# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

**BRYAN ANTHONY REO,** 

Case No. 1:19-cv-02589-CAB

Plaintiff,

Hon. Christopher A. Boyko

v.

Mag. Thomas M. Parker

### MARTIN LINDSTEDT.,

Defendant.

#### REO LAW, LLC

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470) P.O. Box 5100 Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893 (E): reo@reolaw.org *Pro se Plaintiff* 

### MARTIN LINDSTEDT

338 Rabbit Track Road Granby, MO 64844 (T): (417) 472-6901

(E): pastorlindstedt@gmail.com

Pro se Defendant

## <u>DECLARATION OF</u> PLAINTIFF BRYAN ANTHONY REO

The following is a Declaration of Bryan Anthony Reo, Plaintiff pro se in the instant action.

- 1. My name is Bryan Anthony Reo. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in both the State of Ohio and the State of Michigan. I am over 18 years of age. I am competent to testify and would do so if called upon. I make this declaration on personal knowledge and in my capacity as an officer of this Court and as Plaintiff Pro Se in the instant action, under penalty of perjury.
- 2. I initiated the instant action in Lake County Court of Common Pleas in Lake County
  Ohio pro se, whereafter Defendant removed the case to the United States District Court for the
  Northern District of Ohio.

- 3. On 11/30/2020 Judge Oliver in 1:19-cv-2103 held a conference setting at timeline and procedure for expert reports, specifically clinical psychologists Plaintiff was attempting to retain for purposes of a report and testimony as to Plaintiff's emotional damages, mental anguish, pain and suffering.
- 4. On or about 10/29/2020 Plaintiff called Dr. Christopher Amato (Ph.D) a clinical psychologist in Beachwood. Plaintiff had a detailed conversation with Dr. Amato lasting approximately 15 minutes. Dr. Amato listened to the nature of what Defendant was doing to Plaintiff and how Defendant was also cyber-stalking and defaming Plaintiff's relatives, friends, business contacts, affiliates, lawyers Plaintiff had hired over the years, and Dr. Amato flatly said, "I cannot have you as a patient and I cannot get involved in this in any way because I cannot have him doing to me and my practice what he is doing to you and your practice. I cannot be involved in anything remotely related to Mr. Lindstedt." This was a professional that Plaintiff was referred to by a personal injury attorney who stated that Dr. Amato regularly provided expert testimony in his personal injury/negligence tort cases. In this instance Dr. Amato explicitly said he would not have Plaintiff as a patient and would not be a testifying expert in any proceeding if the proceeding involved Martin Lindstedt.
- 5. On 10/30/2020 Plaintiff had an exchange of emails with Premier Behavioral Health Services of Mentor, specifically with Aimee Moffat officer manager on behalf of the Clinical Director. This agency likewise declined not only to be a testifying expert but to have Plaintiff as a patient.
- 6. Throughout November and December Plaintiff contacted several other healthcare professionals in the area of clinical psychology and was likewise unable to find any willing to serve as an expert witness in a proceeding involving Martin Lindstedt.

- 7. The same experts who refused to represent Plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo in 1:19-cv-2103 which involves Martin Lindstedt are certainly going to refuse in the instant action or in any case involving a Reo vs Martin Lindstedt. The same roadblocks will be encountered.
- 8. Martin Lindstedt has embarked upon a pattern of conduct of harassment of Plaintiff's affiliates, friends, relatives, an attorney in Genoa who previously represented Plaintiff [Brett Klimkowsky], an attorney in Michigan for whom Plaintiff used to clerk [Kyle Bristow], an attorney in South Dakota who is representing Plaintiff in a proceeding there [Robert Konrad], and has openly stated that he attempts to find and contact opposing counsel of record in Plaintiff's Reo Law cases for clients of Plaintiff's law practice [See Exhibit 1]. Defendant posts on his website what he purports are email exchanges with owners of call centers from Telephone Consumer Protection Act cases involving Plaintiff and Defendant posts articles involving client cases from of Reo Law cases [See Exhibit 2], sometimes with commentary as to the clients of Reo Law.
- 9. Defendant's website has an account named "Bryan Reo" which is not an account owned or operated by Plaintiff but rather is an account that was created by Defendant and is owned and operated by Defendant. The account signature block states, in pertinent part, "Under NO Circumstances is anyone who hears my voice to harm or molest or have anything to do with Bryan Reo or anyone who will have anything to do with Bryan Reo. <u>Stay away from Bryan Reo</u>." [emphasis added] [See Exhibit 3]
- 10. It appears to be Defendant's goal to cause social isolation and alienation of Plaintiff such that no individuals or professionals will be willing to have a personal or professional relationship with Plaintiff. In short, not only is Defendant defaming and damaging Plaintiff's reputation, but Defendant is undertaking action by stalking and harassing those closest to Plaintiff, to make it

