury is serious enough to be rendered  
2 compensable,'" end quote. Quote, "A plaintiff  
3 in a case for intentional infliction of  
4 emotional distress must present some evidence  
5 beyond the plaintiff's own testimony that he  
6 or she experienced emotional distress due to  
7 the defendant's actions," unquote. You do not  
8 have that in this case and the motion for  
9 directed verdict is granted.

10 MR. LINDSTEDT: Motion is granted?

11 THE COURT: Is granted.

12 MR. LINDSTEDT: The federal -- the  
13 federal judge said Mr. Reo --

14 THE COURT: Do you know -- you  
15 won.

16 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I won?

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I'll shut up  
19 then.

20 THE COURT: That's probably --  
21 probably smart.

22 As to the claim of common law invasion of  
23 privacy - invasion of seclusion, Mr.  
24 Lindstedt?

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo -- I wasn't

1           allowed to talk about Mr. Reo's past and Mr.  
2           Reo lied. But if Mr. Reo is different from  
3           someone named Sword Brethren, and he is not,  
4           but, in any case, any seclusion would be to a  
5           mythical figure on the internet called Sword  
6           Brethren, but if Mr. Reo is indeed Sword  
7           Brethren, I merely stated, you know, the  
8           truth.

9           As far as his common law, you know, I  
10          don't -- didn't know that the common law as  
11          existed at the time of the revolution or any  
12          other time gave someone the ability to hide  
13          out on the internet and play -- play games and  
14          pretend to be a teutonic crusader or Christian  
15          Identity pastor or a white supremacist at all.  
16          As far as -- as far as common law, I doubt  
17          that the common law would allow Mr. -- you  
18          know, Mr. Reo to get away with some of the  
19          stuff that he did on the internet. My finding  
20          out Mr. Reo's identity pretty well put a crimp  
21          in his fun and games quite a bit and, you  
22          know, pretty well his threats against other  
23          people who did not know. In fact, to this  
24          very day Mr. Finck spells his name B-R-I-A-N  
25          if he mentions it or he says, "Sword

1 Brethren."

2 MR. REO: Objection.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 MR. LINDSTEDT: So if a Sword

5 Brethren isn't Mr. Reo and for all practical  
6 purposes because of my not showing up in time  
7 or whatever, Mr. -- you know, Mr. -- whoever  
8 Bryan Reo is, he didn't have a common law to  
9 do what he did.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Reo?

11 MR. REO: The invasion of  
12 seclusion and invasion of privacy, intrusion  
13 on the seclusion can be reasonably concluded  
14 based on some of the circumstances surrounding  
15 the receipt of packages that I testified to.  
16 A reasonable trier --

17 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 MR. REO: -- a reasonable trier  
20 of fact could conclude that based on the  
21 timeline for when I reported receiving the  
22 maneuver, the push-up bra, the women's  
23 clothing, that since it occurred within two  
24 weeks of the issuance of the CPSO restraining  
25 the defendant, Martin Lindstedt, from

1                   contacting me, that the arrival of manure,  
2                   particularly in light of the fact that on his  
3                   website he admitted around the same time  
4                   posting a picture of himself dressed up as  
5                   Santa Claus putting poop --

6                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Kramp -- Krampus  
7                   Klaus.

8                   MR. REO:           -- putting poof in a  
9                   stocking bearing my name, saying, "Guess what  
10                  you're getting" --

11                  MR. LINDSTEDT: And --

12                  MR. REO:           -- a reasonable --  
13                  please don't interrupt me. A reasonable trier  
14                  of fact could conclude from the timing of all  
15                  of that, combined with the post on his  
16                  website, the push-up bra and women's clothing  
17                  combined with his on-the-record accusations  
18                  saying I'm a homosexual and a tranny, that  
19                  those items arrived at my house either having  
20                  been ordered by him or sent by him directly.

21                  THE COURT:        Your motion is  
22                  overruled.

23                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Did I win?

24                  THE COURT:        No, you lost that  
25                  one.

1 MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I lost that one?

2 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: Is there any proof  
4 that I did it?

5 THE COURT: As to statutory  
6 criminal liability, what's your argument on  
7 that, Mr. Lindstedt?

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: I didn't know I had  
9 any criminal liability. I -- I did not send  
10 -- I did not -- I did not post on NIMBusters,  
11 I did not post on Hate and Flame, I did not  
12 send Bryan Reo any manure or push-up -- you  
13 know, push-up bras or any other stuff. In  
14 fact, many times I have -- I have said, "Leave  
15 -- you know, leave this -- leave this critter  
16 alone. Do not -- do not do anything to him."  
17 In fact, I have pointed out that --

18 THE COURT: When you mean,  
19 "critter," you're talking about Mr. Reo?

20 MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. Reo, yeah.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. LINDSTEDT: I have never -- I've  
23 never -- I've never -- I've never sent Mr. Reo  
24 -- I have never -- I've never initiated any  
25 call to Mr. Reo, in fact. He's called me a

1 couple times. I have never -- I have never  
2 called Mr. Reo. And since he was threatening  
3 to reduce me to having to use a rotary  
4 telephone, he was going to take me off the  
5 internet and all that good stuff, whenever  
6 possible I -- I would use a John Welty  
7 (phonetic) account, I would not use my own  
8 account. When it came time to -- before the  
9 stalking order, before the bogus stalking  
10 order, I have not contacted or -- in fact, I  
11 have tried to discourage anyone having any  
12 contact with Bryan Reo.

