

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**BRYAN ANTHONY REO,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,**

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-02103-SO

Hon. Solomon Oliver, Jr.

Mag. Jonathan D. Greenberg

---

**MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANT'S  
ANSWER AND COUNTER CLAIM**

---

NOW COMES Bryan Anthony Reo, Plaintiff Pro Se, and hereby provides the following as his Motion to Strike Defendant's Amended Answer with Counter-Claim [docket entry 15 dated 10/17/2019].

Plaintiff hereby makes this motion pursuant to F.R.C.P 12(f) on the basis that the Defendant has filed three separate documents which are scandalous, abusive, irrelevant, immaterial, legally insufficient, or otherwise should be stricken. Defendant's Amended Answer [filed without leave of the court] should be stricken

Defendant recently filed a rambling incoherent answer which purports to contain a counterclaim although it is unclear what, if any, such claims consist of or are based on.

In his frivolous pleading defendant Lindstedt purports or attempts to join Plaintiff's wife Stefani Rossi Reo, Plaintiff's father Anthony Domenic Reo, Plaintiff's former employer Kyle

Bristow, Plaintiff's former counsel in a previous action Brett Klimkowsky, the presiding judge from the previous state court case wherein Plaintiff prevailed against Defendant for libel Judge Patrick Condon, the entire Lake County Court of Common Pleas, the State of Ohio, and the "US Federal Government."

Defendant also states he is adding his 501c3 corporate entity, Church of Jesus Christ Christian Aryan Nations of Missouri as a Defendant and a counter-claimant, which means the document in question constitutes advocacy on behalf of a corporation by a non-attorney and it must be stricken and treated as a nullity.

The content of Defendant's rambling incoherent answer and counterclaim provides ample reason for the entire answer to be stricken for being abusive, scandalous, irrelevant, and immaterial.

Lindstedt's Answer and Counterclaim should be stricken and Defendant should be defaulted. Plaintiff has dealt with Defendant in litigation since 2014, Plaintiff was finally able to present his claims to a jury in June of 2019, and Defendant has gone right back to defaming Plaintiff again. Plaintiff sees no reason why the instant should be a repeat of the case that just concluded in Lake County. This time the Defendant should be defaulted as a sanction before he is able to pepper the docket with dozens or even hundreds of his sovereign citizen pleadings.

Respectfully submitted,

**REO LAW, LLC**

/s/ Bryan A. Reo  
Bryan A. Reo, Esq.  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(Business): (216) 505-0811  
(Mobile): (440) 313-5893  
(Email): reo@reolaw.org  
Ohio Law License - #0097470  
*Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se*

Dated: October 18, 2019

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Bryan A. Reo, affirm that I am the Plaintiff in the above-captioned civil action, and on October 18, 2019, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court by using the Court's Electronic Filing System, which should send notification of said filing to all attorneys of record who are registered to receive such electronic service for the instant civil action.

Additionally an electronic copy has been dispatched to [pastorlindstedt@gmail.com](mailto:pastorlindstedt@gmail.com) which is the defendant's email address.

/s/ Bryan A. Reo  
Bryan A. Reo, Esq.  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(Business): (216) 505-0811  
(Mobile): (440) 313-5893  
(Email): reo@reolaw.org  
Ohio Law License - #0097470  
*Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se*

Dated: October 18, 2019