UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY DOMENIC REO,

Case No. 1:19-cv-02615-JRA

Plaintiff,

Hon. John R. Adams

v.

Mag. Carmen Henderson

MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,

Defendant.

REO LAW, LLC

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470) P.O. Box 5100 Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893(E): reo@reolaw.org

Attorney for Anthony Domenic Reo

MARTIN LINDSTEDT

338 Rabbit Track Road Granby, MO 64844 (T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

Pro se Defendant

PLAINTIFF ANTHONY DOMENIC REO'S MOTION FOR A STATUS CONFERENCE OR SCHEDULING ORDER SETTING A TRIAL DATE

NOW COMES Anthony Domenic Reo ("Plaintiff"), by and through the undersigned counsel, and hereby propounds upon Martin Lindstedt ("Defendant") and this Honorable Court Plaintiff Anthony Domenic Reo's Motion For a Status Conference or a Scheduling Order Setting a Trial Date.

This case began on 9/18/2019 in Lake County Court of Common Pleas.

Defendant dodged FedEx service throughout September, October, and into November, presumably attempting to save up \$400 to remove the case [along with three other similar cases

which each cost \$400 per case as well] which he knew had been filed against him. On 10/21/2019 Defendant was served via alternate means and acknowledged service. Defendant filed a notice of removal on 11/7/2019. (ECF No. 1)

Defendant filed what he purported to be an answer with a counter-claim on 11/14/2019. (ECF No. 4)

Significant portions of that document were ultimately stricken. (ECF No. 30)

The Court issued a scheduling order assigning the case to standard track on 4/29/2020. The court provided a deadline for dispositive motions of 9/14/2020. (ECF No. 17)

Plaintiff Anthony Domenic Reo filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on 6/12/2020. (ECF No. 18).

On 7/10/2020 Defendant filed what he captioned a "Reply Brief to Plaintiff Bryan Reo's Frivolous and Unlawful Motion for Summary Judgment With Counter Motion for Summary Judgment" which was an incoherent rant that failed to demonstrate that there was a genuine dispute of material fact, that there was some triable issue of fact for a trier of fact, or that Plaintiff Anthony Domenic Reo was not entitled to judgment as a matter of law. (ECF No. 19).

Plaintiff timely filed a Reply Brief in Support of Summary Judgment on 7/14/2020. (ECF No. 20)

On 8/24/2020 Plaintiff motioned this Court for a motion hearing on the pending and fully briefed summary judgment motion, or for a status conference, or for a scheduling order with a trial date. (ECF No. 21)

This case is now 500 days old, has been pending for more than 16 months, the scheduling order issued by this Court ended at the deadline for summary judgment and did not schedule anything beyond 9/14/2020, and the motion for summary judgment has been fully briefed and ripe as of 7/14/2020.

It has been 159 days since Plaintiff has moved this Court for a scheduling order with a trial date. (ECF No. 21)

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court consider doing one of the following-

- 1- Issue an order setting a schedule for a jury trial and providing the date for a final pretrial conference to discuss how the trial will proceed and be handled.
 - 2- Schedule and hold a status conference to discuss the present state of the proceedings.
- 3- Render a ruling on the pending motion for summary judgment, which if the Court grants the Plaintiff's motion would resolve the entire case as to all claims and counter-claims and result in the conclusion and disposition of the entire case.

Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court address this in a manner that it deems appropriate, with Plaintiff wishing the Court to note that it would be procedurally and legally proper to resolve the entire case by entering judgment based on the Requests for Admissions attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 18 Exhibit A and Exhibit B) as well as being sound use of judicial economy.

Defendant never cooperated with discovery, never participated in discovery, never provided any responses to any of Plaintiff's discovery, never engaged in any sort of good faith behavior to further these proceedings, and has never made any motions pursuant to Fed Civ. R. 36 and simply does not deserve the consideration of being allowed to withdraw any admissions, a withdrawal that would be highly prejudicial to Plaintiff given Defendant's complete non-participation and non-cooperation with the case.

Indeed, Defendant has used these proceedings to further abuse Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel. On 12/30/2020 this Court entered an Order (ECF NO 30) which among other things stated-

"Plaintiff's second motion to strike is also GRANTED. Document 7 is hereby STRICKEN. Defendant is placed on notice that continuing to include references to either Plaintiff or his counsel as "delusional self-loathing predatory homosexual non-white antifa agent provocateur[s]" or "delusional Satanic homosexual mongrel abomination[s]" places him at risk of sanctions from this Court. Defendant shall cease utilizing any all language similar to these statements and shall act in a professional manner in all future filings."

Defendant obviously received that order because Defendant, by "emergency email filing"

to the address emergencyfiling@ohnd.uscourts.gov sent a filing for the instant action at

approximately 9:30 pm EST on 1/29/2021 asking for reconsideration on his pleadings being

stricken. The document Defendant has emailed for filing on 1/29/2021 speaks for itself and its

content is more of the highly inflammatory sort that this Court explicitly warned Defendant against

in its order issued on 12/30/2020. Defendant does not deserve any further consideration by this

Court and Plaintiff prays that this Court will grant, in full, the pending motion for summary

judgment, enter judgment for Plaintiff as to liability and damages, and resolve this matter

completely and permanently and put this dispute to rest.

Respectfully submitted,

REO LAW, LLC

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

Pro se Plaintiff

Dated: January 29, 2021

5

Case: 1:19-cv-02615-JRA Doc #: 31 Filed: 01/29/21 6 of 6. PageID #: 363

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY DOMENIC REO,

Case No. 1:19-cv-02615-JRA

Plaintiff,

Hon. John R. Adams

v.

Mag. George J. Limbert

MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,

Defendant.

REO LAW, LLC

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470) P.O. Box 5100 Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893 (E): reo@reolaw.org

Attorney for Anthony Domenic Reo

MARTIN LINDSTEDT

338 Rabbit Track Road Granby, MO 64844 (T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

Pro se Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, affirm that I am a counsel for Plaintiff in the above-captioned civil action, and on January 29, 2021, I served a true and accurate copy the foregoing document upon Martin Lindstedt, 338 Rabbit Track Road, Granby, MO 64844, by placing the same in a First Class postage-prepaid, properly addressed, and sealed envelope and in the United States Mail located in City of Mentor, Lake County, State of Ohio.

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061 (T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

Attorney for Plaintiff Anthony Domenic Reo

Dated: January 29, 2021