

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**BRYAN ANTHONY REO,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,**

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-02589-CAB

Hon. Christopher A. Boyko

Mag. Thomas M. Parker

---

**REO LAW, LLC**

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

*Pro se Plaintiff*

**MARTIN LINDSTEDT**

338 Rabbit Track Road

Granby, MO 64844

(T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

*Pro se Defendant*

---

**PLAINTIFF BRYAN ANTHONY REO'S  
MOTION FOR PRE-JUDGMENT INTEREST**

---

NOW COMES Bryan Anthony Reo ("Plaintiff"), *pro se*, and hereby propounds upon Martin Lindstedt ("Defendant") and this Honorable Court Plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo's Motion for Pre-Judgment Interest.

On or about August 9, 2019, Defendant began to libel Plaintiff with statements that gave rise to the instant action.

On or about September 18, 2019, Plaintiff filed against Defendant Plaintiff's Complaint, which included Count I (Defamation), Count II (Invasion of Privacy – False Light), Count III

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress), and Count IV (Permanent Injunction). (ECF No. 1-3, PageID. ## 13-16).

On January 24, 2021, Plaintiff conveyed to Defendant the offer to settle all of Plaintiff's claims in the instant action against Defendant in consideration for Defendant agreeing to having a consent judgment entered against him in the amount of \$300,000.00 dollars. Defendant never responded, never made a counter-offer, and never attempted to even have a discussion with Plaintiff about the offer or the possibility of settlement. This settlement offer was made after the filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and after the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation as to the same but prior to the Court entering an order on the matter. A copy of the settlement communication is attached as Exhibit 1.

On March 29, 2021, this Court entered judgment in favor of Plaintiff in the amount of \$750,000.00 dollars.

Plaintiff now respectfully moves this Court for pre-judgment interest at the statutory rate of 0.42% per month from the date of the tortious conduct, specifically August 9, 2019. Based on 19.5 months at 0.42% per month, Plaintiff would be entitled to \$61,425.00 in pre-judgment interest.

As this case was removed from Lake County Court of Common Pleas on the basis of diversity jurisdiction, Ohio state law would govern the application of pre-judgment interest.

*Kalain v Smith*, 25 Ohio St.3d 157, 159, 495 N.E.2d 572 (1986) and *Moskovitz v. Mt. Sinai Med. Ctr.* (1994), 69 Ohio St.3d 638 provide the most significant precedential authority for the granting of pre-judgment interest in Ohio.

“...[T]o award prejudgment interest, the court must find that the party required to pay the judgment failed to make a good faith effort to settle and, fourth, the court must find that the party to whom the judgment is to be paid did not fail to make a good faith effort to settle the case. R.C. 1343.03(C). The statute uses the word 'shall.' Therefore, if a party meets the four requirements of the statute, the decision to allow or not allow prejudgment interest is not discretionary. What is discretionary with the trial court is the determination of lack of good faith.” *Moskovitz*. at 658.

The four factors were set forth in *Kalain*: “A party has not ‘failed to make a good faith effort to settle’ under R.C. 1343.03(C) if he has (1) fully cooperated in discovery proceedings, (2) rationally evaluated his risks and potential liability, (3) not attempted to unnecessarily delay any of the proceedings, and (4) made a good faith monetary settlement offer or responded in good faith to an offer from the other party.” *Kalain* at 159.

In the instant action, Defendant never cooperated in discovery proceedings, let alone “fully cooperate” in the same. Indeed, Defendant did not even participate in discovery. Defendant clearly did not rationally evaluate his risks and potential liability. Defendant caused extreme and unnecessary delays, including but not limited to the delay Defendant caused by seeking a discovery extension, promising to cooperate and participate with discovery and respond to outstanding

discovery, and then proceeding not to respond. Finally, Defendant never made any offer of monetary settlement and did not respond to Plaintiff's good faith attempt to resolve the case.

Plaintiff prayerfully requests that the Court award pre-judgment interest in favor of Plaintiff against Defendant in the amount of \$61,425.00 dollars. Plaintiff also respectfully prays that this award be reduced to a judgment per Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a).

Respectfully submitted,

**REO LAW, LLC**

/s/ Bryan A. Reo  
Bryan A. Reo, Esq.  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(Business): (216) 505-0811  
(Mobile): (440) 313-5893  
(Email): reo@reolaw.org  
Ohio Law License - #0097470  
*Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se*

Dated: April 7, 2021

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, affirm that I am a party to the above-captioned civil action, and on April 7, 2021, I served a true and accurate copy the foregoing document upon Martin Lindstedt, 338 Rabbit Track Road, Granby, MO 64844, by placing the same in a First Class postage-prepaid, properly addressed, and sealed envelope and in the United States Mail located in City of Mentor, Lake County, State of Ohio.

I have also electronically filed the foregoing document which should serve notice of the filing of the same upon each party who has appeared through counsel, via the court's electronic filing notification system.

/s/ Bryan A. Reo  
Bryan A. Reo, Esq.  
P.O. Box 5100  
Mentor, OH 44061  
(Business): (216) 505-0811  
(Mobile): (440) 313-5893  
(Email): reo@reolaw.org  
Ohio Law License - #0097470  
*Attorney and Plaintiff Pro Se*

Dated: April 7, 2021