Case: 1:19-cv-02103-SO Doc #: 62 Filed: 02/12/21 1 of 4. PageID #: 652

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

BRYAN ANTHONY REO, Pro Se,

Plaintiff

v.

JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.

Case No.: 1:19 CV 2103

MARTIN LINDSTEDT, Pro Se,

Defendant

ORDER

Currently pending before the court in the above-captioned case is pro se Plaintiff Bryan Reo's ("Plaintiff") Motion for an Order Compelling pro se Defendant Martin Lindstedt ("Defendant") to Show Cause ("Motion") why he should not be held in civil contempt of court. (ECF No. 60.) For the

following reasons, the court grants the Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

On September 28, 2020, the court granted summary judgment in favor of Plaintiff on most

U.S. correct Deputy Clerk Northe lies copy District of Ohio tify that this instrument is y of the original on file in f the original on my office

atrue

an

This claims. As part of its Order, the court entered a permanent injunction requiring Defendant to

(1) cease and desist from making or publishing statements regarding Plaintiff's lawsuits, legal practice, and business dealings that are the same, or significantly similar, in nature to the statements the court has found to be defamatory in this case; and (2) to the fullest extent possible, Defendant shall remove or cause to be removed from all websites and publications all statements Defendant has made that this court has found to be defamatory, as well as any statements similar in nature published during or before the current proceedings regarding Plaintiff's lawsuits, legal practice, and business déalings.

(Order at PageID #494, ECF No. 44.) But, according to Plaintiff, Defendant has continued to act in

willful violation of the injunction. (Mot. at PageID #629, ECF No. 60.) Consequently, Plaintiff filed