Case: 1:19-cv-02589-CAB Doc #: 7 Filed: 11/14/19 1 of 3. PageID #: 64

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

BRYAN ANTHONY REO,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-02589-CAB

Hon. Christopher A. Boyko

Mag. Thomas M. Parker

MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

NOW COMES Bryan Anthony Reo, Plaintiff Pro Se, and hereby propounds upon this Honorable Court and Defendant, Plaintiff's Motion for a More Definite Statement.

Plaintiff hereby makes this motion pursuant to F.R.C.P 12(e) on the basis that the Defendant has filed a rambling incoherent answer which purports to contain a counter-claim although it is unclear what, if any, such claims consist of or are based on.

In his frivolous pleading defendant Lindstedt purports or attempts to join Plaintiff's wife Stefani Rossi Reo, Plaintiff's father Anthony Domenic Reo, Plaintiff's former employer Kyle Bristow, Plaintiff's former counsel in a previous action Brett Klimkowsky, the presiding judge from the previous state court case wherein Plaintiff prevailed against Defendant for libel Judge Patrick Condon, the entire Lake County Court of Common Pleas, the State of Ohio, and the "US Federal Government."

Case: 1:19-cv-02589-CAB Doc #: 7 Filed: 11/14/19 2 of 3. PageID #: 65

Defendant also states he is adding his 501c3 corporate entity, Church of Jesus Christ Christian Aryan Nations of Missouri as a Defendant and a counter-claimant, which means the document in question constitutes advocacy on behalf of a corporation by a non-attorney and it must be stricken and treated as a nullity.

The content of Defendant's answer and counter-claim is so ambiguous and confusing it is impossible for Plaintiff to meaningfully and intelligently respond to the allegations in the counterclaim, if any, and thus Plaintiff's due process rights would be violated by requiring him to respond to the counter-claim as written.

Defendant's Answer and Counter-Claim is frankly sovereign citizen garbage that Plaintiff cannot even begin to make sense of, let alone meaningfully respond to.

Lindstedt should be ordered to provide a more definite statement.

Respectfully submitted,

REO LAW, LLC

/s/ Bryan A. Reo Bryan A. Reo, Esq. P.O. Box 5100 Mentor, OH 44061 (Business): (216) 505-0811 (Mobile): (440) 313-5893 (Email): reo@reolaw.org Ohio Law License - #0097470 Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se

Dated: November 14, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Bryan A. Reo, affirm that I am the Plaintiff in the above-captioned civil action, and on November 14, 2019, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court by using the Court's Electronic Filing System, which should send notification of said filing to all attorneys of record who are registered to receive such electronic service for the instant civil action.

Additionally an electronic copy has been dispatched to <u>pastorlindstedt@gmail.com</u> which is the defendant's email address.

/s/ Bryan A. Reo Bryan A. Reo, Esq. P.O. Box 5100 Mentor, OH 44061 (Business): (216) 505-0811 (Mobile): (440) 313-5893 (Email): reo@reolaw.org Ohio Law License - #0097470 Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se

Dated: November 14, 2019