13 THE COURT: Thank you.

14 Mr. Reo?

15 MR. REO: The defendant has  
16 engaged in a pattern of conduct that a  
17 reasonable trier of fact could conclude is  
18 menacing by stalking, which is a crime in the  
19 State of Ohio based on the packages that  
20 arrived over a multi -- you know, a period of  
21 time based on the solicitation for my murder  
22 and my father's murder. He's also engaged in  
23 conduct that could potentially be aggravated  
24 menacing.

25 MR. LINDSTEDT: Objection.

1                   MR. REO:           He's also used  
2                   telecommunication devices to abuse, threaten,  
3                   or harass another person, specifically the  
4                   World Wide Web, the internet, email and other  
5                   electronic means as defined by Ohio law. A  
6                   reasonable trier of fact could conclude that  
7                   there have been predicate crimes,  
8                   telecommunications harassment, menacing by  
9                   stalking, and aggravated menacing sufficient  
10                  to support a finding that there's statutory  
11                  criminal liability giving me a civil cause of  
12                  action.

13                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. -- Mr. --

14                  THE COURT:        Revised Code Section  
15                  2307.60(A)(1) provides "anyone injured in  
16                  person or property by a criminal act," that's  
17                  the beginning of it. I do not find there is  
18                  proof of injury in person or property and I do  
19                  not find proof sufficient to refer to what was  
20                  going on as a criminal act. A criminal act  
21                  requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt. I  
22                  hesitate to say that it even came close to  
23                  proof beyond a reasonable doubt that a  
24                  criminal act occurred here and the motion for  
25                  directed verdict is granted. You won that



1                   one.

2                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Oh, I won?

3                   THE COURT:        Yeah.

4                   MR. LINDSTEDT: I just don't see  
5                   where there's any proof. There's no proof at  
6                   all.

7                   THE COURT:        Well, when you win, I  
8                   think the best thing to do is just stop.

9                   MR. LINDSTEDT: Well, I'm not sure  
10                  whether I won or lost.

11                  THE COURT:        Well, you won than  
12                  one.

13                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay.

14                  THE COURT:        To the common law  
15                  civil conspiracy, Mr. Lindstedt?

16                  MR. LINDSTEDT: I have no idea, I  
17                  think he was saying that Roxie, who is  
18                  illiterate, I'm not quite sure who I can --

19                  THE COURT:        Is she illiterate? I  
20                  thought she was barely literate.

21                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Barely literate.  
22                  Well, most -- barely literate I would say is  
23                  true. I -- like on the last ones, I -- you  
24                  know, she writes, "I trust Martin" and one  
25                  time she writes, "I trusted Martin." I told

1 her to scratch out the "ed" because, you know,  
2 I hope she still trusts me. But she is -- you  
3 know, she doesn't -- she doesn't even -- she  
4 doesn't even read whatever Bryan Reo sends  
5 her.

6 THE COURT: Very good.

7 Mr. Reo?

8 MR. REO: Ms. Fausnaught filed  
9 a letter with the court, which it appears to  
10 be in her handwriting, it appears to be signed  
11 by her.

12 THE COURT: Are you familiar with  
13 her handwriting?

14 MR. REO: Well, it was signed  
15 by -- well, no, I'm not, Your Honor. I'm not  
16 familiar with her handwriting. But it was  
17 signed by someone purporting to be Ms.  
18 Fausnaught. Mr. Lindstedt attached it to a  
19 document and referenced it as an exhibit  
20 calling it "illiterate letter from Roxie."

21 THE COURT: And is that in front  
22 of the jury?

23 MR. REO: I don't believe the  
24 jury has seen that.

25 THE COURT: So that means they

1 won't see that.

2 MR. REO: Mr. Lindstedt did  
3 testify and I did testify that Ms. Fausnaught  
4 signed the arti -- or someone purporting to be  
5 Ms. Fausnaught signed the articles of  
6 incorporation for the Church of Jesus Christ,  
7 Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri.

