

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**BRYAN ANTHONY REO,**

Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant,

v.

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT,**

Defendant / Counter-Plaintiff.

Case No. 1:19-CV-02103-SO

Hon. Solomon Oliver, Jr.

Mag. Jonathan D. Greenberg

---

**REO LAW, LLC**

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

*Pro se Plaintiff*

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT**

338 Rabbit Track Road

Granby, MO 64844

(T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

*Pro se Defendant*

---

**PLAINTIFF BRYAN ANTHONY REO'S**  
**MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANT'S BRIEF**

---

NOW COMES Bryan Anthony Reo ("Plaintiff"), *pro se*, and hereby propounds upon Martin Lindstedt ("Defendant") and this Honorable Court Plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo's Motion to Strike Defendant's Brief.

Defendant's brief filed 11/09/2020 "Consolidated Brief in opposition to Summary Judgment" [ECF No. 51] should be stricken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f)(2) in so much that it is scandalous and abusive and Local Rule 7.1 in so much that it is incredibly untimely, the period for briefing has ended, and the motion has already been granted.

On 1/26/2020 Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 [ECF No. 34]. On 2/24/2020 Defendant filed his brief in opposition to said motion [ECF No. 37]. On 2/26/2020 Plaintiff filed a Reply in Support of summary judgment [ECF No. 38]. Briefing is closed, done, over, the time for briefing [per the Local Rules] ended months ago. The Court granted [in part] Plaintiff's motion, as to liability, on 9/28/2020 [ECF No. 44].

Defendant tellingly cites no rule nor any legal authority for the proposition that he be allowed to continue briefing opposition to a motion which was filed almost nine months ago and was granted almost two months ago. Defendant's time to file opposition to the motion was during the period provided by the rules and certainly prior to the period when the motion was granted. Defendant's brief is frivolous and adds nothing in the way of admissible evidence, aside from the fact that the time for briefing is well over and the motion has already been granted.

Plaintiff will further brief this issue if the Court so desires/orders or otherwise directs.

The Court should deny the relief requested in Defendant's brief [de facto motion] and strike Defendant's brief as not merely untimely but incredibly untimely.

Additionally, Defendant's brief appears to be a de facto motion for consideration. Defendant has already twice moved for reconsideration [explicitly under the title of reconsideration] and appears to be attempting to once again move for de facto reconsideration in the form of an "opposition brief" which purports to be a brief but in substance, and per requested relief, is a motion for reconsideration. The Court should consider issuing a standing order in this

case that Defendant must post a \$500 contempt bond before any further filings from Defendant will be accepted by the clerk, because Defendant is trying to bog down the docket with delay.

To quote the Defendant himself, ““By moving it up to the federal level hopefully it will be **delayed**. In South Dakota Pastor Lindstedt gave his property back to his sister who uses lawyers to try to keep it.” *Bryan Anthony Reo v. Martin Lindstedt*, Case No. 1:19-cv-02589-CAB [ECF No. 57, Page ID #541]. Defendant has embarked on a campaign of attempting to cause as much delay as possible, which is not proper in litigation. His present conduct should be understood and viewed through that lens. Flagrant disregard for the rules, incoherent rambling pleadings, and abusive language appear to be the “go-to” tools for Defendant whose primary purpose is to cause confusion and delay.

Plaintiff will further brief this issue as well, if the Court so desires/orders or otherwise directs.

Defendant’s brief should be stricken.

Respectfully submitted,

**REO LAW, LLC**

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo  
Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(T): (440) 313-5893  
(E): reo@reolaw.org  
*Pro se Plaintiff*

Dated: November 10, 2020

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**BRYAN ANTHONY REO,**

Plaintiff / Counter-Defendant,

v.

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT,**

Defendant / Counter-Plaintiff.

Case No. 1:19-CV-02103-SO

Hon. Solomon Oliver, Jr.

Mag. Jonathan D. Greenberg

---

**REO LAW, LLC**

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

*Pro se Plaintiff*

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT**

338 Rabbit Track Road

Granby, MO 64844

(T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

*Pro se Defendant*

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

---

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, affirm that I am a party to the above-captioned civil action, and on November 10, 2020, I served a true and accurate copy the foregoing document upon Martin Lindstedt, 338 Rabbit Track Road, Granby, MO 64844, by placing the same in a First Class postage-prepaid, properly addressed, and sealed envelope and in the United States Mail located in City of Mentor, Lake County, State of Ohio.

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org  
*Pro se Plaintiff*

Dated: November 10, 2020