

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
LAKE COUNTY, OHIO**

BRYAN ANTHONY REO

:

Case #- 2019-L-073

Appellee,

:


Case #- 2019-L-074

vs.

:

MARTIN LINDSTEDT et al.

Appellant.



**APPELLEE'S MOTION**  
**FOR EXTENSION OF**  
**TIME TO FILE**  
**APPELLEE'S BRIEF**

---

**APPELLEE'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION  
OF TIME TO FILE APPELLEE'S BRIEF**

---

**REO LAW LLC**

By: Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(P): (440) 313-5893  
(E): Reo@ReoLaw.org  
*Pro Se Appellee & Attorney*

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT**

338 Rabbit Track Road  
Granby, MO 64844  
(P): (417) 472-6901  
(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com  
*Pro se Appellant*

Appellee hereby moves this Honorable Court to grant an extension of time for the filing of Appellee's Brief, to and including April 6, 2020.

Appellee wishes the Court to note that Appellant Lindstedt has previously requested, and received, two 30 day extensions for his brief. Appellee now respectfully requests a third [and hopefully final] 30 day extension. Appellant Lindstedt has also filed numerous post-judgment motions [which remain pending] and delayed post-judgment discovery in the underlying civil action at the trial court level.

Appellee respectfully requests one additional 30 day extension such that the Appellees Brief will be due on or by 4/6/2020, April 6, 2020. Appellee has thus far only requested two previous extensions and there are extenuating circumstances particular and unique to the instant appeal that warrant giving an extension in this instance. Appellee is also working on his brief for additional other appeals arising from this case, 2019-L-136 and 2019-L-137, and is a licensed Ohio attorney responsible for multiple client matters. Appellant Lindstedt is also engaged in obstructionist conduct in four other cases being litigated against Appellant in United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio.

The purpose of the extension request is not delay or for any improper purpose. Appellant's Brief is largely incoherent and incomprehensible and a dispositive motion is pending and has been pending since December 19, 2019 [almost 60 days ago] which would potentially dispose of the entire matter or strike the Appellant's Brief.

On December 19, 2019 Appellee filed with this Court, Appellee's Motion to Dismiss Appeal or to Strike Appellant's Brief, wherein Appellee requests the entire appeal be dismissed as a sanction for unauthorized practice of law on the behalf of the corporate appellant Church of Jesus Christ Christian Aryan Nations of Missouri, for being in substantial non-compliance with the Local Rules and Rules of Appellate Procedure in regards to pleading standards, for being abusive, scandalous, outrageous, as well as being rambling, incoherent, incomprehensible, and patently frivolous for seeking a new trial on the basis of an "African American woman being on the jury." In the alternative Appellee has moved for the striking of the Appellant's Brief because it constitutes clear unauthorized practice of law and is simply incomprehensible and cannot be intelligently or meaningfully responded to as it presently stands.

Appellee wishes this Court to note that Appellant has not even filed opposition to the Motion to Dismiss the Appeal or in the alternative to Strike the Appellant's Brief.

For the sake of judicial economy, it makes little sense for Appellee to brief something that is incomprehensible and possibly going to be stricken or dismissed, and perhaps have to brief the matter again in the event the Appellant's Brief is stricken rather than dismissed. A ruling on the pending dispositive motion would provide crucial and much-appreciated guidance as to how Appellee should proceed. Additionally, Appellee has conferred with an attorney specializing in appeals who has quoted Appellee a particular price to assist with the appeal and the brief. Appellee wishes to avoid a situation of paying a fellow Ohio attorney, an appellate specialist, to help draft a brief, only to have the Appellant's Brief ultimately stricken after

Appellee files his brief, and then having to go through responding to the next Appellant's Brief, or filing a brief and having the appeal ultimately dismissed.

Appellee therefore requests an extension of time to and including April 6, 2020, within which to file Appellee's brief.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

X

Bryan Reo  
REO LAW LLC

By: Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(Business): (216) 505-0811

(Mobile): (440) 313-5893

(E): [Reo@ReoLaw.org](mailto:Reo@ReoLaw.org)

*Attorney and Pro Se Appellee*

### Certificate of Service

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, do hereby certify that a true and genuine copy of this Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time has been dispatched by United States regular mail, postage prepaid to the Defendant at:

Martin Lindstedt  
338 Rabbit Track Road  
Granby, Missouri 64844

On this 18 day of Feb, 2020

X

Bryan Reo

Appellee files his brief, and then having to go through responding to the next Appellant's Brief, or filing a brief and having the appeal ultimately dismissed.

Appellee therefore requests an extension of time to and including April 6, 2020, within which to file Appellee's brief.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

X

Bryan Reo  
REO LAW LLC

By: Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(Business): (216) 505-0811

(Mobile): (440) 313-5893

(E): [Reo@ReoLaw.org](mailto:Reo@ReoLaw.org)

*Attorney and Pro Se Appellee*

### Certificate of Service

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, do hereby certify that a true and genuine copy of this Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time has been dispatched by United States regular mail, postage prepaid to the Defendant at:

Martin Lindstedt  
338 Rabbit Track Road  
Granby, Missouri 64844

On this 18 day of Feb, 2020

X

Bryan Reo