8 MR. LINDSTEDT: What's the crime in  
9 that?

10 MR. REO: It might stand to  
11 reason that somebody signing articles of  
12 incorporation would be at least minimally  
13 literate. Furthermore, if Mr. Lindstedt did  
14 not conspire with Ms. Fausnaught, he certainly  
15 was in conspiracy with the church as a  
16 separate entity from himself to the extent  
17 that he posts material on a website that is  
18 captioned --

19 THE COURT: You want to have it  
20 both ways that Mr. Lindstedt and the church  
21 are so inextricably intertwined that they  
22 pierced the corporate veil --

23 MR. LINDSTEDT: Yeah.

24 THE COURT: -- but they are also  
25 so separate that they can conspire?



1                   this time?

2                   MR. REO:           Your Honor, I was  
3                   under the impression that punitive damages had  
4                   to be pleaded separately and that there might  
5                   be a bifurcation issue on those proceedings  
6                   and I'm not sure administratively, how did you  
7                   want to handle any possible bifurcation?

8                   THE COURT:        If there is any  
9                   claims that have the potential for punitive  
10                  damages, those will be in the jury verdict for  
11                  them to determine whether or not there is  
12                  punitive damages available.

13                  MR. REO:           The piercing of the  
14                  corporate veil, will that be ruled upon as a  
15                  matter of law by Your Honor or will the --

16                  THE COURT:        Yes. Well, there  
17                  will be an instruction to the jury as to  
18                  piercing of the corporate veil.

19                  MR. REO:           So they will find  
20                  facts then consistent or inconsistent with  
21                  supporting of the piercing of that veil?

22                  THE COURT:        That will be their  
23                  job.

24                  MR. REO:           All right. Then no  
25                  questions, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Lindstedt, what  
2 time are we going to see you tomorrow?

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: 8:00.

4 THE COURT: Very good.

5 MR. LINDSTEDT: I'm trying to -- I'm  
6 trying to figure out whether -- whether I'm  
7 going to lose any money because Mr. Reo is,  
8 you know --

9 THE COURT: That's up to the  
10 jury.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: So in short, is the  
12 jury going to hear a bunch of this stuff or  
13 what?

14 THE COURT: Yes.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. As far as  
16 piercing the corporate veil --

17 THE COURT: You testified that  
18 you and the church are one in the same.

19 MR. LINDSTEDT: Pretty much, yeah. I  
20 mean, but on the other hand, I don't see --  
21 well, I don't see what I did wrong, but I'm --  
22 I'll try to go ahead and make heads or sense  
23 of it. Will you have -- do you have this  
24 drafted already?

25 THE COURT: Hopefully it will be

1 prepared for you to review in the morning at  
2 8:00.

3 MR. LINDSTEDT: At 8:00. When does  
4 the courthouse open?

5 THE COURT: 7:30 maybe.

6 THE BAILIFF: 7:30-ish, yeah.

7 THE COURT: But this courtroom  
8 will not be open prior to 8:00. The  
9 courthouse will be open. This courtroom will  
10 not be open prior to 8:00.

11 MR. LINDSTEDT: Prior to 8:00. And  
12 are any of my causes going to the jury?

13 THE COURT: The cause of  
14 defamation.

15 MR. LINDSTEDT: Okay. Are -- are any  
16 of Mr. Reo's things going to the jury?

17 THE COURT: Everything that I  
18 didn't grant your motion for directed verdict.  
19 If you weren't keeping track, you'll see it  
20 tomorrow morning.

21 MR. REO: One question before  
22 we leave, Your Honor?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. REO: The Church of Jesus  
25 Christ, Christian/Aryan Nations of Missouri

1                   was placed into a state of default by the  
2                   former presiding Judge Collins. How will we  
3                   be proceeding as to the claims against the  
4                   church? Will you be admitting the factual  
5                   allegations and submitting the questions to  
6                   the jury as to damages?

7                   THE COURT:        They are going to  
8                   rely on what they heard as evidence during  
9                   this -- this trial.

10                  MR. REO:         They will make then  
11                  all findings?

12                  THE COURT:        Yes.

13                  MR. LINDSTEDT: Mr. --

14                  THE COURT:        Okay. We're done,  
15                  Mr. Lindstedt. We'll see you in the morning.

16                  MR. LINDSTEDT: All right.

17                                 - - - - -

18                                 (Trial Adjourned at 3:50 P.M.)

19                                 - - - - -

20

21

22

23

24

25



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan Goodell, Official Court Reporter, in  
and for the Court of Common Pleas, Lake County, Ohio, hereby  
certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true and  
complete transcript of the testimony requested to be  
transcribed from my Stenograph notes, taken at the time and  
place designated herein.

WITNESS MY SIGNATURE THIS 1st day of October,  
A.D. 2019.

---

Susan Goodell  
Official Court Reporter and Notary Public  
Lake County Courthouse  
47 North Park Place  
Painesville, Ohio 44077  
440-350-2313  
sgoodell@lakecountyohio.gov  
My Commission Expires: February 9, 2022  
Recorded in Lake County