risky for people to associate with Plaintiff because they risk coming to the attention of Defendant and winding up being defamed and cyber-stalked themselves. Defendant tells people to "stay away from Bryan Reo" and the content of his website makes it clear that if they dare get involved with Bryan Reo that Defendant will try to ruin them and damage them the way he tries to damage Plaintiff Reo.

11. Plaintiff is going to be greatly hindered and prejudiced in putting on a damages hearing in regards to a Defendant who not only did not produce anything in discovery that would show his malice, state of mind, magnitude of dissemination, motivation, but who also has embarked on a course of action that makes it extremely difficult for Plaintiff to be able to elicit testimony from third parties such as experts in clinical psychology because such experts have thus far refused to testify in any proceeding in the instant action. Any expert who was initially considering representing Plaintiff, immediately refused after examining the situation and realizing that Defendant is making accounts on his websites in the names of individuals such as Brett Klimkowsky [Ohio attorney who formerly represented Plaintiff in several matters], Kyle Bristow [Ohio and Michigan attorney for whom Plaintiff clerked during law school], Robert Konrad [South Dakota attorney representing Plaintiff in a proceeding in that state] and to agree to testify as an expert at a proceeding involving Martin Lindstedt is to resign oneself to having an account made in his name by Martin Lindstedt and having highly defamatory content created on his website. In short, Defendant Martin Lindstedt's website implicitly threatens anybody who would dare consider giving testimony on behalf of Plaintiff with a very clear message, "look at all of the accounts I have made in the names of people who have been linked to Bryan Reo in a personal or professional capacity. If you get involved in anything on behalf of Bryan Reo, I will

damage you the way I have damaged him and damaged the others." Under these circumstances

and conditions Plaintiff cannot find any expert who is willing to testify.

12. If Defendant is allowed to withdraw admissions as to damages, Plaintiff will be

detrimentally prejudiced and the withdrawal will not aid in the presentation of the claims on the

merits, especially in light of Defendant's conduct bringing about circumstances where no expert

is willing to testify on behalf of Plaintiff.

In any event, Plaintiff has been unable to obtain an expert despite diligently trying. 13.

Plaintiff could not even get Dr. Amato [who is a regular testifying expert in civil litigation] to

agree to be a testifying expert for Plaintiff even though Plaintiff had been specifically referred to

Dr. Amato by an attorney with whom Dr. Amato has a close working relationship.

Sworn under the pains and penalty of perjury this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

REO LAW, LLC

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

Pro se Plaintiff

Dated: March 15, 2021

5

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I, Bryan Anthony Reo, affirm that I am a party to the above-captioned civil action, and on

March 15, 2021, I served a true and accurate copy of Declaration of Plaintiff Bryan Anthony Reo

upon Martin Lindstedt, 338 Rabbit Track Road, Granby, MO 64844, by placing the same in a First

Class postage-prepaid, properly addressed, and sealed envelope and in the United States Mail

located in Village of Mentor, Lake County, State of Ohio.

Respectfully submitted,

**REO LAW, LLC** 

/s/ Bryan Anthony Reo

Bryan Anthony Reo (#0097470)

P.O. Box 5100

Mentor, OH 44061

(T): (440) 313-5893

(E): reo@reolaw.org

Pro se Plaintiff

Dated: March 15, 2021

6